



“ Good Afternoon”



PRACTICAL ILLUSTRATIONS

OR

RHETORICAL



GESTURE AND ACTION,

ADAPTED TO THE ENGLISH DRAMA.



BY HENRY SIDDONS.

EMBELLISHED WITH NUMEROUS ENGRAVINGS, EXPRESSIVE
OF THE VARIOUS PASSIONS, AND REPRESENTING
THE MODERN COSTUME OF THE
LONDON THEATRES.

LONDON.

PRINTED FOR RICHARD PHILLIPS,
NO 6, BRIDGE-STREET, BLACKFRIARS

1807.

(Price one Guinea, in boards.)



OBSEQUIOUS ATTENTION.

This figure represents a Spanish Inn-keeper in the play of the Mountaineers. He is here in the attitude of soliciting his guest to order some refreshment. This guest is a young lady disguised as a Spanish cavalier. As he leaves her, he exclaims

"This is one of your pieces of smock-faced nobility. If Providence was to rain beards, it would do him no harm to thrust his chin out into the shower."

INDEX.

A.

Accused person, utility derived from gesture by, 32
*Action, of the deportment of, as opposed to that of trim-
quility,* 56 *Examples of internal activity,* 57 to 65
*Action in the performance of a drama should be modi-
fied by the circumstance of its being written in verse or
in prose,* 322 *The degree of action appropriate to a
particular piece may be different in different nations,* 323,
324 *Inquiry how far the sacred orator may make use
of dramatic action,* 325 to 327

*Actor broad and general basis on which he should study
the passions,* 10, 11 *Perfection more important to the
actor than to any other artist,* 12 *Why actors are
more liable to criticism than others,* 13 *Their indifference
to the attainment of real excellence accounted for,*
13 *The actor should seize all occasions of observing
nature, but should not shock by too coarse an imitation,*
14, 15 *Art is a great assistant, but nature is the very
soul of an actor,* 28 *No rules nor imitation can ex-
empt an actor from the necessity of thinking for him-
self,* 54 *He should study not only the expressions of
the passions, but also their effects on his own coun-
tenance,* 26 *Should resort to both reflection and advice
for improving himself,* 186 *Ought to study his own
part with a view to its connection with the others,* 328
—*and in the study of each scene, should never lose
sight of the general idea of his part,* 329 *Must not
exhaust his energies in the first scenes of his part,* 329 —.
*Actresses cautioned against extravagant expression of the
passions,* 17 *Remarks on some inconveniences in their
present dresses,* 87

Admiration deportment expressive of different rases of, 71
to 73, 208

Æneas, example from the story of, 129

*Æschylus was the next to Ihespis in the progression of
theatrical costume,* 360 362

Affections of the soul classed and explained, 67 *Distin-
guished into the pleasing, unpleasing, and mixed,* 141

INDEX.

Examination of the first kind, 112 — of the second, 162
 & 184. The whole body ought to participate in the effects of every affection, 166 — examples, 187. Cause of the great difference between the province of the poet and that of the actor in representing the true expression of the affections, 198. Not the subjects of discourse, but the sentiments with which we consider them, are the appropriate objects of gesture, 201 to 203. The tendency towards approach to, or change from, the different affections, depends less upon their general nature than on their respective degrees of force, 336. We should rather speak of the proximity of several emotions of the soul, than of many and different affections, 337. Our ordinary language does not always designate the passions with exactness; example, 338. The facility of connection between all the approaching passions is not reciprocal, 339. The movements of the soul of one kind can also be applied to those of a different species, 340. Case of several sentiments existing in the soul, of which one acquires the ascendancy without any disorder resulting from the others, 352. Explanations of the quick passage from one contrary to another, 353 to 355.
 See also the articles *Desire*, *Friendship*, and *Passions*.

Affirmation, gesture accompanying a particular species of, 113
Agamemnon, example from the story of, 182.
Alceste, examples from the drama of, 316 to 320.
Ambition, deportment appropriate to, 83 }
Amphytrion, the ancient play of, 363 }
Analogous gestures defined and described, 42, 43
Anger, gesture produced by, 107. Often quits its real object, and fixes on others, instances, 122 to 127. Deportment expressive of anger which is yet concentrated, 128. See also the article *Choler*.
Antony and Cleopatra, example from the tragedy of, 124
Applauses in a theatre not the criterion of real merit, 196
Aristophanes, his singular metaphor on the nature of love, 158
Aristotle, his remarks on oratorical declamation, 263
Arm, judicious and blameable gestures of, 19, 20. Pedantic directions of Riccoboni respecting, 30. Deportment of the arms in the sentiment of admiration, 71, 72.

INDEX.

*A*ssent, motion of the head in, considered, 74, 75
Astonish ent gestures expressive of, 73 A passage in the tragedy of King John considered, 77, 78 Effects of this sentiment, 107 Starting back produced by it, 111
Attack, unexpected, of an enemy, gesture of opposing, 104
Attitudes See the articles *Gesture*, and *Grace*
Aversion considered as a species of desire, 78 Subdivided into two kinds, 79 An oblique position of the body backwards, the essential mark of aversion, example, 81, 85 The direction in retreating from the object is a right line, 85 General principle of gesture in cases of aversion from actual suffering, 102 Expression of aversion as it relates to the senses of taste and smell, 103 In cases where the object cannot be avoided a secondary desire occurs of repelling it, instances 103, 104, 106 Gesture of aversion as applied to moral objects, 113 See also the articles *Danger*, *Defence*, and *Terror*

B

Back rubbed with the hind part of the hand, to represent the pain of blows, 37
Ballets of action considered, 223 M Noverre's Ballet of the Ilorants, 239, 241
Baron, the French actor, anecdote of, 205, 215
Bashfulness, effects of shame upon 167
Bathillus, an ancient performer of pantomime, 229, 239, 254
Beggar, his gesture in asking alms, 90
Bentley his censure of a criticism of Quintilian, 300, 312
Blushing considered, 169
Buskin, the ancient, its use, 361

C

Cesar and Pompey, illustration from the history of, 134, 136
Cain, example from the story of, 82
Carelessness, attitude most expressive of 51
Carthage, establishment of pantomime at, 232
Cattle Spectre, example from the drama of, 88
Catiline, his character, and remark respecting, 58, 59

INDEX.

Cato, illustration from the history of, 131 Former ridiculous costume of the part of, 366

Chagrin, the sentiment of, considered, 172.

Character, peculiar, of individuals, how far it may vary the expression of the passions, 8

Choler, its violent effects upon the body, 117, 118 Detestable nature of this passion, 118, 119 The actor must guard against overcharging the representation of it, 120 Way in which it modifies declamation, 266 Sentiments from which the mind most readily advances to this passion, 331 The transition between choler and other feelings considered, 339 Case of a transition from violent choler to bursts of laughter, 353, 354 See also the article *Anger*

Cicero, example from his oration against Verres, 201, 202
See also the article *Roscius*

Clemency, characteristic expression of, considered, 190

Cleopatra, example from the story of, 180

Comedy of Errors, 363

Contemplation, pure and disengaged, 95

Contempt of a menace or warning, expressive Italian denotation of, 39, 40 The expression of contempt assumes spontaneously a trait of disgust, 113 Definition of contempt or disdain, 162 Difference between disdain and pride, and appropriate expressions of the former, 163 Expressions of contempt when applied to inanimate, and thence to moral, objects, 164 The severest marks of disdain are neglect and indifference, 164 See also the articles *Displeasure*, and *Pride*

Costume late rapid advances of our theatres towards perfection in, 2 Some expressive attitudes depend upon particular modes of costume, 56. Remarks on some inconveniences in the present female costume of the stage 87 The present state of theatrical costume further considered, 359 —examples of former absurdity in this respect, 368

Count Ugolino, famous print of, 138

Countenance, the principal seat of the movements of the soul, 21 Difficulty of analysing and defining the expressive marks of the face, 24 An expressive countenance is indispensable to an actor, 70 How the countenance is differently affected in choler, 118

INDEX.

Countries, the general character of, causes a variety in the expression of the passions, instances, 5 to 8

Cumberland, Mr., character of his dramatic writings, 130 to 132

Cymbeline, illustration from the tragedy of, 149, 150

D

Dancer, warlike, of the American savages, 221, 222, 225.

Effects ascribed to the ancient dancing considered, 223

Danger, gesture produced by resistance to urgent, 108

See also the article *Erl*

D'Alençon, Sir William, economy of his theatre, 255

Death, marks of the agonies of, 15 These should not be represented with all their natural horrors, 15

Declamation, oratorical, remarks of Aristotle respecting, 263 Various ways in which different passions and situations modify declamation, examples, 265 to 275

Defence, different modifications of the gestures of, 90 91

Desjection or melancholy defined, 173 —example, 174 Unmoreableness and insensibility two essential marks of extreme melancholy, 175 Its appropriate attitudes and gestures, 176, 177

De Montfort, example from the character of, 81

Desire defined 68 Classes of, 78 Examples of different modifications of desire, and deportment appropriate to each, 79 to 83 In oblique position of the body forwards, the essential mark of desire, 84 The direction in approaching the object is a right line, 85 Modulations of gesture resulting from the relative situation of the person and the object, examples, 88 to 91 The organ destined to seize an object constantly tries to approach it, 93 General deportment in every kind of desire, 94 Means of expressing two opposite but coinciding desires, 192 See also the article *Aversion*.

Despair, nature of, 137 Print of Count Ugolino, 138

Picturesque representation of despair, 138

Diderot, his opinion of the causes which occasioned the Greeks to write their dramatic pieces in verse, 288

Discourse, rhythms of, considered in its various kinds, 272

Dudson See the art *de l'art des camps*

INDEX.

Disgust, cause why we fly from an object of, 111 See also the article *Contempt*
Displeasure and contempt, deportment expressive of, and example, 218, 214²
Distrust of a person suspected of falsehood and dissimulation, expressively denoted by the Italians, 38
Dresses See the article *Costume*
Drunkard and bon-vivant, characteristic deportment of each, 95 to 97
Dryden, the faults of his dramatic style attributable to his writing his plays in verse, 292
Du Bois, abbé, quotation from, on pantomime, 237, 238

E

Electra, anecdote of an ancient actor in the part of, 48
Embrace, the most forcible expression of affection, 9, 10
Emilia Galotti, examples from the play of, 218, 219
English Merchant, example from the comedy of, 216
Envy, philosophical distinction respecting its nature, and examples, 131 — deportment in both the specified sensations, 134 Characteristic expression of envy further considered 190
Epic poet, his situation and circumstances compared with those of the dramatic poet, 302, 314
Esteem is not a particular affection, but only a modification of veneration, 162
Evil, the desire to remove or destroy one, different from choler, 115 Emotions of the soul produced by this desire, 115 A wilful injury from a rational being excites a desire of revenge 116 See also the article *Danger*
Expressive gestures classed, 41, 42, 44 The union of picturesque with expressive gestures considered, examples, 207 to 219
Extravagance in the representation of the passions reprobated, 17, 18
Eye, the most eloquent part of the countenance, 20, 23 Difficulty of describing its particular movements, 23 Deportment of it in the sentiment of admiration, 71, 72 — in a transport of religious fervour, 81 — in choler, 117 Its deportment is influenced even by what are objects of

INDEX.

hearing of, 9. Is spread and extended by fright, terror, and astonishment, 104, 107 Expressions of it appropriate to particular modifications of the passion of love, 159, 160

Fyebrow affirmed by Le Brun to be the most faithful interpreter of the passions, 22.

F.

Jace, to veil and cover it up is a natural sign of high respect and veneration, and likewise of shame and modesty,
See also the article *Couvenance*

Favour, gesture accompanying the granting one with good will, 113

Fear, gesture of flying through, 106 In the first moment of fear we stagger backward, 107 The changes of colour produced by fear not easily copied, 110 A fearful idea in any degree of vivacity produces starting back, 111 In some cases fear produces a motive action, 114
See also the article *Fright*

Flying to avoid danger, gestures of, 106

Iorefinger (called also "sign," "index," and "object"), its use and application in expressing the examination of important ideas, 64 (Called also "index sign") perhaps used in one case of self admiration, 147

Forehead, rubbing of, a gesture appropriate to the state of being tormented with a racking and insupportable idea, 81

Friend long thought dead, or far absent, gesture produced by the sudden appearance of, 112

Friendship and affection, different modes of expressing the degrees of, 9 The essential and general sign is a tendency to approach each other, 10 Gestures appropriate to, 158 Some particular national expressions of, 158, 159

Fright and terror suddenly open the eyes and mouth 104
See also the article *Fear*

G

Garrick anecdote of, 182 See also 366, 367

Gestures divided into picturesque and expressive (see the

INDEX.

articles *Picturesque* and *Expressive*), 21. Purpose of examining the minute modifications of different gestures, 24, 25 Practicality and importance of a collection and classification of gestures and attitudes, 25, 26 Consideration of the beautiful in gesture, the natural, and both combined, 27 —the first of these points has been cultivated much more than the second, 28. Utility of gesture in the common occurrences of life; instances, 31, 32. Great number of figures, particularly metaphors, in the dictionary of gestures, instances, 36 to 40 Gesture receives its more particular modifications either from the sentiments of the heart, or the passions, 58 Examples of motive gestures, 99 to 107 Consideration whether in the language of gesture there are any synonymous movements, 194, 195 —of the possibility of creating a perfect language of gestures, for the purposes of pantomime, 215 to 252 Resemblance between the fundamental ideas of gesture and of declamation, 262, 270, 275 The enthusiasm of gesture considered, examples, 278 Deportment of the orator, and of the rhapsodist, 280

Glory, deportment appropriate to the desire of, 82, 83

Grace and boldness, wide difference between, 3 Grace of attitude not to be exclusively studied, 29

Grandeur, effects of the ideas of, upon the deportment, 34, 36 Example of the faulty and the appropriate representation of grandeur, 199 to 201

Gratitude, characteristic mark of, considered, 189

Great (the), exaggerate their expression of the passions, 9

Greeks, causes which induced them to write their dramas in verse, 284 to 289 Reasons why their example in this respect ought not to be a model for us 319, 320

Grief, excessive, should be motionless, example, 175. Case of persons, exercising violence upon themselves through extreme grief, considered, 181, 182. Example of its change into melancholy, 336 The transition between grief and other sentiments considered, 339

II

Hair, action of tearing, as a demonstration of extreme grief, considered, 182

INDEX.

Hamlet, examples from the tragedy of, 57, 61, 177, 193, 203, 215, 241, 366, 328, 365
Haut squeeze of, is the slightest expression of friendship, 9, 10 General gesture of, and its effects, 19 Elevated to utmost innocence, &c 37 Deportment of it in walking, how variously modified, 60 Gesture of it in admiration with sublimity, 73 Constant rubbing it, in a particular modification of the sensation of desire, 80 Deportment of it in a transport of religious fervour, 81 Different modifications of its gestures in the various kinds of desire, 93, 94 The deportment of it is influenced even by what are objects of hearing only, 98 Kept open in inquiring news, soliciting a return of love and asking alms 99 Reversed to oppose the unexpected attack of an enemy, 104 —this gesture continued even in flying to avoid the attack, 106 Presented or agitated in anger, 107, 117. Opened with the palm upward, in affirming with vivacity, or granting a favour with good will, 113 Further consideration of its motions in some cases of anger or ill humour, 128, 129

Hatred and jealousy perfectly similar in their outward expression, 135, 136 Expression of a species of hatred arising from vexation, 173

Head uncovered as a mark of respect by Europeans, and origin of this custom, 5, 6 Inclined in the same view, this is a natural and essential sign of the sentiment, 6, 7 —pride and contempt naturally expressed by a contrary deportment, 8 Striking it, a gesture expressive of a particular kind of admiration, 74 —the same gesture in negation and assent, 74 Motion of it in listening to a proposition in which we are ready to acquiesce, 75

Henry III, instance from the performance of this tragedy, 197

Hippomach is the flute player, 196

History, the recital of, considered, 272, 273

Home, his comparison on the subject of the passions, 345

Honey Moon, comedy of, 293

Hope, characteristic expression of, considered, 190

Horatii, pantomimical representation of a part of the story of, 238 M Diderot's ballet on this subject, 238, 241, 242

INDEX.

Hume, his comparison on the subject of the passions, 34
Hylas and Pylades, example from a story of, 199.

I

Ideas, importunate and disagreeable, action appropriate to the dismissal of, 63 — to the examination of important ones, 64. Deportment of a man tormented with a rack-ing and insupportable idea, 81

Idleness, extreme, deportment of, 52, 53.

Ill-humour, deportment expressive of, in some cases, 128

Imbecility, extreme, deportment of, 52, 53

Imitative gesture, examples of, 37 to 40

Inaction, perfect, has its peculiar expression, 49 Examples of this situation of the soul, 50 Appropriate attitude, 50 — thus modified by individual character, instances of the proud man, the mad man, and the phleg-matic, 51. Remarks on the change from a tranquil to an active position, 54, 55

Inattention, example of its progressive advance into a high degree of curiosity, 333

Incredible things, gesture produced by hearing, 112

Indifference See the article Neglect

Infidelity of a wife, denotation of, by gesture, 58

Innocence, different denotations of, 37, 38

Involuntary operations of the soul on the features, not required of an actor, 45 Means and importance of obtaining a power in this difficult point, 46 — caution nevertheless in this respect, 47

Irony denoted by gesture, example of, 37

Italian gestures, two very expressive, 39, 40 Our actors would gain something by borrowing from those of Italy, 41

J

Jealousy and hatred perfectly similar in their outward expression, 135 The jealousy of ambition, 135 Various expressions belonging to jealousy, 136 The jealousy of love, 139. Example from Othello, of jealousy relapsing from the most desperate fury into the most soft and melting love, 338

INDEX

Joy, general deportment expressive of, 142, 143 Its modifications, the joy of the proud man, 143 —of the lover, 144 —of men who have run the same dangers, and endured the same misfortunes, example, 145 The Otaheitans tear their hair, or wound the head or body, for joy at the return of a beloved object, this accounted for, 183, 184 Way in which this passion modifies declamation, 265 —a soft joy, 267 Attitude of rapture, 275, 278 Gradual inferior modifications of the expression of this passion, 276, 277 Reason why it changes into another passion with the greatest facility when carried to excess, 335

Juliet, extravagance in the representation of, 17 Example from this character, 97

K

King John, example from the tragedy of, 77, 100

L

Laughter, different kinds of, and remarks respecting, 59, 70

Latauer, his opinion respecting the force of attitudes, &c in demonstrating the character, 53

Lear, action appropriate to a passage in this character, 61

Letters of friendship or business, the rhythmical structure of, considered, 274 Ridiculous example of a letter chanted in an opera, 274

Liberij, why a cap was originally adopted as the symbol of, 6

Listening to instant and agreeable music, attitude and gestures expressive of, 98

Love, gesture in soliciting a return of, 99 When satisfied and happy, it diffuses itself in caresses even towards objects which are strange and foreign, instant, 130

Outward expression of the joy of the lover, 144 Nature of love defined, 156 Gestures appropriate to it, 167, 158

The first propensity of love is to union and community, 157, 158 —its second, to ameliorate the condition of its object, 159 Different modifications of this passion, and expression of the eye appropriate to some of

INDEX.

Fête, 159, 160 It may, in its various kinds, belong to either the agreeable or the disagreeable affections 161, 162 Expression of the sufferings of a lover occupied with the idea of his absent mistress, 191 Deportment of the lover charged with the glance of his mistress, 212 Instances of the expression of love combined with other picturesque gestures, 250 The expression of love and sorrow united, 251 Representation of the raptures of love, 278 Case of a transition from violent love to hatred, 355

M

Macbeth, one dying in natural but ludicrous convulsions, 16. "Dress" of this part, 367
Macrobius, example from, 199
Madagascar, natives of, their expression of friendship, 158
Marc Antony, example from the character of, 217
Masks a constant part of the costume of the ancient performers, their uses, 362 to 364
Medea and Jason, example from the characters of, 89 — from the story of Medea, 110
Melancholy See the article *Desecration*
Men ranked by Aristotle above monkeys, for their superiority in the art of imitation, 36
Menechmus (the) ancient play of, 363
Merope, example from the tragedy of, 86
Modesty See the article *Shame*
Modifications of the human form classed into those founded on the mechanism of the body, and those more depending on the co-operation of the soul, 14
Mother and child, different modifications of their gestures in embracing each other, 89
Motive gestures, and examples of, 99, 100
Mouth, some of the muscles near, very expressive involuntarily of the passions, 23 Suddenly opened by fright and by astonishment, 104, 105, 107
Music picturesque gesture considered as a branch of, in the ancient Greek acceptation of this word, 258 Arts comprehended by the ancients under the term *music*, 258 Essential characters of the idea of music in this sense, the energetic, and the sensible, 259 — the energetic, and the sensible arts, distinguished, 262 Resem-

INDEX

blance between the fundamental ideas of gesture and of declamation, 262, 270 See also the article *Declamation*

Mystery of the Passion represented at Madrid, 228.

N

Negation, motion of the head in, considered, 74, 75

Gestures accompanying some cases of negation or refusal, 114, 113

Neglect and indifference are the severest marks of disdain, 164, 165

New Zealand, his expression of friendship, 159

News, gesture in asking, 99 Gesture produced by the fear of hearing bad news, 110

Niobe, examples from the story of, 90, 174

Nose contracted in the expression of strong contempt, 113 See also the article *Smells*

Nothing, denotation of, by gesture, 38

Notre Dame, M., his idea of a pantomime, 234, 236 His ballet of the Horatii, 238, 241.

O

Ostentacy, effect of the ideas of, upon the deportment, 36

Oedipus, example from the story of, 180

Opera, the chant of, considered, 319, 320

Otakeitans, expression of veneration and respect among, 155 Tear their hair, or wound the head or body, for joy at the return of a beloved object, 183, 184

Othello, examples from the tragedy of, 122, 124, 159, 141, 338

P

Painter, cause of the great difference between his province and that of the actor, in representing the true expression of the affections, 193

Paleness and redness are independant of the will, 23, 45

Pantomime, the extent of the efficacy of gesture in it considered, 220 Picturesque signs are indispensable to pantomime in certain cases, 221 Pantomimedical farces and warlike dances in savage life, 221 Comic ballets of

INDEX.

action; and example, 223, 224. Wash-like dances of savages further considered, 225, 226 Representation of the Royal Shepherd of Mount Ida, in the ancient theatres, 227. Pantomime cannot dispense with the rules of gesture established for the speaking drama, 228 The wonders related of some ancient pantomimical performers accounted for, 229, 230 The anecdote of the prince of Pontus, 230 Illustrations from Rabelais and St Augustine, 232 Establishment of pantomime at Carthage, 232 Words should never be reported to for explaining the gestures of pantomime, 234 Consideration of the assertion that pantomime is now more cramped than it was in the days of Augustus, 235 Pantomimical representation of a part of the story of the Horatii, 238 In order really to interest by pantomime, none but known subjects should be chosen, 239, 240 —this, with the necessity of abstaining from 'the use of words completely proves the imperfect nature of the art, 244 The senses however may be enriched by it, though the understanding is not, 245 Consideration of the possibility of creating a perfect language of gestures, 245 The perfection ascribed to the ancient pantomimes considered in this view, 253 —their real attainment in this respect appreciated, 254 The pantomime language of the modern Sicilians, 255 —inference from this example, 256 An objection answered, 257 The spiritless performance of a pantomime produces the same effect as a stupid and prosaic ode, 278

Paradox, gesture produced by hearing one, 112

Passions a ledgered to be susceptible of the same expression — in various ways with equal propriety, 4 Importance of a refutation of this opinion, 5 National peculiarities admitted 5 Particularities of sexual character, and individual qualities, 8 Broad and general basis on which an actor should study the passions, 10, 11 Difficulty of classifying the expressions of the passions, 121, 133 Corporeal sensation expressly distinguished by Descartes from the passions of the soul 140 The examination of physical effects not to be neglected, 141 Progress of the passions instanced in the example of inattention advancing into a high degree of curiosity, 333 —in the approach to cho-

INDEX.

Rules, pre^s, ⁶bed, degree in which they disadvantageously modify the deportment of an actor, 2, 3 No rules can exempt him from the necessity of thinking for himself, 54 Rules of arts are generally destined for the best and not the worst subjects, 189 Limitation of what can be effected by rules in the dramatic art, 216

S

St Augustine, illustration from, 232

Selima and Aor, example from the musical entertainment of, 188

Serpent, attitude of a man bit by one 102

Shakspeare, the rhymes are the most faulty parts of his plays, 292 State of theatrical dress in his time, 364

Shame and modesty, modes of expression, 6 Shame defined, 162 Expressions of it in different cases, 165 — in the bashful man, 167 Deportment of a man ashamed, on being steadfastly looked at, 168

Shepherds and shepherdesses, supposed ballet of the story of two, 224

Skylock, example from the character of, 355

Silence, perfections of a language of signs and gestures among, 255 to 257

Sir Charles Grandison, example from the novel of, 175

Sir Harry Wildair, dress of the 1st part, 366

Smile, gestures of attempting to dissipate or avoid offensive ones, 104, 109

Smile, different kinds of, 69

Sock, the ancient, its use, 364

Soliloquy, remarks on the deportment appropriate to, 57 to 61

Song, why it must be chaunted and not declaimed, 274

Sophia, Rousseau's character of, 79

Spectator, juvenile, at a theatrical representation for the first time, picture of, 35

Sneering sometimes employed to express a high degree of contempt, 113

Staggering backward occurs in the first moment of fear, 107

Curving back produced by a fearful idea, and by astonishment, 111

INDEX.

Sublimity, effects of the ideas of, upon the deportment, 34, 35.
Suffering, the sensation of, defined, 173.—*Distinguishing expressions and gestures of*, 178 to 180.
Suicide represented under the general head of despair, 138.—Its nature considered, 180, 181.
Suspicion, characteristic expression of, considered, 190.
Synenergy of the faculties, produced by the operation of every species of desire, 94, 108.

T.

Tancred, action appropriate to a particular passage in this character, 62.
Teeth, grinding of, produced by choler, 137.
Terrace, example from, 177.
Terror, extreme, deportment expressive of; and example, 86. See also the article *Fright*.
Thespis, his original apparatus and machinery described, 359.
Thunder and lightning, different modifications of the gestures of avoiding them, 91. Gesture of fright from thunder, 106.
• *Tiberius*, example from the history of, 85.
Tragedy in verse considered, 281, 286, 287.
Tranquil dialogue, remarks on the attitude and deportment suited to, 54—on the change from a tranquil to an active position, 54, 55.
Transitions, rapid, of any kind, peculiarly offensive in a dramatic poem, 308, 309.
Trembling, how produced by some evils, 114.
Tutor and pupil, utility derived by the former from gesture in their intercourse, 31.

V.

Vanity at personal accomplishments, deportment of, 146—
at having gained a point, 146, 147—at dignity, talents,
power, or merit, 147.—at birth, rank, or other external
advantages, 147.—at courage or firmness, 148. See
also the article *Pride*.

Veins swelled in choler, 118.

Veneration, European and Oriental methods of expressing,

INDEX.

let, 334, 335 —in the changes from joy, 335 Comparisons of Hume and of Home on the subject of the passions, 344 345. See also the article *Affections*

Peasants express the passions according to their true degree of feeling, 9 * *

Pherecydes, rythmical structure of his philosophical compositions considered, 273

Philosophical writing, the rythmical structure of its composition considered, 273

Physiological gestures described, 44

Picturesque gestures considered, and their utility exemplified, 31 to 34 Various classes and instances of them, 34 to 40 The union of picturesque with expressive gestures considered, and examples, 207 to 219^c. Picturesque gesture considered as a branch of music, in the ancient Greek acceptation of this word, 258 See further the article *Music*

Pinching, and gently drawing the garments to and fro, marks of the agonies of death, 15

Pitch-type of Greek's considered, 269

Pity, characteristic expression of, 189

Plays, reasons why they should be judged rather in the closet than in the theatre, 331

Poetry See the article *Verse*

Pointing to the skies, to designate the Deity, 37

Pontus, anecdote of the prince of, considered, 230, 231.

Posture, every change of, may have its particular expression by indication, 22

Preaching inquiry how far dramatic action may be employed in, 325 to 327

Pride and contempt, in what manner expressed, 8, 279. Outward expression of the joy of the proud man, 143, 144 A tendency towards separation and removal is common to both pride and veneration, 154 Difference between pride and disdain, 163 See also the article *Vanity*

Prologue-speakers, their dress in the time of Shakespeare, 364

Prose See the article *Verse*

Praise the highest mark of reverence, 6, 7

Psalter, an ancient performer of pantomime, 220, 239, 251 See also the article *Hylas*

Pythagoras, 57.

INDEX.

Q.

Queen Catherine (in *Henry VIII.*), example from the character of, 197.
Question, explanation why the voice is raised at the end of one, 271.
Quintilian, precept from, 201, 202, 219.

R.

Rabelais, example from, 232.
Rage, extravagant representation of, is disgusting, 45.
Ranger, dress of this character, 367.
Rapture, different kinds of, and their outward expressions, 137, 265, 275, 278.
Reciter claiming the attention of an auditor, gesture characteristic of, 100.
Redness in anger different from that in desire or love, 118.
 In sudden colouring and paleness there is something analogous to the situation of the soul, 122.
Reflection, instances of deportment expressing, 61, 65.
Refusal. See the article *Negation*.
Religious fervour, deportment in a transport of, 81.
Repose of the soul, examples of, 49, 50. See also the article *Inaction*.
Respect expressed in contrary methods by the European and the Asiatic, 5. See also the article *Veneration*.
Revenge sometimes exercised on inanimate objects; instances, 127.
Rhythmus of discourse considered in its various kinds, 272.
Riccoboni, pedantic quotation from, 30.
Richard, former ridiculous costume of this tragedy, 367.
Robe, a part of the costume of the ancient performers; its uses, 361.
Rolling on the ground, an extravagant expression of the passions by some actresses, 17.
Romeo, example from the character of, 180. Dress of it, 367.
Roscius and Cicero, example from the history of, 194, 195.
Royal patronage now extended to the drama, 2.
Royal Shepherd of Mount Ida, a ballet of action represented in the ancient theatres, 227.

INDEX. •

Rules, prescribed, degree in which they disadvantageously modify the deportment of an actor, 2, 3 No rules can exempt him from the necessity of looking for himself, 54 Rules of arts are generally designed for the best and not the worst subjects, 189 Limitation of what can be effected by rules in the dramatic art, 216.

S .

St Augustine, illustration from, 232
Selima and Aor, example from the musical entertainment of, 188
Serpent, attitude of a man bit by one 102
Shakespeare, the rhymes are the most faulty parts of his plays, 292 State of theatrical dress in his time, 364
Shame and modesty, modes of expressing, 6 Shame defined, 162 Expressions of it in different cases, 165 — in the bashful man, 167 Deportment of a man ashamed, on being steadfastly looked at, 168
Shepherds and shepherdesses, supposed ballet of the story of two, 224
Shylock, example from the character of, 355.
Sicilians, perfections of a language of signs and gestures among 255 to 257
Sir Charles Grandison, example from the novel of, 175
Sir Harry Wildair, dress of this part, 366
Smells, gestures of attempting to dissuade or avoid offensive ones, 104, 109
Smile, different kinds of, 69
Sock, the ancient, its use, 364
Soliloquies, remarks on the deportment appropriate to, 57 to 61
Song, why it must be chaunted and not declaimed, 274
Sophia, Rousseau's character of, 79
Spectator, juvenile, at a theatrical representation for the first time, picture of, 35
Spitting sometimes employed to express a high degree of contempt, 113
Staggering backward occurs in the first moment of fear, 107
Starting back produced by a fearful idea, and by astonishment, 111

INDEX.

Sublimity, effects of the ideas of, upon the deportment, 34, 36.
Suffering, the sensation of, defined, 173. Expressions and gestures of, 178 to 180.
Suicide represented under the general head of despair, 138. Its nature considered, 180, 181.
Suspicion, characteristic expression of, considered, 190.
Synenergy of the faculties, produced by the operation of every species of desire, 94, 108.

T.

Tancred, action appropriate to a particular passage in this character, 62.
Teeth, grinding of, produced by choler, 137.
Terence, example from, 177.
Terror, extreme, deportment expressive of; and example, 86. See also the article *Fright*.
Thespis, his original apparatus and machinery described, 559.
Thunder and lightning, different modifications of the gestures of avoiding them, 91. Gesture of fright from thunder, 106.
• *Tiberius*, example from the history of, 85.
Tragedy in verse considered, 281, 286, 287.
Tranquil dialogue, remarks on the attitude and deportment suited to, 54.—on the change from a tranquil to an active position, 54, 55.
Transitions, rapid, of any kind, peculiarly offensive in a dramatic poem, 308, 309.
Trembling, how produced by some evils, 114.
Tutor and pupil, utility derived by the former from gesture in their intercourse, 31.

V.

Vanity at personal accomplishments, deportment of, 146—at having gained a point, 146, 147—at dignity, talents, power, or merit, 147.—at birth, rank, or other external advantages, 147.—at courage or firmness, 148. See also the article *Pride*.

Veins swelled in choler, 118.

Veneration, European and Oriental methods of expressing,

6, 7. The natural and essential sign of the sentiment is a motion of the body, 7. This sentiment defined, 152. It is the opposite of pride, 153. Gestures appropriate to its expression; and the intrinsic significancy of some of these examined, 153. A tendency toward separation and removal, is common to both veneration and pride, 154. Uncovering the upper part of the body, an expression of veneration among the Otaheitans, 155. Way in which one modification of this sentiment affects the voice, 269.

Verse, dramatic pieces written in, considered, 281, 283. Examination of the defence of this practice from the example of the ancients, 284. Opinion of Aristotle in favour of prose, 287. The size of their theatres, and number of their audience, occasioned the Greeks to employ verse, 287, 288. Verse opposed as unnatural, and defended as most appropriate, 290, 291. The critics are in favour of it, and the amateurs of the drama against it, 291. Verse seldomest pleases, because difficult of adequate execution, 292. Examples against it, from the plays of Dryden and of Shakspeare, 292 —from the comedy of the Honey Moon, 293. The great end of the poet is not merely to please, but to produce an effect, 294. Different kinds of metre are appropriate in particular views, 296. The dramatic, very differently situated from the lyric or the elegiac, poet, 297. Descriptive and didactic poetry considered, 298. The dramatic poet has not one only, but many sentiments, to excite; and therefore should not confine himself to a single measure throughout his piece, 299. This practice authorized by the ancients, 300. Quintilian's censure of Terence in this respect, and Bentley's animadversions on the former, 300. Declamation may diminish the fault of the poet in this point, 301. The situation and circumstances of the epic, compared with those of the dramatic, poet, 302. The dramatic poet should avoid every thing prejudicial to the idea of reality, 305, 306 —rapid transitions of any kind peculiarly offensive in this respect, 308, 309. The number of the discourse should be constantly in the most perfect harmony with the situation of the soul, 310. Inference establishing the ne-

INDEX.

ecessity of writing in prose, 310, 312 Metres either uniform or mixed, shewn to be improper, 312 Absurd or nugatory consequences of each, 313 The epic, ~~and~~ differently circumstantial in this regard, 314 General conclusion a dramatic piece in verse less perfect than one in prose, 315 —more easy of composition, 315 Number is only a simple supplement to the general effect of a drama, 318 The chant of the opera considered, 319 Reasons why the example of the Greeks ought not to be a model for us, 319 The action in the performance of a piece should be modified by the circumstance of its being written in prose or in verse, 322

*F*ezation, the sentiment of, considered, 171, 172

Voice, the inflexions of, should be managed with great nicety, 18, 19 Modifications of it produced by different passions and situations, and examples, 265 to 275

W.

Walk, its nature to be determined by the series of ideas, 43, 59, 60 kind of, in choler, 118 —in contempt, 220

Weepers at ancient funerals, 47

West Indian, example from the comedy of, 130 Character of this play, 130.

Widow tearing her hair by the tomb of her husband, the case of, considered, 181

Wonder, example from the comedy of 250

Wooden legged and ill formed man, ridiculous imitation of, 213

X

Xenophon, illustration from, 145

Aenes, example from the history of, 117

Z

Zaire, example from the drama of, 350, 351

ERRATA.

P 19 7 18, for *orationis* read *oratoria*
" 40, 4 for *an* read *any*
46 12 for *deponitent* read *deponuisse*; t
58, last, for *vezat* read *bendit* and put a colon after this word
59 .. 1 for *extinguis* read *extingui*
73 note refers to Plate the Thirteenth
75 8 for *abhorret* read *abhorret*
83 1 for *stretch* read *stretch*.
85 .. 7 for *too* read *so*
13 *soft facientem* should be one word
94, ... 18 for *make* read *makes*
167 6 for *perfusus* read *perfusas*
311 6 before *a* holds insert *she*
279 7 for *objects* read *object*
138 10 for *di* read *da*
240 4 (*contents*) for *prymnent* read *physical*
269 3 after *with* insert *that*
256 3 for *Plato* read *Plutus*
374 .21 for *braccio seddere* read *brachia reddere*
277 9 for *ormantur* read *ormatur*
13 for *Ileaudontium* read *Ileaudonitum*.
383, ... 3 delete *that*
385 .. just, for *you* read *your*
193 .. 5 for *sententiam* read *sententiam*
8 for *adhuc* read *ad hanc*
*100 .. 16 *utri usque* should be one word
*11 .. 10 for *this* read *his*
216 16 for *revolue* read *revolve*
277 .. 5* for *Charleroi* read *Charlevoix*
831 .. 16 *all cut* should be one word
235 5 for *Suries* read *sur les*
251 2 after *of* over the
239, .. 7 delete the first *an*
*92 14 for *many* read *many*
234 .. 5 after *with* add *his*
246 } 10 } for *Alectes* read *Alecto*.
230 }

A

LIST OF THE PLATES.

Suspicion, see page	38
Contempt	39
Pride	50
Phlegm	50
Hauteur	52
Idiotism	52
Sinking to repose	54
Starting from repose	54
Doubt	60
Apprehension	60
Painful Recollection	60
Scorn	62
Sublime Admiration	72
Astonishment	76
Devotion	80
Affection	88
Terror	90
A voluptuary	96
Thirst	96
Expectation	98
Terror, from Lairesse	102
Terror, as described by Engel	106
Medea	110
Voluptuous Indolence	136
Joy	136
Conceit	146
Vulgar Arrogance	148
Menace	148
Dejection	178
False Gesture	213
False Gesture	218
False Gesture	218
False Gesture	218
Love	250
Jealous Rage	250
Servility	250

LIST OF PLATES.

Loftiness	250
Franquoy	276
Quietude	276
Anger	276
Despondency	276
Indifference	334
Expectation	334
Excited Interest	334
Gratification	334
Despair	346
Enthusiasm	348
Distraction and Persuasion	348
Persuasion repulsed	350
Reproach	369
Supplication	370
Fallen Greatness	371
Sickness	372
Conceit	373
Vulgar Triumph	374
Hopeless Love	375
Vulgar Astonishment	376
Obsequiousness	377
Rustic Cunning	378
Bajazet	379
Foppery	380
Mirth	381
Agility	382
Adoration	383
Hearty Welcome	384
Fashionable Impudence	385
A Discovery	386
Obsequious Attention	387

VALUABLE BOOKS.

Just published.

AN INQUIRY into THE REQUISITE CULTIVATION and PRESENT STATE of the ARTS of DESIGN in ENGLAND, dedicated to the President and Governors of the British Institution for promoting the Fine Arts

By PRINCE HOARE, Esq

Secretary for Foreign Correspondence to the Royal Academy of Arts

Price 7s in Boards, illustrated with a Sketch of the Graphic Muse, from the Painting of Sir Joshua Reynolds.

THE CABINET OF ENGLISH POETRY; or, Select Specimens of the Works of every English Poet, from Chaucer to Cowper, the whole prefaced by a HISTORY of the Rise and Progress of English Poetry, from the earliest Ages to the present Time, and the successive specimens introduced by a BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH of each Poet.

By S J PRATT, Esq.

In six elegant Volumes, embellished with highly-finished Portraits of the principal Poets

The voluminous extent of the entire works of the British Poets, and the tax which they impose on the time and the purse of those persons who wish to acquire correct ideas of our National Poetry, have suggested the propriety of an elegant selection, which, while it preserves every real beauty, should, by its historical and chronological arrangement, exhibit a systematic view of the art, and of those who have practised it. Such a work has long been a desideratum; and much care having been bestowed on the execution of the plan now submitted to the public, it may be presumed that the lovers of poetry of every age and rank will be gratified by its appearance.

Among the portraits will be given those of Chaucer, Spenser, Shakspeare, Milton, Butler, Dryden, Addison, Prior, Gay, Pope, Churchill, Shenstone, Collins, Gray, Mason, Burne, and Cowper, chiefly from original pictures, the property of the publisher.

NOVELLETTES — By AUGUSTUS VON KOTZELBUE, Author of the Stranger, Lovers' Vows, Pizarro, The Birth Day, &c &c Translated at Berlin, under the superintendance of the Author, in three Volumes, Foolscape 8vo printed so as to correspond with the various Travels of the same Author, and embellished with Engravings, Price 45s

VALUABLE BOOKS, JUST PUBLISHED

TALIS FOR THE CASTLE; or, Stories of Instruction and Entertainment, written in French, by Madame la Comtesse de Genlis, and translated into English

By THOMAS HOLCROFT.

The eighth Edition, revised and improved. In 2 vols. 1s. Price 20s. bound, embellished with fine beautiful Engravings.

* * * The enchanting stories contained in this delightful book, exhibit the most amiable pictures of virtue, and teach that practical benevolence, that charity towards others, and that evil conduct which never fails to produce very painful effects. It is justly observed by an eminent moral writer, that there never was a work which contained so much of the sound philosophy of real life, as the Tales of the Castle, and which is calculated, at the same time, to make an vivid impression, by the pleasing manner in which its principles are exhibited.

THE WEST INDIA COMMON PLACE BOOK; shewing the STATE and VALUE of the BRITISH SUGAR COLONIES, in Relation to the West India Proprietary, and distinctively to the Public and Crown of Great Britain - exhibited in Tables of Population, Property, and Income, Trade, Navigation, and Revenue, computed from Parliamentary and other official Documents.

To which are added, Commentaries on the several Subjects of Commercial Entry, and especially on the American Intercourse, on the Navigation Acts; on the Shipping Interest of Great Britain, on Co-ports, on Free Ports, on Naval and Military Defence, on the Mortality of Troops, with Suggestions of Remedy and Reform, and on the Regulation of Limited Service, as applicable to British Regiments serving in the West Indies, &c &c.

By SIR WILLIAM YOUNG, Bart M P F R S.

In one elegant Quarto Volume, illustrated by two Maps, Price 25s in Boards.

The STRANGER IN IRELAND, or a Tour through the SOUTHERN and WESTERN PARTS of that COUNTRY, in the Year 1805, containing Views of the State of Society, Manners, and Opinions, among the People of Ireland, interspersed with numerous characteristic Anecdotes.

By SIR JOHN CARR

In an elegant Volume, Quarto, decorated with seventeen beautiful Engravings, Price 45s in Boards.

ADVERTISEMENT.

THE learned and ingenious work of M. Engel, Member of the Royal Academy of Berlin, on Gesture and Theatrical Action, has long been known and deservedly esteemed on the continent of Europe. It may be said, with justice, to contain the most luminous views relative to the external expression of the human passions, and to reduce to scientific principles the art of public speaking.

Such a work has obviously been a desideratum in the English language, and, in many respects, a translation of the treatise of M. Engel might have been sufficient to supply it; but as the application of his principles, in the original work, was adapted to the business of the German stage, and as his references and examples were chiefly taken from the German drama, it became an essential duty of the Editor to Anglicise the matter, as well as translate the language of his author. In performing this task, the

iv ADVERTISEMENT.

general sentiments and opinions of M. Engel have been scrupulously retained, at the same time that, in the particular application of them, care has been taken to give to the work, in its new form, all the interest of which it was susceptible to the English reader.

It remains for the Editor to express his acknowledgments to the friend whose taste and genius are exhibited in the graphic delineations which accompany the text. The original work is not destitute of engravings, but they were small in size, the physiognomy of the characters was German, and the costume not such as is introduced in the best representations on the modern English stage.

London, Jan. 1807.

ILLUSTRATION

OR

GESTURE AND ACTION.

LETTER I.

ON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE PRACTICAL ILLUSTRATION OF
GESTURE AND ACTION

The arguments by which you have endeavoured to persuade me to give up my ideas of a Treatise on the Defects and Proprieties of the Dress and Action now adopted in our Theatres, have produced an effect on my mind, entirely opposite to the sentiments you wished to excite. So far from convincing me of my error, they have tended to root my original opinion more deeply. This, you will say, is the way in which every self-willed blockhead conducts himself, the more one shews him the

ILLUSTRATIONS OR

folly or impracticability of his projects, with the greater obstinacy is he sure to pursue them. Although I flatter myself, my dear friend, that I do not exactly deserve this reproach from you, yet I cannot resist a strong impulse which I feel, to pursue the subject a little farther, if it be only to convince myself, that there really is nothing so very *absurd* or *extravagant*, in the ideas which I formerly communicated to you.

Our theatres have lately made such rapid strides towards perfection in the article of *costume*, that we may reasonably expect the completion of our hopes. If ever a liberal and discerning public had a right to look for this perfection in their favourite amusement, it is at the present moment. When the august monarch of this nation honors every one with his countenance who makes an effort for the improvement of the drama, it would be shameful, indeed, if those who are versed in the science, did not exert every faculty, to co-operate in removing all obstructions in the way of its progression and improvement. You tell me, that every thing which is executed by *prescribed rules*, will be *formal, stiff, embarrassed, and precise*. You will please to observe how I endeavour to answer this objection. While the rule is per-

petually present to the mind of the scholar, he will, perhaps, be awkward and confused in all his gestures, and the fear of making constant mistakes, will render him more constrained and irresolute, than if he were to give way to his habitual actions. I will grant you thus much with great willingness, but you will in return allow me one grand and general position, viz. that use is a second nature. A man when he first learns to *dance*, moves with a solemnity which approaches the ridiculous; but, this solemnity in time wears off, and his step becomes not only more majestic, but more sure, more free, and more unembarrassed, than he who has never practised that accomplishment. Should you state, in reply to this, that the same argument will hold good in the mere *exercise* of the profession of an actor, I answer, that though the general rule be allowed, that habit becomes a kind of nature; yet the same rule will have equal place with regard to *awkward* as well as *elegant* actions. A constant custom of appearing before the public, may make a man bold, but between *grace* and *boldness* there is as wide a difference as there is between *light* and *darkness*.

Do not think me, however, so absolutely de-

voted to my own theory, as to be totally insensible to the objections you have made to the practicable part of my system. Some of your arguments are weighty, and I own they have put me to some trouble in my efforts to remove them No science was ever yet brought to any perfection, without much labour in surmounting difficulties, and I only demand a requisite degree of patience in the examination of mine Your chief objection to my plan is sensible, and, I must confess, is founded on the principles of nature and of reason If I understand your argument aright, you mean to say, that the same expression or modification of a passion is delineated by various ways in various persons, without a necessity of any one of those modes being superior to the other; and that we must likewise consider the personages in their characters, national and private, the age and sex, and the thousand complex &c. belonging to them, before we can safely say what is the *best* expression or modification of a peculiar passion

Your objection, thus interpreted and explained, I own, carries a great weight with it, and merits a very serious consideration on my part.

LETTER II.

ON THE VARIETY OF MANNERS IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE WORLD—THE EUROPEAN—THE INHABITANTS OF ORIENTAL COUNTRIES—THE ORIGIN OF THE CAP OF LIBERTY—DIFFERENT DEGREES OF EXPRESSIONS OF AMITY AND AFFECTION,—THE COURTIER AND THE COTTAGER.—THE STUDY OF HISTORY RECOMMENDED.

YOU ask me wherefore I lay so much stress upon the *one* particular objection of yours with which I concluded my last letter? You also demand why I single *it* from the numberless others, as meriting a serious disquisition? It is because that peculiar objection seems to indicate the true mode by which the theory of *theatrical* action is to be more fully developed.

It is very true that the inhabitants of various countries have different modes of expressing the same passions, and that this difference is often strikingly obvious.

The European, when he would give a mark of respect, takes off his hat; the inhabitant of the East keeps *his head covered*, under the same circumstances. The former expresses the very highest degree of veneration and humility

towards an acknowledged superior, by a bend of the head, and a trivial inclination of the back—he rarely bows the knee The other, in the same predicament, muffles up his visage, and falls prostrate on the earth The uncovering of the head amongst the Europeans is by no means a natural expression, but simply a mere allusion to some ancient and arbitrary custom Probably it alludes to that of the Romans, who never allowed their slaves to carry any covering for the head till they had been legally affranchised, and, for this reason, the bonnet or cap is unto this day the symbol of liberty

To veil and cover up the face is a natural expression, and carries the idea of respect affe~~veneration~~ veneration to its very climax. It is equally the sign of shame and modesty In short, it is the most humble mode of avowing the sense of our own unworthiness, when weighed against the superior and more lofty qualifications of another Shame and modesty have the same affinity to each other which subsists between fear and veneration for this reason, the European, naturally cold, expresses this latter sentiment either by modestly inclining his eyes towards the ground, or by seldom raising them without

an appearance of timidity. Let the mind, however, abstract itself from these characteristical shades; let it endeavour to do away the allusion to an ancient custom in the European, and the more exalted enthusiasm of the inhabitant of the Oriental countries, and the truly *natural* and *essential* part of the sentiment will yet remain; to wit, the motion of the body. This expression is carried to its highest pitch, when a man extends himself on the ground, with his face in contact with the earth. The most slight mark of the *same* expression is when he confines himself to a simple motion of the head. I conclude, therefore, that this sign is *natural* and *essential*, because it is *general*, and holds place with all people, with all nations, without distinction of their ranks, their estates, or their conditions; though I grant that it admits of a wide and infinite variety of shades and circumflexions. I do not know any one country on the face of the earth, any one class of men, who would strive to express esteem, respect, or veneration, by lifting up their heads, or seeming to give an additional height to their stature; as, on the contrary, I am inclined to believe that there is no nation or body of men who do not ex-

press pride and contempt by a deportment exactly the reverse; that is to say, by an exaltation of the head, by a straightening of the back, and sometimes erecting themselves on their toes, to give an air more commanding and imposing to the general contour of the figure.

If the general character of countries causes a variety in the expression of the passions, this expression is equally modified by the character proper for each sex, and for each age, as well as by the individual qualities of each man in particular. The characteristic determinations of the moral nature and the organization of the body may *vary* the manners, sentiments, and expressions, in a thousand ways, without occasioning any alteration in the grand *essence*. One is impetuous, another is indolent; while the first expresses his irritability, the other remains *immoveable*. Impatience makes the former throw his body into a thousand contortions, while the same sentiment in the latter only displays itself in his physiognomy. That which makes the one man laugh aloud, till his sides ache with the exertion, only raises a smile, hardly visible, on the lip of his opposite.

The same observation holds good with regard to the variety of states. The squeeze of the hand, the kiss, and the embrace, are three different modes of expressing amity and affection.—The first is the *weakest*, because it simply joins two extremities of the human body to each other. The last is the most forcible, because it in a manner draws together and incorporates the object with oneself. The great, with whom *politeness* is now become a species of *virtue*, have digested a code of laws of their own, wherein they introduce all these signs of amity into what they are pleased to denominate civility and good breeding. They generally outstep the modesty of nature in these expressions, adapting the strongest of them to the most trifling occasions. They talk of *transport*, when the simple word *pleasure* would signify more than they really *feel*; they bow to the very ground, when a slight inclination of the head would be adequate to the occasion. They dart into each others arms with rapture, when advancing a few steps would express all the *real* pleasure they can be supposed to experience in their meeting.

The *inhabitants* of the country, that child, cherished by parent Nature, whose heart the

manners of large cities has not yet vitiated nor abased, knows also the value of an *embrace*; but he reserves this last token of affection for the period of undissembled transport; till the happy moment when a long-absent son returns to his paternal roof. He expresses the common intercourses of friendship by a simple pressure of the *hand*; but when the *heart* is really concerned, he is all fire, all energy, all force!!!

You see that we have here a general and essential trait—a *tendency to approach* and to *unite* to each other—the natural consequences of intimacy and friendship; all the differences of which, in various classes of society, are solely indicated by the different degrees of intimacy and union, as also by some secondary modifications depending on the polished modes of rough manners, on the warmth and heat of constitution, and a thousand other accidental variations in the passions, sentiments, opinions, and organization of individuals. It is upon these general, natural, and essential traits, that (in my opinion) it would be possible to form a system of the highest possible utility to those engaged in a theatrical pursuit.

The player who wishes to be accomplished in his art should not only study the passions on

their broad and general basis; he should trace their operations in all their shades, in all their different varieties, as they act upon different conditions, and as they operate in various climates. The perusal of history, and an attention to the several collections of voyages and travels which have been made, will enable an intelligent player to form some idea of countries far distant, of ages long past. This is a most necessary study for a comedian; this alone can give him an idea of the exact manners and customs of different nations, and at different times. The more he reasons over his task, the wider will his knowledge extend: he will find his imagination expanded by these studies. The consciousness of a proper knowledge of his subject will give confidence to his demeanour, persuasion to his accents, and a general eloquence to all he says or does. .

LETTER III.

PERFECTION MORE REQUISITE TO THE ACTOR THAN OTHER ARTISTS—HIS ADVANTAGES AND DIFFICULTIES—AN IMITATION OF NATURE RECOMMENDED—SERVILE COPYING TO BE SHUNNED—BEAUTY OF A GREAT ACTRESS, IN DELINEATING THE AGONIES OF DEATH—FARTHER REMARKS ON THIS HEAD—HINTS TO THE LADIES CONCERNING AN OVER-VIGOUR IN THE REPRESENTATION OF PARTICULAR PASSIONS—GENERAL REFLECTIONS.

IT appears to me, my dear friend, that the tables are completely turned. How is this? You! you who, a short time ago, laughed at my theory, as absurd and impracticable, now give me the greatest encouragement to proceed in my plan! All the comedians, you say, will be greatly obliged to me:—of that I know nothing. I agree with you, however, that there is no artist to whom perfection ought to appear more important, than to the *actor*; because none enjoys the favour of the public in a manner more prompt, more pleasing, or more brilliant. You will likewise say, that there is no artist who is a witness of the criticisms made on his talent in a way so public

and so humiliating ; not only because the disgrace is testified as openly as the triumph, but add to this, that the victim is forced to be present at his own immolation, and cannot, like the celebrated painter of antiquity, hide himself behind a curtain, to hear what the judges say of his works This circumstance may serve to explain why a public performer is more alive to the darts of criticism than other artists. But how can we account for that apathy, that incurious negligence, which is so prevalent among the generality of them How comes it that they do not aim more strongly at perfection ? that they strive not to form themselves in all the different branches of their art, by reading, by reflection, and by a better chosen society ? The greater part of them are enchanted by the ignorance and bad taste of the public. They would rather (to use a forcible phrase) usurp the applause of an audience, foment theatrical cabals, and, governed by a base jealousy, seize on every principal character, whether adapted to their peculiar abilities or no, to keep their rivals out of sight, than strive to deserve the approbation of the judicious, by the real merit of their acting I much fear that lessons, delivered in a public manner, will be more calcu-

Iated to excite their *anger* than their *gratitude*; for in the act of giving information to them, the public is enlightened at the same time. In the great number of these artists, it is but justice to own, that there are some who think and act in a more noble manner. To these, then, I shall address the following pages.

To render my work less difficult, I ought to begin it by *classing* the different modifications of the human form, which the actor studies after nature. They consist of two principal species : in those which are solely founded on the mechanism of the *body* ; as, for example, a difficulty of respiration after running rapidly, and the sinking of the eyelids at the approach of sleep, &c. &c. &c. and in those which, more depending on the co-operation of the *soul*, serve as mediums to judge of its affections, its movements, and its desires, as occasional *motives* and *causes*. It would be ridiculous to make a scrupulous and faithful enumeration of the former; for every one knows that sleep closes the eyelids.

There are but two counsels to give the actor on this head : first, that he ought to seize all occasions of observing nature, even in those effects which are unfrequent in their occur-

rence; and, in the second place, that he should never lose sight of the main end and grand design of his art, by shocking the spectator with too coarse or too servile an imitation.

If the first actress now on our stage had never been present at the bed of a dying person, her acting, under such circumstances, might probably have lost one of its most natural and affecting traits.

It is remarked, says a celebrated foreign author, that persons in the agonies of death have a custom of *pinching*, and gently drawing to and fro their garments.

Our actress has made the most happy use of this remark. At the moment when her soul is supposed to be just ready to quit her body, she gives signs of a slight convulsion, but this is apparent only at the ends of her fingers; she nips up her robe, and the arm, in that action, suddenly seems numbed and powerless; the last effort of a smouldering flame, the expiring beam of a sun just ready to set.

With regard to the second piece of advice, I would give one rule, which, I believe, has been frequently adduced before;—that the agonies and approaches of death ought not to be represented with all the horrors which attend these—

dreadful moments in nature. The judicious player will soften down these horrors. His head should have more the appearance of a man sinking to a sound sleep, than of a person convulsed with strong agonies; the voice should be broken and altered, but not so as to give the effect of a disgusting rattling: in a word, an actor ought to acquire a manner of his own, in representing the last sigh of expiring mortality. He should give such an idea of death as every man would wish to feel at that crisis; though, perhaps, no one ever will have the good fortune to find that wish accomplished. Contemplate (if you can find patience for the task) the abominable grimaces and unnatural distortions in which some players indulge themselves under similar circumstances, and you will acknowledge the justice of this rule. I have myself seen a *Macbeth* die in convulsions, which were certainly very naturally imitated, but at the same time have thrown the spectators into convulsions of laughter, equally natural with his own. I would advise such a comedian to read and re-consider the many excellent rules delivered by the Roman satirist, and, amongst the rest, to observe the following:

Nec pueros coram populo Medea trucidet
 Aut humana palam coquat exta-*nefarius*
Atreus.

Quæcunq; ostendis mihi sic-*incredulus odi.*

I do not know what evil genius persuades so many of our performers, the females in particular, that it is so exquisite a manœuvre to be perpetually rolling themselves on the ground. A lady acting Juliet, or any other character of that description, will sometimes fall on the boards with such violence, when she hears of the death or banishment of her lover, that we are really alarmed, lest her poor skull should be fractured by the violence of the concussion. Applause gained by arts so unnatural and so disgusting can only come from the ignorant and injudicious, who, incapable of forming a judgment on the real merit or interest of a touching situation, would be just as much concerned in the fate of a Punchinello or an Harlequin. If, on such an occurrence, an *amateur* be sometimes induced to join his suffrage to the general plaudit of the house, it is by a natural transition from contempt to pity: he is enchanted to find that the poor creature (who,

though a most execrable actress, may be a very good girl for all that) has drawn herself out of her dangerous predicament, with all her limbs safe and sound These feats of agility, these perilous leaps and jumps, are beneath the dignity even of a *legitimate* pantomime, which represents an *action*, and whose object is to excite both *interest* and attention they are only proper to posture masters, at a fair, where, all the interest being centred in the *individual* alone, and on his *corporeal* pliability, this interest rises the higher, the more imminent the danger to which he exposes himself The modifications of the body, which depend upon the co-operation of the soul, and which manifest themselves in a manner more or less imposing, often have a signification extremely vague and general they answer to the inflexions of the voice, which should be managed with so much nicety, as to fix the attention of the auditor to the *same point* which employs that of the speaker But this method of exciting the attention must be aided by another, more marking, more rapid, and more determinable—that is to say, by a method which may strike with greater force upon the *senses* As for example, by the raising or sinking of

the voice—by a pronunciation more slow and more imposing—or by a particular tone, marked and emphatical, on the word indicating the idea peculiarly worthy of this distinction.

However feeble this mode may appear at the first blush, its utility has been confirmed by long practice and experience; the mind which feels its own resources will never fail to have recourse to it, more especially if that mind be aided and assisted by a well-tuned voice. If the inflexion or tone of the voice comes sometimes to aid attention, action or gesture will certainly have the same effect; as, for example, the hand spread out, the arm extended to its full length, the

“Manus minus arguta, digitis subsequens verba, non exprimens (the) brachium procerius projectum, quasi quoddam telum orationis.” *Cicero De Orat. l. iii. c. 59.*

The gently striking of one hand against the other; a slight movement of the head, which indicates a wish to dwell on such or such a word: all these means may be employed to aid the elucidation of a particular idea. The rule by which this kind of action should be governed, is the same to which the accents and

tones of the voice ought to be limited. For as the actor ought to employ the aid of emphasis for principal words alone, without accenting them all with the same precision, which would render them confused, pompous, and ridiculous, so in his actions he ought to retain their force for such passages as more immediately require them. A perpetual see-saw of the arms, such as we observe in school-boys, when repeating their set speeches, fatigues the eye, by its insipidity, as much as an indiscriminate emphasis on every word, in a long sentence, fatigues and disgusts a well-governed ear by its tiresomeness and monotony. "Any thing so overdone is from the purpose of playing."

Shakespear.

— I fear I have rather fatigued than amused you by my observations, which may appear somewhat trivial in your eyes. But, not to overburthen you all at once, I shall reserve the general reflections which are to be made on the gestures and movements of the human body for another epistle.

LETTER IV.

—
GESTURE PICTURESQUE—AND GESTURE EXPRESSIVE—OF THE MUSCLES AND THE EYES—THE MOUTH—OF REDNESS AND PALENESS—OF CRYING AND LAUGHING—THE BOTANIST AND PHYSIOGONOMIST—THEIR MODES OF STUDY SIMILAR TO EACH OTHER.

—

ALL modifications of the body, at all particular or determined, are to be divided into two ranks or classes—the gestures *picturesque*, and the gestures *expressive*. The seat of these gestures is not fixed to this or that particular portion of the body; the soul exercises an equal power over all the muscles, as you have observed, that every one of them may be figuratively said to speak in the celebrated statue of Laocoön. But this expression is, in some sort, too weak in certain particular muscles, compared with various others easy to be remarked. Besides, there are some parts too much covered by the drapery for every light and rapid expression to be discovered. The countenance is the principal seat of the movements of the soul—the most eloquent parts of

the visage are the eyes, the eyebrows, the forehead, the mouth, the nose, in short, the whole head, as well as the neck, the shoulders, the hands, and the feet there is no change of posture which may not have its particular expression or indication The sentiments of Le Brun contradict the general opinion of the eye being the most eloquent part of the countenance According to this painter, the eyebrow is the most faithful interpreter of the passions For my own part, I am more inclined to side with Pliny, who gives a decided preference to the eye You will please to remark, that there is a general rule which determines the expression, and by which (to a certain degree) we may generally measure the extent or vivacity of a sentiment The soul speaks the most frequently, and most easily, in those parts where the muscles are *pliable* and *ductile*, of course she is most eloquent through the medium of those which compose the countenance —she speaks, consequently, most frequently in the eyes These operate so spontaneously, and so easily, that they hardly leave an interval between the sentiment and its effect The most reflective mind, the most determined sing froid, cannot arrest the momentary explosion,

through they can, in some degree, regulate and control every other movement of the body.

The man who wishes to conceal the predominant passions of his soul, ought to guard, above all things, against allowing them to fix in his *eyes*. Let him be equally careful of some of the muscles approaching the mouth, which are very difficult to master and command. Notwithstanding the soul always preserves some power over the muscles, she has none over the *blood*, says *Descartes*; and this is the reason why sudden redness and paleness are always independent of our will. If, then, the face, and, above all, the eyes, have this incontestible advantage in the expression of all which passes in the interior of the soul, what a pity that it should be so difficult to describe and note their changes and effects !

The French philosopher I have just quoted points out the reason of this extreme difficulty: "There exists no passion," says he, "which may not be denoted by some particular movement of the eye. This movement is sometimes so strikingly marked, that the most stupid lacquey can read in it the satisfaction or the anger of his master. But though these movements of the visionary nerves may be easily

remarked, and their significations may be tolerably clear, it is still no easy labour to define them with *exactitude* and *precision*. Each of them depend on infinite varieties and numberless mutations, which are so curiously and finely blended, that to distinguish and separate them is a labour frequently approximating to an impossibility."

One might nearly say the same thing of all the other expressive marks of the face, for though some have less of fineness than the eyes, yet it still requires a great portion of labour and difficulty to analyse and define them. They so frequently vary, and confound themselves one with the other, that there are some men who, in crying, make use of the same muscles which are employed by others whilst they are laughing.

But you will doubtless say, What is the use of this scrupulous mode of examining every different *ramification* of a gesture? It would be much better to have clear and distinct denominations—such as would be within the scope of every capacity. I grant it would be a great advantage, if we did possess these defined terms. But all languages are at present so meagre, that to give even *simple ideas* on

the subject in question is by no means an easy undertaking. Yet let us not entirely give up a hope founded on reason and possibility—a hope that some accomplished artist may, one day or other, supply the defects of language, and give us intelligible rules for the practice of this difficult theorem. While reflecting, says Sulzer, that, by the sole examination of drawings and descriptions, an amateur in natural history is able to imprint the shape of many thousand plants and insects in his mind, with such exactitude and precision, that he can mark the slightest variations in their structures—the most minute deviation in their anatomical parts—we may with reason conclude, that a collection of different physiognomies, collected and classed with the same industry, is a scheme equally possible, and that a new art would result from the attempt, not less important in its kind. Why would not a collection of expressive gestures and attitudes be as easy as a collection of drawings, plants or shells? And if this affair should one day become an object of serious study, why should not technical words be in time found out, as proper for this science as those at present discovered for the facilitation of the study of natural history?

I am sure you will not be the man to compare the science of selecting butterflies and shells with an art which, if it should ever rise to an eminence, will be employed in the investigation of the noblest portion of Heaven's noblest work—the erect, the contemplative, the sublime countenance of its own image—*man!*

LETTER V.

OF THE BEAUTIFUL—AND THE NATURAL OR TRUE—THE
TWO QUESTIONS RE-UNITED—NATURE BEYOND ART IN A
PLAYER—THE RULES OF RICCOBONI.

IF the gestures are the exterior and visible signs of our bodies, by which the interior modifications of the soul are manifested and made known, it follows that we may consider them under a double point of view. In the first place, as visible changes of themselves ;—secondly, as the means indicative of the interior operations of the soul. This double point of view gives occasion for a double question. Art will demand an answer to this interrogation—In the first place—What is *beautiful* ? —In the second place it will enquire, What is *natural*, or *true* ? Now, neither the one nor the other of these questions is to be neglected. Art will re-unite them into a single proposition, and will ask—What is at the same time the *most beautiful* and the *most true* ?

Go over all the particular rules which have been laid down, concerning the action of the orator, and even of the comedian, and you will find, to the great disadvantage of the art, that the practitioners have cultivated the *first* requisite much more than the *second*. Thus the greater part of the traditional rules concerning *theatrical declamation*, have no other object than dignity or beauty.

From hence we derive that frigid eloquence in the acting of so many of our performers, and the minnikin, dull, formal precision of several others. Art is a great assistant, but nature is the very soul of a player. Now nature differs much in different men, but what is natural in one personage, shall be ridiculous in another. We have an actor on our own stage, who formerly played the comic and the tragic casts alternately. This actor despised the pompous strut of his predecessors when he attempted to imitate the manners of gentlemen, in private life, he never endeavoured to tread with the mincing exactitude of a dancing master, or to lay stress on syllables, till he gave to trifles the dubious importance of oracles. Truth ought to be the guide of every artist, and this principle has been the general

conductor of the excellent comic actor to whom I allude. Beauty was likewise his guide, but subordinate to his first grand principle. Without ever losing sight of truth, or nature, he blended them most happily together. When acting in tragedy he was not equally happy. Formed for the laughter-loving Muse, it was somewhat whimsical to see him assume the *Hero*, and, with his light utterance and airy form, go through characters of weight and consequence*.

But let us return to the bad effects which would fall on the comedian, if he were to follow the advice of those who are constantly importuning him to pay his *sole attention* to the grace of his attitudes. I shall quote a passage on this subject, which I think somewhat to the purpose.

Riccoboni has laid down some rules, in his Art of the Theatre, which would render that

* The truly excellent comedian here alluded to has long dropped the buskin of tragedy, and applies himself solely to a cast of character, wherein we read of no predecessor who has exceeded him, and know no successor to his well-earned honours. Every true lover of the drama will join me in wishing that his course may be long as it has been brilliant, and that he may yet flourish many years, admired by the public, and respected by his friends.

player a mere *pedant*, who should follow them to the *letter*. My object is not to make an enumeration of these rules here, or to refute them in the detail. I shall simply confine myself to the motion of the hands, of which he speaks thus : " In lifting up the arm, the superior part, i. e. that from the shoulder to the elbow, ought to be first elevated : the hand ought be the last part in action," &c. &c.

Is not all this a species of pedantry, and more adapted to complete a set of puppets, than to form a race of great orators and accomplished comedians? No !

LETTER VI.

GESTURE USEFUL IN THE COMMON OCCURRENCES OF LIFE—
THE TUTOR AND HIS PUPIL—THE JUDGE AND THE AC-
CUSED—HORATIAN MAXIM—ON OBJECTS OF GRANDEUR
AND SUBLIMITY—A NOVICE AT A PLAY—ARISTOTLE'S
REASON FOR THE SUPERIORITY OF A MAN OVER A MON-
KEY—EXAMPLES OF IMITATIVE GESTURE—THE RHETORI-
CAL FOOTMAN—SYNECDOCHE AN ITALIAN GESTURE—RE-
FLECTION ON THE EXAMINATION OF NATURE.

GESTURE may be of infinite utility in many of the occurrences of common life, an additional proof of its being indispensably necessary for carrying on the illusions of the *mimic world*. When a tutor wishes to convince his pupil of the indelicacy, the ridiculousness, or the awkwardness of any action, he practises them, with a little over charging, before him. The boy sees his error as in a glass.—Volumes might be written on the subject, and never convince him so thoroughly, as one spontaneous and well executed imitation of the fault committed. When a governess desires that her charge should acquire grace and dignity in her person and her movements, she makes her

own air and deportment as exalted as possible, that she may illustrate her theory by the conviction of vision, and by the force of habit and imitation.

When an accused person tries to justify himself before his judge, for having been led, in the heat of his passion, to strike the *first blow*. He endeavours, whilst reciting the cause of the quarrel, to *imitate* all the menaces, and all the offensive, irritating postures of his adversary (taking care to *exaggerate* them as much as possible) to convince the court that a man of honour had no other alternative, but knocking down the person who thus outraged his feelings as a gentleman.

In these instances we find the causes of picturesque gestures re-united.

1. The representation of the fault committed by the scholar.

2. The beauty of a deportment, full of grace and dignity, on the part of the governess.

3. The idea of the greatness of the provocation received by the accused person.

In these three cases, the *correction*, the *instruction*, and the *justification*, require the aid of *picturesque gestures*, in all the persons concerned.

Neither of these ends could be attained, but by an animated representation of its object, and the striking images of visible phenomena, must, without doubt, be the most potent mode of expressing them in a sensible manner; to this circumstance we may aptly apply the beautiful, though well known observation of Horace:—

“ Segnius irritant animos demissa per aurem,
 Quam quæ sunt oculis subjecta fidelibus et
 quæ
 Ipse sibi tradit Spectator.”

De Arte Poet. ver. 180, 182.

Experience proves that the force communicated by the representation of an object is capable of producing imitation in any *body*, where the mind is strongly occupied or attracted by it.

“ An intuitive and complete representation of an action (says a very ingenious philosopher) is a pre-disposition to the action itself. While representing the idea of any words to ourselves, we pronounce them interiorly; and, when this internal language becomes forcible, by acquiring a greater portion of vivacity, we begin to make motions with our lips.”

This effect frequently continues augmenting itself, until we really pronounce the words aloud, as if we wished to communicate them to some one, though we chance to be entirely alone. In generalising the proposition of this sage still farther, we may add, that each complete and intuitive representation of a thing (though the event or the thing be not a human action) is accompanied by an impulse, or an attraction, which leads us to the imitation of it. Another philosopher has made this remark, with respect to the grand and the sublime.

"An object," says he, "which possesses grandeur, dilates the chest, and insensibly engages the spectator in an attempt to enlarge the proportions of his body. This effect is particularly remarkable in those persons, who, despising social compact, and the laws of politeness, abandon themselves entirely to the impulse of nature, while aiming at descriptions of grand objects. Governed at that moment by a natural instinct, they swell themselves out, and walk like persons inspired. An object of sublimity produces another expression of sentiment. It forces the spectator to an elevation of his body, even to the standing on tiptoe."

"As there is nothing which can be more interesting to man than *himself*, and as he can represent nothing more perfectly than the modifications and qualities of the human body, of course they are naturally his chief and most favourite studies. When a person sits at the theatre, after having seen a play acted three or four times, his mind naturally becomes vacant and inactive! If among the spectators he chance to recognise a youth, to whom the same is new, this object affords him, and many others, a more entertaining fund of observation, than all that is going forward on the stage.

This novice of an auditor, carried away by the illusion, imitates all he sees, even to the actions of the players, though in a mode less decisive. Without knowing what is going to be said, he is serious, or contented, according to the tone which the performers happen to take. His eyes become a mirror, faithfully reflecting the varying gestures of the several personages concerned.

Ill-humour, irony, anger, curiosity, contempt, in a word, all the passions of the author are repeated in the lines of his countenance. This imitative picture is only interrupted whilst his proper sentiments, crossing exterior

objects, seek for modes of expressing themselves. Such observations as these, which a man may make every day of his life, prove that Aristotle was perfectly right, when he ranked the man above the monkey, in affording him the superiority in the art of imitation. This observation on the *communicative power of gesture*, is highly interesting to the actor in general, and to the comedian in particular; because he may draw great advantage from it, in rendering his *mute* play more animated.

I shall here endeavour to point out an observation, which is, in my opinion, of some moment. It concerns the great number of figures, particularly of metaphors, which abound in the dictionary of gestures, as well as in every other language, whether one aims at the picturesque, or at the expressive. Every incomplete picture, above all of invisible objects, and of internal or intellectual ideas, ought to embody itself by *images*, which may be thus effected: On thinking of a soul replete with grandeur and sublimity, the body is elevated, the visage is exalted. If we have the idea of an *obstinate* character, we instantly assume a firm position, clench the fist, and



to grieve



L. de la fl.

stiffen the back. Imitation is effected by fine and delicate touches I could produce a great quantity of examples for *Imitative Gesture*

What think you of a *rhetorician* who substitutes the *effect* for the *cause*? The lackey, speaking of the unlucky reward his master may bestow on all his wild pranks, *ubs his back with the hind part of his hand*, as if he already felt the pain of the blows inflicted by him Will you have another instance, which, instead of the *thing itself*, indicates an *exterior affinity*? To designate the true God, or the Gods of Paganism, the language of gesture intimates their residence in heaven, by pointing to the skies

After the same manner, the hands elevated, the eyes directed to the celestial mansions, call the Gods to witness innocence, implore their assistance, and solicit their vengeance Would you rather prefer a *Synecdoche*? One points out a single person present, meaning to represent a whole family we shew a sole enemy when we intend to give the idea of a hostile army

Should you like to have an example of the *ironical*? A young beauty, refusing the hand of a lover whom she despises, makes him

a courtesy down to the ground.' The number of *allusions*, with regard to gestures, will be found equally extensive.

The action of washing the hands gives the sign of innocence; two fingers on the forehead, the infidelity of a wife; blowing lightly over the palm of the hand, designates the idea of *nothing*. The Italian, who generally converses by gesture in a very animated manner, has, (among others) a very expressive one; it is when he means to express his distrust of a man whom he suspects of being false and dissembling.*

He then fixes his eye upon his object, with a side-glance, highly expressive of his doubts; one hand is furtively thrust down by his side, with a full extension of his arm; the body is slightly turned towards the person whom he means to warn; the other hand, on the same side, draws down the cheek, that this eye may become more large than the other, which had already seemed less than it naturally was, by the expression of *distrust*. By this means he forms himself a *double profile*, and a countenance of which one part bears no manner of

* See Plate the first.

resemblance to its opposite The one side, turned towards the suspected person, has all the appearance of dissidence, the pulling of the other cheek seems simply to serve the purpose of enlarging the eye, and the object of this magnitude seems to indicate the great attention necessary for him to use, in guarding against the tricks of a sharper

The second figure represents another kind of pantomime, frequently resorted to by the Italian, when he wishes to express his contempt of a menace or a warning He gently draws the back of his hand several times under his chin, and turns back his head, with an ironical smile, as if deaf to the speaker, and concentrated in himself It is singular that both these pantomimes should be at the same time so very easy to *comprehend*, and yet so extremely difficult to *explain* *

Perhaps the Italian wishes to make known, by this gesture, that which the inhabitant of Germany means to insinuate by a particular phrase, the sense of which is, "nothing troubles me" Perhaps too he means to say that he thinks as little of the affair, the other

* See *Plate the second*

has been making so serious and important, as he does of the dust which the wind happened to have blown on his beard. I candidly own my ignorance of the *precise* or *literal* explication, which is to be given to the above mentioned pantomime, a confession I shall be frequently compelled to make, even when very simple expressions are the theme, expressions common with several distant nations. The more we examine nature, the more matter we find for observation; her secrets are incalculable: the *material* part of them escapes from our view, and the *intellectual* portion surpasses our penetration.

LETTER VII

ANALOGOUS GESTURES—PHYSIOLOGICAL GESTURES—ON THE
DELINEATION OF PASSION—SHAKESPEAR'S OBSERVATION
ON THE SUBJECT—THE REMARK OF QUINTILIAN—THE
WEEPERS AT ANCIENT FUNERALS—ADVICE TO TRAGEDI-
ANS.

YOU have reason on your side when you say that a pantomime in Italy, written by a thinking genius, would make a very interesting work.

The theory of *this art*, like that, of most others, depends chiefly upon observation.

Your second idea, that our actors would gain something by borrowing^o from those of Italy, appears equally well founded. They would find in this nation a warmth, a fire, congenial to the climate, a vivacity only to be found in those *counties* where the blood circulates with vigour.

From *Picturesque Gestures*, on which head I have little more of importance to add, I pass to *Expressive Gestures*. These are so many, and so complex, that I am almost tempt-

ed to class them, in order to facilitate my disquisition

Some of these gestures are *motive*, or made by *design*. Such are the exterior and voluntary motions by which we know the affections, the desires, the tendencies, and the passions of the souls, which they are the means of satisfying. To this class, for example, belong the *inclination* of the person towards the object which excites our interest. The attitude firm, and prepared to attack an adversary when angry—the arms extended in love—thrown back in fear, &c &c. Other gestures are *imitative* ones, not imitating the object of the thought, but the situations, effects, the modifications of the soul,—and these I define

ANALOGOUS GESTURES

These are partly founded on the tendency which the soul has to approximate itself to sensible ideas, and consequently to express itself by the imitative modifications of the form, until they acquire a due degree of *literacy*, as, when one refuses assent to a position, one scatters it abroad (in idea) and with a motion of the hand reversed, seems to put it aside.

‘Analogous gestures are likewise grounded on the force or influence with which certain ideas naturally operate upon *others*, upon the *communication* (if the phrase may be allowed me) which there is between the regions of clear and obscure ideas, which generally direct and modify each other by a reciprocity of action.

It is thus, for instance, that the series of ideas will determine a walk; whether the step shall become more tardy, or more rapid; more firm, or more moderate; in a word, more or less *uniform*. This walk is determinable by the series of *obscure* ideas which tacitly direct the will, and receive the laws of the *clear* ideas which govern it.

The influence of their several powers are reciprocal. For this reason, every situation of the soul, every interior movement, has its regular progression; so that we may say of all characters, in general, that which the wife of Hercules says of Lychas.

“ His mind is like his walk.”

• *Seneca Trag. Herc. fur. Act ii. Sc. 2.*

There are several other gestures which are kinds of involuntary phænomena; these are

really physical effects of interior movements of the mind, but we only comprehend them as signs which nature has affixed by mysterious cords to the secret passions of the soul, and for this reason, says a philosopher, that, in the common affairs of civil life, one man may not be able *easily* to impose upon *another*. No one has ever yet explained to us, in a satisfactory manner, why sad and sorrowful ideas operate on the lachrymal glands, or why gay and cheerful ones act upon the diaphragm, why fear and anxiety discolour the cheek, or why shame and modesty tinge it with a deep and sudden crimson. I shall reunite all these phænomena under the head of

PHYSIOLOGICAL GESTURES

I beseech you, in mercy to me, not to consider this classification as arranged under the severe laws of *logic*: it is the simple idea of a mere *observer*, who solely seeks to establish some kind of order amongst facts, the comparison and truth of which must be refuted or confirmed by an ulterior examination.

Amongst the *physiological* gestures many will be found, which do not obey the arbitrary

will of the soul; she cannot *retain* them when sentiment commands; she cannot feign them when the *real* sentiment does not exist. The tears of grief, the paleness of fear, and the blush of shame, or modesty, are all of this involuntary kind.

As no one can have a right to expect impossibilities, we dispense with these involuntary variations in the comedian, and are perfectly satisfied if he gives us a faithful imitation of those which are voluntary. Even here, however, some prudence and judgment are requisite in the execution of his designs. The rage, which tears the hair in a frightful manner, which throws the whole visage into the distortions of grimace, which pants till every muscle swells, and the blood gushes up to the extended eyes,—such a rage may, perhaps, be a true representation of nature, but is very, very *disgusting* in the imitation.

"Oh it offends me to the soul to hear a robustious periwig-pated fellow tear a passion to tatters, to very rags, to split the ears of the groundlings, who, for the most part, are capable of nothing but inexplicable dumb shows and noise" *Hamlet*

Thus says Shakespear, and thus speaks nature. Is it then necessary to become absolutely intolerable to the *ear* and the *eye* to affect the *heart*? There exists one sole mode of exciting the action of involuntary feelings, but this mode is not at the command of every one. Quintilian mentions having seen actors, who, after performing pathetic characters, wept and sobbed for a long time after they had laid aside their masks.

"Vidi ego saepe histriones atque comœdos; cum ex aliquo graviore actu personam deposnissent, flentes adhuc egredi."

And speaking of himself, he assures us that he had often shed tears and turned pale, in the course of his pleadings.

"Ipse—frequenter ita motus sum, ut me non lacrymæ Solum deprehenderint sed *Pallor* & vero similis dolor."

Instit. L. vi. C. i.

The whole of the secret consists in an acute imagination, which every artist ought both to possess and exercise in the strong and

rapid reproduction of images. He will thus *habituate* himself to penetrate entirely into the subject with which he is occupied. Then, without our labour, without our exertion, they will in time act with all the energy of spontaneous affections. Perhaps it would be even possible, so to accustom the corporeal powers to the affections of the mind, by frequently repeated images, as to acquire an ascendancy in this difficult point. I have heard of actors who could fill their eyes with tears at a moment's warning. The weepers at funerals, who were paid by the ancients for shedding these drops of sorrow at the death of those for whom they could not have been the least interested, seems to confirm the practicability of this idea. Happy the actor who has acquired this talent, and knows how to produce it with propriety and effect ! for experience proves that a *single tear*, discovered on the countenance of the speaker, often pleads more forcibly than fifty lines of complaining declamation !

It would be, nevertheless, (according to my judgment) extremely dangerous to counsel an artist to heat his imagination on every occasion. Before the actor resigns himself to the government of his feelings, he ought to be

sure that he shall retain the power of guiding and correcting them when they are growing too impetuous; for, as Shakespeare advises his actor,

"In the very torrent, tempest, and (as I may say) whirlwind of your passion, you must acquire and beget a temperance, that may give it smoothness."

There is no occasion to imitate the temerity of the ancient actor, who, in the part of ELECTRA, brought on the urn which contained the ashes of his own son.

But as I have far exceeded the bounds of a moderate letter, I shall resume my observations at a future period.

LETTER VIII.

ON PERFECT INACTION—THE PROUD MAN—THE MILD MAN—
THE PHLEGMATIC MAN—IDLENESS AND VACANCY—LAVATER—
AN ACTOR OUGHT TO THINK FOR HIMSELF—REPOSE
—PASSAGE FROM REPOSE TO ATTENTION.

AMONG the different situations of the soul, which the body serves to express, we shall first consider that of *perfect inaction*: for this may, in some sense, be said to have its *peculiar expression*; I imagine that it would be useless to endeavour to define what I understand by *perfect inaction*.

We are aware that, in the most perfect equilibrium of the mind, the most profound repose of the passions, the soul still retains a certain portion of activity.

Represent to yourself a man who contemplates a tranquil scene of nature; not an enthusiastic admirer of her beauties, but one still and placid as nature seems herself at such an hour, and in such a position.

Or suppose he is listening to some indifferent conversation of his friend or his neighbour, and you will not perceive any sensible trait of pleasure in him; no decided marks on his forehead, in his eye, or upon his lips; his aspect is neither curious, troubled, nor vacant; in a word, you find the whole man immovable; every thing seems in its right place, each trait in its perfect equilibrium, as in the drawing which Le Brun has made of *Repose*:

The whole of the countenance will be analogous to the situation of the soul. The attitude of the rest of the body, erect or seated, will not less indicate the quietude and inaction of the soul. The idle hands will repose themselves on the knees, in the pocket, in the frill of the shirt; a movement of the fingers, wandering without object, will still more betray the want of some occupation in the soul.

While the body is seated, the feet, equally devoid of action, cross themselves in a listless manner; one knee is reposed on the other; the body itself sometimes assumes an upright, and quickly relaxes into a bending posture; a heavy motion of the eyelids, such as that which precedes sleep, also demonstrates that all is numbed and quiet in the mental regions. Far-

icular ideas, passions, and inclinations, are predominant in each living soul: the shades and variations may be immense, while the *essence* is immutable and fixed. To explain my idea, let us examine a few attitudes.

The proud man, thrusting one hand into his bosom, carries it as high as he is able, and places the elbow of the other arm a-kimbo; his head is thrown a little backwards; his turned-out feet are at a distance from each other; he rests on one leg, while the other is thrown before it with extended dignity.*

A *mild character* (I do not mean an effeminate one) carries the arms folded across the middle of the body; his head rests in a vertical position; it is neither thrown back, nor reposed on the breast; his steps are short, and his feet, though not *turned in*, are far from running into the opposite extreme.

The hands joined behind the back, and consequently removed from the active powers they possess in the contrary position, give ideas of much phlegm, and a perfect want of curiosity and attention. The largeness of the belly,†

* See Plate.

+ See Plate.

which sometimes makes the arms fall (naturally as it were) behind, might render this position more commodious, although one equally easy might take place here, to wit, that of propping the arms by the sides: the excess of fat naturally excites the suspicion of a phlegmatic character. When a vain man assumes this character, it is neither less speaking nor less expressive. Inattention and incuriosity have a strong resemblance to pride; and in such a position, the chest and body are thrust more prominently forward, but we do not remark in this figure, as in the *first*, that the feet are rather turned in, or the head resting on the chest.

One judges of a character with less certainty from particular traits, than when we contemplate them combined together. By the head, which, not being properly placed on the neck, sinks upon the bosom; the open lip, which draws down the chin with its natural weight; the eyes,* of which the pupils are almost obscured by the lids; the knees bending out, and the feet turned in: all these marks present an attitude of which the signification is remarka-

* See Plate.



Hanfer



Tidetur

bly striking. 'One cannot here avoid acknowledging a soft soul and an idle mind, unsusceptible of any attention, uninfluenced by any interest, which is never thoroughly awake, and does not even possess the feeble energy which is necessary to give the tension requisite for the muscles and for the proper support of the members of his body. An attitude so full of nullity, so dead and inanimate, can only belong to the extremity of mournful imbecility, or the effects of the most contemptible idleness.'

Lavater is a book which I have not ready at hand, and even if I had, I should not consult him very frequently. Strange notions, whose depth and value I have not thoroughly fathomed, might confound and perplex my own ideas. If you happen to have the book, I beseech you to read what is there said concerning attitudes.

This matter cannot easily be omitted, because I remember that the author there treats of the conclusions which may be drawn even from the hand writing of a man, in judging of his character, and of which he furnishes the proofs.

'It is necessary that the comedian should

judge, according to the *character* of his part, what attitude and deportment he should chuse for scenes of tranquil dialogue. The best digested rules, the finest picture galleries in the world, will not exempt him from the necessity of *thinking for himself* for the choice and application of gestures exclusively belong to him, and nature affords a variety which the most indefatigable observation is unable to exhaust. I ought, in the mean time, to add a remark on the subject of change from a *tranquil* to an *active* position. A man in the state of repose, when enticed and excited by any object to the display of his exterior activity, will discover his *intention* before the *action* is manifest to himself. He will have his hands, arms, feet, in short his whole frame, in readiness to execute the first signal of the soul. The attitude most expressive of nonchalance, and most distant from activity, is when the body is seated at its ease, and leaning against any supporting object, the arms folded, and the legs crossed *

Thus, the last moment of the *tranquil* attitude, I mean that approaching the nearest to

* See Plate the sixth



Starting from repose



Linking to refine.

David H. Smith - The Simplified

coming activity, is the re-raising the body directed towards the object which excites our interest, placing the hands equally separated upon the knees, and by these methods disposing the whole frame to exert itself and enter into immediate action.*

If the motive of the action develope itself successively, the preparatives will follow the same progression: for example, the legs crossed, and the feet drawn behind, will separate themselves at once, and fix themselves at their place in a firm manner; the unfolding of the arms will ensue, &c.

This will have equal place, even when no exterior object provokes the activity; when it is solely employed in considering attentively: we turn towards those we are speaking to, we advance near the object which interests us, in a manner which more or less announces the intention and predisposition to enter into a particular action.

* See Plate the seventh.

LETTER IX.

COSTUME—DANCING—ON SOLILOQUIES, OR MONOLOGUES—
SALLUST'S OBSERVATIONS ON CATILINE'S MODE OF WALK-
ING—HAMLET—LEIR—TANCRED.

YOU are right when you say that in some of my delineations I abandon general traits, in attaching myself too much to the characteristic signs of *nations*, and the peculiar classes of *society*. The hands thrust into the clothes, or hid in the bosom, presuppose a certain kind of costume; as the feet, turned in and out, indicate the first elements of the modern art of *dancing*. I do not mean to give you finished drawings. If my *etchings* of the gestures and passions should offer you some general ideas of feelings and expressions, common to all ages and all nations, my end will be attained. We have just considered man in a state of *quietude*, let us now take him in the opposite point of view. He weighs his action and his position; he examines the party it is most proper for

him to take, he searches out the most assured means of attaining his ends, his memory recalls similar situations to his mind. In a word, he compares, discusses, and reasons. The expression will here be more or less animated, according to the cause which shall disclose his activity, while the sole love of truth, which tranquilly searches for fresh sources of knowledge, develops the activity of the soul, or whilst an agreeable play of the imagination is its employment then, also, the expression will be more feeble, more moderate, and more cold, than whilst the head, labouring for the interests of the heart, ought to consider and weigh the advantage of man, his good, his evil, his happiness, and his misery.

When Hamlet appears in that situation so terrible and insupportable, where he argues both for and against the commission of *suicide*, he ought most surely to present an expression very different from that of a cold moralist, who reasons upon the same topic, not as an argument which comes home to his own bosom, but as a mere problem for the exercise of his faculties. Nevertheless, the love of truth is also capable of producing a very great interest in the mind. Pythagoras offered a

hecatomb to the Muses when he had discovered the demonstration of the geometrical proposition which still bears his name

The reflections and reasonings which are admitted on the theatre, are always divided in two parts — the sentiments of the heart, and the passions

It is from these that *gesture* receives its more particular modifications the determinate degree of warmth the transition or the repose more or less marked, &c

Since it is impossible to develope all the various shades of interior activity I shall confine myself to some observations which may serve as a model for a number of others of this kind

It is principally against the rule of analogy, almost every where observed in nature that our actors mostly offend This offence occurs most frequently in their *soliloquies* or *monologues* Sallust, among the numerous characteristic traits of Catilene distinguishes his walk sometimes slow and sometimes hurried

"Animus impurus quis hominibusque infestus, neque vigilus neque quietibus sedari poterat ita conscientia mentem excitatam vexa-

tat igitur color ei exsanquis, foedi oculi, *citus modo, modo tardus incessus, &c*

The historian attributes this irregularity to the quietude of his conscience, stained by so many crimes, and above all by that most abominable of all vices, assassination

I have no objection to urge against this explanation, nevertheless, it strikes me that the grand and perilous projects which Catiline was meditating against his country, might have contributed, in an equal degree, to the production of these appearances!

Whilst the man develops his ideas with facility, and without impediment, his walk is more unconstrained, more brisk, and continues in a more uniform manner When the series of ideas present themselves with difficulty, his step becomes more slow, more embarrassed, and when, at length, a doubt of an important nature suggests itself to his mind, the man stops suddenly short In those situations where the soul hesitates between unequal ideas, and finds obstacles and difficulties on all sides, while he pursues each train of thoughts only to a certain point—passing rapidly from one suggestion to another—then the step grows irregular, hurried, and undetermined

From hence the dubious pace in all the affections of the soul when *doubt* and an incertitude between jostling ideas takes place: but above all in that terror which inwardly agitates and torments the conscience struggling in vain to deliver itself from the load.

The play of the hands is modified after the same manner as that of the walk—it is free, unconstrained, easy, and mobile, while the ideas develope themselves without any difficulty, and follow each other in a natural succession: It is inquiet and irregular, the hands are agitated, and move themselves without design, now towards the bosom, now towards the head, the arms fold and loosen, as the thought is arrested during his walk, or hurried into uncertain or strange tracks. The moment that a difficulty presents itself, the play of the hands entirely ceases—the eye, which, as well as the head, had a gentle and placid motion, while the thought was easy, and unfolded itself without labour, or wandered from one angle to the other, while the soul strayed from thought to thought, in this new situation looks straight forwards, and the load falls on the heart, until, after the first shock of doubt, (if it be allowed



Dyatus

From hence the dubious pace in all the affections of the soul when *doubt* and an incertitude between jostling ideas takes place: but above all in that terror which inwardly agitates and torments the conscience struggling in vain to deliver itself from the load.

The play of the hands is modified after the same manner as that of the walk—it is free, unconstrained, easy, and mobile, while the ideas develope themselves without any difficulty, and follow each other in a natural succession. It is inquiet and irregular, the hands are agitated, and move themselves without design, now towards the bosom, now towards the head, the arms fold and loosen, as the thought is arrested during his walk, or hurried into uncertain or strange tracks. The moment that a difficulty presents itself, the play of the hands entirely ceases—the eye, which, as well as the head, had a gentle and placid motion, while the thought was easy, and unfolded itself without labour, or wandered from one angle to the other, while the soul strayed from thought to thought, in this new situation looks straight forwards, and the load falls on the heart, until, after the first shock of doubt, (if it be allowed



Alpenreiter

Band für Richard und den Alpenreiter



Painful recollection

Engraved for Richard Phillips, Newgate St., by J.

me so to express myself) suspended activity resumes its former walk.*

The sublime character of Hamlet offers a very strong example of this kind of change—a change of sentiment, which ought to display itself on the countenance of the actor who has studied his author with taste or precision.

* When the unhappy Prince has discovered the reasons which make self destruction so criminal a step—he exclaims "aye, there's the rub," and at the same moment should give the exterior sign of that which his *interior* penetration alone has enabled him to discover †

When the wretched Lear‡ recollects the "unworthy treatment he has experienced from his daughters, who, during the horrors of a tempestuous night, had exposed his grey locks to all the fury of the winter winds, he changes his tones, and suddenly exclaims "That way madness lies' §

There does not really exist any exterior object from which this miserable father need avert his eyes with fear—yet, notwithstanding, he suddenly turns round from the spot where

* See Plate the eighth

† See Plate the ninth

‡ See Plate the tenth

§ See Plate the eleventh

he had first been stationed, seeking as it were, with one hand reversed, to endeavour to push away this miserable and tormenting recollection.

This figure* represents Tancred, in Thompson's beautiful play, of "Tancred and Sigismunda." Tancred, the heir of the Norman Kings, had been bred up under the care of Sifredi, Chancellor of Sicily. His throne was usurped at that time by a tyrant, to whose daughter the rightful claim of the Royal Orphan was bequeathed. Tancred, in the mean time, is supposed the son of a Baron of Apulia, an old friend and fellow-warrior of Sifredi's. A strong attachment takes place between the young Prince and Sigismunda, the daughter of his protector and benefactor. Sifredi, in the mean time, anxious for his charge, contrives an alliance between Constantia and Tancred, hoping by this expedient to unite the rival interests which had long distracted his country. The birth of Tancred is made known. A meeting takes place between him and Sigismunda. The generous prince, to silence her delicate objection, gives her a paper, to which his name is af-

* See Plate the twelfth.



fixed, desiring her to present it to her father, with his commands that it should be filled up with a strict and solemn marriage contract. Sifredi, resolving to sacrifice his daughter's happiness to the interests of his country, has a promise of marriage from the King to Constantia substituted in its place, and reads it aloud, with the King's subscription, before the astonished Monarch, and his whole applauding Council. Tancred retires in confusion; Sifredi follows. The King upbraids him; his protector urges him to become the father of his people; to sink the passions of the individual in that glorious appellation; to conquer love, and sacrifice it to his honour. The injured Tancred loudly exclaims,—“*Such honour I renounce with sovereign scorn—greatly detest it and its mean adviser.*” After this violent burst, the actor ought to make a movement of choler with his open hand, directing it to the feet of the venerable old man, to convey to him his contempt of the *nothingness* of the word *honour* in the sense he had placed it. But, you will often make similar observations to these, of your own accord: those importunate and disagreeable ideas, which the mouth rejects, with the repetition of the word

Pho, are in some measure likewise repulsed by a rapid agitation of the hand from one side to the other, as if we wished to chase away a teasing insect, which returns with double cagerness to the attack.

When fine and important ideas present themselves, during the course of an examination, the aspect acquires vivacity, the eyebrows are attracted towards the angles of the nose, the forehead is covered with wrinkles, and the eye itself, that it may be better able to concentrate the visual rays. The same as when we are desirous of examining an object of peculiar fineness, or placed at a certain distance: The sign, or index, is then on the closed lips, as if the person thus employed was fearful lest the hurry of the *less important* thoughts should trouble the examination of the more *essential ideas*. The gesture perfectly accords with—*Peace! Attend!* which a man pronounces to himself, equally when he encounters a doubt, or an object of importance. Often, also, the object is placed between the eyebrows, on the wrinkles of the forehead, as if the point to which the attention ought to be directed was susceptible of being indicated or subjected. This pantomime, (which really

comes to the assistance of thought) of remembrance, and interior examination, consists, if the phrase be allowed, of bottling up the senses, in covering the eyes, in veiling the face with the two hands. For interior operations execute themselves proportionably better as they are the less troubled by the exterior impressions of the senses.

For this reason, love, sorrow, and chagrin, as well as all the reflective passions, delight in the silence and obscurity of groves. The owl is the attribute of the Goddess of Wisdom, because an inhabitant of the desarts he makes his watch in the stillness and obscurity of the night. There are other signs which accompany reflection, as, for example, the serene and clouded aspect, according to the freedom or restraint of the movement. There are motions in which the hand seems to come as an assistant to the head, as when, after too intense occupation, it is oppressed with the blood which mounts upwards in too great abundance. These sorts of actions are less important, and details on this head would, perhaps, be superfluous besides, I have not promised you anything more than fragments and light essays. You wish me to give you an explanation of what

we mean by the *affections*; and, indeed, it is high time that this branch of my subject should be considered: it is the most important of all pantomimical exertion. As I know not when I may be able to comply with this requisition, I send you, in the mean time, a little book, which has fallen accidentally in my way, "A Lecture on Mimicry, 1777." If it give you no great instruction, it will at least serve to amuse you for some few vacant hours,

LETTER X.

THE AFFECTIONS OF THE SOUL—OF DESIRE—THE DIFFERENT SPECIES OF SMILES—NEGATION AND ABSENT.

I DO not feel myself at all inclined to consult the philosophers who have written on the affections of the soul.

I am well acquainted with the almost incredible diversity of their opinions, and it is more than probable that the confusion of their systems would involve me in a labyrinth from which I should find much difficulty in extricating myself; I shall therefore run the risk of making a classification for you, which seems more congenial to my proposed object. It will be indifferent whether any one has or has not made it before me.

I call by the term *affection* every strong activity of the soul, which, by reason of its vivacity, is accompanied by a sensible degree of pleasure and of pain: I consequently distinguish two sorts of them; for this activity consists in the intuition of that which exists, or in

the effort to obtain that which we *wish*. This last species is denominated *desire*, while in the first predicament we appear more passive—that is to say, we merely receive impressions. Desire, as we have hitherto explained it, is an effort, an interior tendency of the spirit, which frequently, of its own accord, and without any excitation or interest of the heart, feels a very lively interest, the sole end of which is to learn and to comprehend. The mind has then equally an affection of desire, which, from the *origin of time*, has worked *miracles in noble souls*, and urged them on to sacrifice more pleasures, and exert more corporeal energy, than any other incitation whatever. The mind has also its intuitive affections—for it arrests itself with satisfaction at that which is rich in ideas, well-ordered, harmonious, or beautiful, without drawing any other advantage or profit from it, more than what the simple knowledge of things is capable of affording; and it is with real sorrow that it observes the opposites of these affections; to wit—that which is void of sense, ill-contrived, irregular, ugly, dissonant, &c. The affections of the heart have place when *self* is the object; to wit—when we view a subject under the forms

in which it is advantageous or hurtful to ourselves—when we love, when we hate ; in short, whenever we are desirous to unite with, or to avoid, any particular object. Those affections of the mind which display themselves in the countenance consist in admiration and in smiles. The smile is various, and capable of uniting and mixing itself with many of the other affections : there is, for example, an ironical and a sardonic smile. It is united to contempt in the first instance, and associated with hatred in the second. This affection, however, is capable of taking place, without any admixture of this nature ; and genuine laughter consists in bursts of gaiety, while beholding harmless faults, strong contrasts, disproportions, and dissonances.

This is not the place for me to occupy myself in a research after the veritable source of ridicule.

The drawings of Le Brun, and some others, on this head, partake too much of caricature ; they are not worth the trouble of sending to you. Every one knows what it is to laugh, though there are some who do not know how to govern their mirth ; and he whose visage is not adapted to laughter, will surely never ac-

quire the science by rule and lessons. Descartes has already remarked, that some persons, in weeping, have a physiognomy similar to what others present when smiling : reverse the rule, and it will be found equally true. It is precisely because we so easily remark the various inflexions of this sort, and we find them ridiculous, that we have an exact idea of the gestures proper for laughter or for tears. In society we must take these inflexions as we find them, but are not forced to be equally complaisant when an imitation of them at a public theatre is the matter in debate. There are some men who cannot vary the traits of their faces, without offering us a disgusting appearance of the upper lip, or a wretched set of ugly teeth.

I would exhort the comedian to study not only the effect of the passions, but also their operations on his own face, that he may be able to distinguish those which become, as well as those which disfigure it. Indeed it would be wise to quit the stage entirely, where the first grand requisite, an expressive countenance, is wanting.

I dare say I might have spared myself the trouble of this advice—for what is its utility,

if, in general, the most part of men choose their situations haphazard, and become what they *are*, rather from blind predilection, than a veritable penchant, founded on real talents? This observation applies to the player in particular. A man turns player, or soldier, equally through want or imprudence—rarely by inclination, or by the true invocations of genius.

You will find more drawings than one on the subject of admiration, in Le Brun. The first of these drawings is the most agreeable, and the most exact. If you examine the traits with which the painter characterises this affection, (a name which some, however, deny as belonging to admiration) you will remark that the body imitates the expansion of the soul, whilst seeking to seize on a grand object, with which all its representative forces appear to be replete. The mouth and eyes are open, the eyebrows are slightly drawn upwards, the arms are certainly nearer the body than in quick and animated desire, yet they are still somewhat extended, in other respects the body and the traits of the countenance are in repose. Add yet to this the dilation of the chest, which we have already remarked, and which is a painting coincident with analogous expression,

because admiration belongs to homogeneous expressions, and you will here perceive that all gestures may be considered as homogeneous and analogous. Thus you may explain the enlargement of the eye to be a gesture of the motive genus, or made by design. For the soul would wish to attract from the object (which is here supposed to be large and visible) as many rays as possible: The immobile direction of the eye upon an object is also made by design, since it is solely through the medium of the eye that the mind can gratify its knowledge of the object—the extension of the arms can only take place at the first instant; that is, when the soul is more eager to seize and retain an object in its power, than when it already begins to taste the subsequent enjoyment. From the time this first moment of joy is past, the arms gently re-descend, and come nearer to the body. It is otherwise in the case of gestures of admiration and sublimity, a variation which Le Brun has not remarked: for here the head and body are thrown a little back, the eye is open, the aspect elevated, and, by an image which coincides with the expression analogous to the sentiment, the whole figure of the man becomes



Si Vix admenstr

Printed for Richard Phillips, Junr. in Fleet-street,

straight: nevertheless, the feet, the hands, and the traits of the visage, are in repose; or if one hand is in movement, it is not held forth as in simple admiration, but lifted on high*.

While extraordinary corporeal powers excite our admiration at that movement, an interior motion and inquietude agitate those parts of our own frame which are analogous. Astonishment, which is merely a superior degree of admiration, only differs from it in this respect: the traits which I have just pointed out become more characteristical; the mouth is more opened, the look more fixed, the eyebrows more elevated, and the respiration more difficultly retained. Astonishment, like thought, stops suddenly short, when an object of interest presents itself unexpectedly to the view.

A success unauthorised by our expectation; an event, or a thing, which takes place contrary to the judgment or calculation we had previously formed, excites admiration; a sentiment which generally manifests itself by a lightly scornful, or a bitter kind of smile, when the contrast between the *thing itself* and the *idea* is to the disadvantage of the former. A cha-

* See Plate the thirteenth.

racteristic trait of this sort of admiration is a kind of oscillation, or shaking of the head, very difficult to be described when its object is uninteresting, or when other affections do not associate themselves with it This movement is different from the one with which we reject or approve a thought, or which serves for the expression of displeasure—it is more slow, more uniform, more durable, less hasty, in short, it is that kind of shake of the head you would yourself give, while I attempted the definition, because you would not know how to conciliate the explication with the fact It is impossible for me to vindicate the veritable cause of this expression I might perhaps supply it by ingenuous hypotheses—but this resource, no doubt, would not please you, and you would look on this expenditure of ingenuity as ill employed The shaking of the head in negation, and the motion previous to assent, will perhaps be able to explain themselves better The first seems, in general, to indicate that one turns aside from an idea which one rejects, and the second, that one *approaches* or *accedes* to it A metaphor expressed with so much native perspicuity, by the Greek and Latin words,

Περιενα—απωνελα

Adnuo—abnuo

that *Nigidius*, without giving their explication, quotes them as words of extraordinary significance

Quum adnuimus & abnuimus motus quidem ille Vel capit is vel oculorum a natura rei quam significat, non abhonet

Gellius.

This serves to explain why, in a proposition, to the truth of which we are ready to acquiesce, we carry the head, at various returns, towards the speaker, and that we draw it back, on the contrary, where the opinion delivered does not coincide with our own sentiments, a direction which the eyes also commonly obey

I will not employ myself any longer on the subject of admiration, nor of the various affections of the mind in general, seeing that they almost universally unite themselves to those of the heart, although these latter are the most strong so much is this the case, that the expression of the first so closely confounds itself with the last, that it is extremely hard to comprehend their different shades In my next letter I shall treat of the gesture proper to this more interesting species of affections, that is,

where the representation of the object does not exclusively occupy our thought—but where the ideas of ourselves, of our advantages, or our wants, associate themselves in a manner more or less interesting.



Illustration

Printed for Richard Phillips, F. & P. & L. 1. 1. 7.

LETTER XI.

THE TRAGEDY OF KING JOHN—AVERTION AND DESIRE—
ROUSSAU'S SOPHIA—DEVOTION—DE MONTFORT—THE
REPLY OF CAIN AFTER THE MURDER OF HIS BROTHER—
THE HERO AND THE PHILOSOPHER.

IN Shakespeare's tragedy of *King John*, *Hubert* relates to his master the manner in which his subjects are affected by the death of *Prince Arthur*, and that this event, as well as the descent of a powerful body of French, formed the subject of every one's conversation*.

" I saw a smith stand, with his hammer thus,
The whilst his iron did on his anvil cool,
With open mouth, swallowing a tailor's news,
Who, with his shears and measure in his hand,
Standing on slippers, (which his nimble haste
Had falsely thrust upon contrary feet,))
Told of a many thousand warlike French,
That were embattled and rank'd in Kent :

* See Plate the fourteenth.

Another lean, unwash'd artificer
Cuts off his tale, and talks of Arthur's death "

Shakespeare

The immobility of the blacksmith, who preserves the attitude he was in at the moment when struck with wonder, is a trait as expressive as it is natural all the intellectual faculties are chained by a single object no one thought, foreign to the subject, rests in the soul no—not even in arbitrary change of position in the body Consequently, the man struck with sudden astonishment ought to remain fixed like a statue to his posture for the time

What species of affection we first consider is perfectly indifferent, the desire which demands that the thing should change its situation, or the contemplation which examines its actually existing state

The moral philosophers oppose aversion to desire, but, following the general sense which I have attached to this word, aversion also belongs to the class of the desires, because it tends to change a present situation into a better We have then *two* sorts of desires one which strives to attain good, the other which

endeavours to avoid evil. This last desire again subdivides itself; since we either desire to remove ourselves from evil, or to get rid of it, we think then of flight or of attack. Since, in all these cases, expression offers very sensible differences, we ought to establish three kinds of desires, one tends towards enjoyment, the other withdraws itself for safety, and the third approaches anew, to put to flight or destroy the hurtful object. That all these desires are susceptible of infinitely varied modifications, is self-evident.

One of the modifications of desire, most worthy observation, is that of the man who feels an "uncomfortableness, a privation, a secret uneasiness, without being able to discover the reason, or, to speak more properly, when a man is tormented by a violent desire, without being conscious of its object." Such is the situation of Sophia, in *Emilius and Sophia*, by Rousseau. the artless girl is uneasy—restless—she is in love—with whom?—she knows not pressed on the subject, she avows Telemaerus (a being of fiction) as the object of a devouring flame which consumes her, and to which she can neither attribute name nor origin.

This imaginary malady is well known, yet baffles all the skill of Æsculapius.

The man in this predicament moves from place to place, from side to side; he turns himself in all possible directions; his hands are constantly rubbing one against the other—or, stretched forward, without a determinate design, seize and grasp the first object that comes in their way; his gait is interrupted and varied into every possible direction: in a word, he makes a thousand movements, not one of which continues any length of time; not one indicates a decided position. We simply perceive, in his general motions, that he is agitated by some violent desire, and that he seeks to avoid some misfortune with which he conceives himself menaced, or that he wishes to wreak his resentment and fury on some object or other.

A different modification on the part of the object, is that which we either desire or hold in abhorrence—to which we would unite, or from which we would separate ourselves: it is a certain *je ne sais quoi*, inherent in ourselves, and which leaves in us either a pleasant or a disagreeable sensation.

Under such circumstances, the action of



Pericles

gesture has also its characteristical marks : whilst a man of piety endeavours to arrive at a perfect and mystical union with the divinity ; he paints by his gestures, his mien, and his motions, that complete retirement and detachment from earthly concerns which always precede these efforts of a devout heart ; his joined hands are retired and clasped towards the upper part of his chest ; the elbows jetting out, will be carried forwards, proportioned to the force of the devotion ; the apple of the eye, directed towards Heaven, will hide itself under the eyelid, and the remainder of the ball will plainly be visible.*

The wretch tormented by a racking and insupportable idea, seeks to deliver himself from it by all manner of dissipations : his gait is as vague and as uncertain as his countenance. He is perpetually varying his attitudes, and he keeps continually rubbing his forehead, as if he wished to efface from his memory the last trace of the thought which thus afflicts him.

Such is the situation of the unhappy De Montfort, in the play of the ingenious lady who has favoured the public with the plays on the passions.

* See Plate the fifteenth.

While on this subject, I would wish to recommend the perusal of this lady's excellent dramas to every lady and gentleman of the theatres, desirous to improve themselves in the art which they profess. In this painful state, the man tormented by his own conscience is the object of self violence, he is fearful and trembling, a leaf falling, a zephyr whispering, fills him with terror, and inclines him to flight.

The answer of Cain, "Am I my brother's keeper?" certainly carries with it an air of effrontery and boldness but who does not recognize, with the first glance, that this answer of Cain's is dissembled and false? If any one had this passage to recite, he would assuredly express, with a trembling voice that fear which seeks to mask itself even by its very words.

A third modification is, that where the object is truly out of the man, but also out of the dominion of the senses the possession of which cannot be procured by the free will of any visible being, and consequently no exterior or determinate object can be employed for its attainment. Such, for example, is a passion for that kind of glory which only consists in the opinion which men form of our per-

fections, and which we can neither stretch by force, nor obtain by submission

If the means of obtaining such objects are exterior, and act upon the senses, then, by affinity to the art of which we are treating, the desire which pursues them resembles that which has a sensible and exterior object for its aim but if these means are not in the dominion of the senses, the person will be tormented by a desire which will make interior efforts, on which I have endeavoured to make an essay in a precedent letter

The hero and the thinking man, both governed by ambition, are able to conceive this idea. the first, to obtain an imaginary good, avails himself of sensible objects, he precipitates himself amid crowds of combatants, he mounts the scaling ladder, he snatches the colours of the enemy, and, like a madman, overturns every thing which comes in his way, or opposes his passage

The thinking man does not stretch the muscles of his body, but the muscles of the soul, all that he seeks to possess, or to get rid of, is in himself and in his own brain, he pursues his ideas, and searches for new sources of knowledge

LETTER XII.

OF THE EFFECTS OF AVERSION AND DESIRE—ANECDOTE OF TIBERIUS, FROM SUETONIUS—EXAMPLE FROM THE TRAGEDY OF MEDOPE—ON THE DRESS OF FEMALE PERFORMERS—MEDEA AND JASON—APOLLO AND NIobe.

THE oblique position of the body is the first and general trait of the play of all the desires which carries them towards an object exterior and determinate.

Desire tends towards the object, whether it be to possess or to attack it; then the head, the chest, and the superior part of the body, in general throw themselves forward; not merely because man, putting these parts in motion with the greater facility, makes use of them first to satisfy himself, but also, because the feet are forced to follow the rest of the body with more celerity in this attitude: whilst aversion or fear lead us to repulse the object, then the body throws itself far back before the feet are in motion.

In strong and unforeseen affections, this is

sometimes effected with so much precipitation and vivacity, that the man, losing his equilibrium, if he does not quite fall down, at least makes some false steps.

The hypocrite Tiberius, an enemy to every species of adulation, one day retired backwards with too much precipitation, whilst a senator demanded pardon, (for God knows what fault) that he tumbled to the ground.

"*Adulationes adeo adversatus est, ut neminem senatorum aut officii, aut negotii causa, ad lecticum suam admiserit, consularem vero satis facientem sibi ac per genua orare conantem, ita suffugerit ut caderet supinus.*"

Sueton. in Tiber. 627.

A second observation, which will be confirmed by the developement of each lively and animated desire, is, that the body constantly follows the right line in approaching or removing itself from the object. The reason of this is obvious, since desire urges us to unite or separate from the object as much as possible, and of all the lines drawn from one point to the other, the right line is the shortest: it follows then, that the man, fixing his eyes upon

the object of his desires, perceives nothing of all that separates him from it, and prefers pushing through the crowd, and opening a way with his elbows stiffened and thrust out, to taking a route less encumbered but more distant, which, by a slight circumflexus, would conduct him with less pains and difficulty to his mark.

The son of Merope, in the tragedy of that name, wishing to avenge the death of his father on the tyrant Polyphontes, and to prevent his marriage with his mother, rushes through the guards, the populace, and the priests, till he reaches the victim he is eager to immolate. The same thing takes place in extreme terror—the man, without returning, carries the foot backward, and staggering, thus makes several steps of flight in the same straight direction—above all while he strives hot to lose sight of the object which alarms him, that he may be able to judge of his danger, and direct his flight accordingly.

Something of this kind may be conceived by any one who has seen the drama of the Castle Spectre! The villain Osmond rushes into a chamber after a terrific dream, imagining himself to be yet haunted by the spectre which had tormented him in his broken and feverish slumbers.

He staggers, sinks into the arms of his attendants, and ought, when he recovers, to retreat from some object present to his mental eye, which inspires him with terror, and from which he is all anxiety to remove himself.

In similar cases our actresses often are deficient in expression; because their long trains and sweeping robes expose them to the danger of falling in an indelicate manner: hurried on, sometimes, by the real sentiment of the passion which ought to be expressed, they suddenly start back, and their feet entangling in the folds of their ample drapery, they frequently find themselves obliged, in the most interesting situations, to have recourse to their hands, to repair the awkward disorder of their garments.

I am partial to all that can add ornament to female dress, especially in a woman to whom nature has not been unkind; I am still more partial to a costume which is *exact* and rigidly observed; notwithstanding all this, a truth of expression is the most indispensably essential rule of the art; and I cannot admit of any exception in this respect. I should desire then, in each part of sentiment, that the actresses should employ all that inventive genius with which they so well know how to vary their

attire, and with constant elegance, to arrange their sweeping robes in such a manner, that they may not be awkward or incommodious to them in the developement of any passion which the scene may chance to demand. I cannot precisely point out the most simple method of obviating this difficulty, without doing an essential injury to the generallaws of costume; but I am sure that a very good effect would follow, if it could be possible to obviate this cause of embarrassment to our actresses, and that their own performances would be heightened in their effect, as well as the general satisfaction of the spectators.

A third observation which I have to make on the play of the desires in general, concerns the change occasioned by the positions and determinate connexions between the object of desire or aversion and the persons inspired with them. Ought I to impute the obscure manner in which I explain my opinion on this head to myself or to my subject? Some examples taken from each species of desires will perhaps render it more plain.

First of all, my friend, let us suppose an object of desire placed more high than the per-



Effreter

"Wanted - A hard Pb Tie
See my ad. "2 "

soil desirous of obtaining it, or what comes to the same point, that the personages are not of an equal height.*

Whilst the little boy is desirous of springing up into the lap of his mother, he raises himself on his toes, stretching out his whole body, every muscle is stretched, while his head falls a little back—his arms are elevated in the air. When the mother wishes to embrace the child, she bends down the upper part of her body, and perhaps the knees, letting the arms fall in such a way as to invite the child to precipitate itself into them

In the desire of vengeance, there ought to be a sensible difference between Jason, for instance, and Medea, while she rides, air-borne, in her car and murders his children before the eyes of the father,—Jason, in an agony, lays his hand on his sword—she, placed in security above his threats, throws down the reeking dagger, and pronounces these terrible words, “ Go bury them ”

These are the very words of Euripides, they are applied, however, to Creusa, and carry with them an augmented bitterness

* See Plate the sixteenth.

Σ-εἰκε τρος οιχας και θα-τε αλοχος
Act V. v 1394

It is a remark made somewhere else, that the movements of desire, in warding off an evil, are different according to the parts of the body we are willing to defend he who is afraid of being crushed by the fall of a house, flies, urged onwards by the desire of self preservation, with his head bent and covered with his hands, on the contrary, he who is menaced with the thrust of a sword covers his heart

Represent to yourself an *Apollo* borne on a cloud, and prepared to pierce the bosom of one of the children of Niobe with a mortal arrow

From the reunion of these two attitudes a third will be the result **

The head and the whole of the body will be thrown upwards, because the danger comes from above, the look, suppliant with fear, is turned towards the God, and the bosom will be covered with the hands

I could multiply observations of this nature to an infinite number when we dread too sudden or violent a break on the optic nerve, by

* See I late the seventeenth



Tam -

lightning or by any hideous object, or unpleasant and disgusting form, we shut the eyes or cover them with the hands. He who fears the noise of thunder, the effect of a shrill sound, or any other disagreeable tone, or impious or blasphemous discourse, stops his ears, turning the head at the same time, whilst he who can neither support the effects of either thunder or lightning, thrusts his head under the bed cloaths, to avoid the disagreeable sensations of two distinct organs. On the other side, the man who strives to avoid a danger which is very near, for instance, that of being bit by a venomous serpent ready to fly at him, will save himself with his feet greatly elevated from the ground. Whilst he who, hopeless of saving himself, sees danger hovering over his head trembling, contracts his whole body, like the poor little bird, who, when he beholds the vulture fending the regions of air above him, darts perpendicularly downwards to the ground.

It is thus that circumstances differently modified will vary the play of the desires in the developement of the means to attain the object of ones wishes, or to free oneself from the

motives of our dislikes, to an almost incredible variety.

In running over all the observations I have made to the present period, I do not find an instance where the three kinds of desires are united together: perhaps they will be found hereafter, when we shall examine each species separately. Since the order followed in our researches is a matter of no great moment, we shall commence with the desire which inclines us to approach near to our object.

LETTER XIII.

VIOLENT AND FEEBLE DESIRES—DIFFERENCE OF DESIRE BETWEEN A HARD DRINKER AND A BON VIVANT—THE MATIVE, OR GESTURE MADE WITH DESIGN—THE CUNIGUS MAN AND THE LOVER—THE BEGGAR.

IT is evident that the variety we remark in the play of desire which carries us towards our object, of which I made mention in the last paragraph of my precedent letter, is founded upon the different analogies between the object desired and the person desiring. One of the most general rules of this play of gesture is, that the organ destined to seize on an object, constantly tries to approach that object; for instance, he who listens, advances the ear; the savage who tracks his prey by the scent, advances his nose as he proceeds; and when the object can be seized by the sense which is proper to this expression, it is the hands that are advanced, though in effect they are never perfectly idle in the expression of desire, however faint; and in these cases they are always

open and stretched in a direct line, with the fingers spread out, whilst in the act of receiving, and shut, with the *palms* turned towards the ground, when in the act of seizing or drawing any thing towards us by force

The gait is firm and lively, without being so heavy and impetuous as it is in choler. The physiological movements join themselves to these motives or actions without design—that is to say, that all the interior powers of man tend in a certain way to the exterior.

The eyes are more or less brilliant, the muscles are possessed of more or less activity, the cheeks are more or less coloured, the step is slow or hurried, the arms and legs are extended with more or less moderation, the body gets rid more or less of its weight, for, as I have already remarked, violent desire make us start forward even to the point of falling down, whilst feeble desire only inclines towards its object in a gentle and hardly perceptible motion.

That which is the most remarkable in this play of desire is, the synergy of the powers, that is to say, their general rousing, even while the soul collects them together, for a service which an individual would be capable of per-

forming It is not the same thing with pure and disengaged contemplation, as with every other desire of the soul For here the soul seems in some sort to lull to slumber those other energies, to enjoy the unmixed delight of that sensation which occupies it for the moment, and is so delightful to it. The better to comprehend this difference, let us take for example the hard drinker, consumed by a burning thirst, and the voluptuous wine lover the one wishes to satisfy a pressing want, the other seeks to administer agreeably to his palate. Mean time, if you are desirous of a strong and full expression, do not chuse your examples from those who have been educated in the principles of what is termed, "*scavoir vivre*," or *beau monde*, an education of this kind, teaches a man the art of dissimbling in a double way it gives him the power of hiding the real power of his sentiments, in attributing to them one which he does not possess. All the strong expression of inclinations or personal tendencies, and all weak expressions of the social affections, wound the *bon ton*, however true and proper in other respects, to places, to persons, and to circumstances, for this reason, the first are depressed below re-

ality, and the last are carried beyond truth. The vulgar, the child, the savage, in a word, the uncultivated man, are the true models we ought to examine for the expression of the passions, while we do not seek for beauty, but merely for truth and force.

You will find, then, the voluptuous drinker concenter in himself his step is short, the movement of the hand, which is at liberty, is gentle, the muscles of it are not stretched, but it is generally fixed under the other, which supports the glass His eyes are small (but do not, nevertheless, possess that arch and fine look, which we remark in the connoisseur who tastes his wine to judge of its quality) They are often entirely shut, and even with firmness His head is sunk between his shoulders In short, the whole man seems to be absorbed in the single sensation which agreeably tickles his palate*. What difference between the head of a wine drinker, and the thirsty drunkard In the latter, all his senses seem absorbed in the desire which consumes him† His haggard eyes come out of his head, his steps are

* See plate the eighteenth

+ See plate the nineteenth.





I went for the herd Phillips New York



11 4



wide and struggling, his body with his stretched out neck bent forwards, his hands tightly clasp the cup, or are stretched out with eagerness to seize it his respiration is rapid and uneven, and, in the case of his springing forward to lay hold of the glass, his mouth is open, and his dry tongue appears through his lips, eagerly lapping the liquor on the surface of the vessel which contains it You think that I am here describing the highest degree of thirst, the "*anhelam sitim,*" as Lucretius calls it, but what you see here in its violence, you will find, in a certain degree, in drought of a more moderate kind

Let us consider, if you please, an example more noble than that of the wine-drinker Represent to yourself the interesting Juliet, who, awaiting her dear Romeo, exclaims — "Hist! Romeo," &c What, according to your opinion, ought to be her attitude? Without doubt her ear, and all her body, (though still and quiet, the better to distinguish the noise she hears) should be inclined to the spot where she expects the entrance of the personage It is only on that side that the foot will be planted with firmness, while the other rested on the point, will seem suspended in the

air; all the rest of the body will be in a state of activity *. The eye will be very open, as if to collect a great number of visual rays, for the object which does not yet appear to it. The hand will be directed to the ear, as if it could really seize the sound. The other arm to preserve the equilibrium of the body, will be directed towards the ground, with the palm turned downwards, as if eager to push aside every intrusion which might trouble the attention requisite for a moment so replete with interest.

Although an object be foreign to the organs of sight and feeling, and only adapted to the sense of hearing, the eye, notwithstanding, will feel an eagerness to behold, the hands will make an endeavour to arrest it, and the whole body will tend to the encounter.

Take the opposite case, when one listens to distant and agreeable music, less to appreciate its value than to enjoy its effect. Here the person who listens will keep himself still, with his arms across, or reduced to inaction in a different attitude. His feet will be approaching each other; the tranquil eye will be feebly

* See plate the twentieth.



Eleganteum -

Part I. Palladio's Pictures. Vol. 3.

open, or quite closed, the hand, and perhaps the body, will follow the heart with a slight movement In this case the activity of the other senses will be equally deadened, as much as the circumstances will permit, that the whole attention of the soul may be able to indulge in the voluptuous enjoyment, with which it is so agreeably affected.

I return to the motive, or gesture made with design, which I have spoken of before Taken in its original sense, it does not really belong but to those desires, which are chiefly directed towards sensible, exterior, objects, but this gesture is sometimes also metaphorically employed, as for example, in the communication of ideas, moral sentiments, volitions of the mind, &c &c The curious man, and the lover, both demand the news of the day, or in avowal of return to the tender passion, with the body curved, and the hand open In the same manner the beggar asks for alms, and the starved objects demand nourishment *

By an attentive observation, you will find a great number of these metaphorical applications of the gesture motive to things purely

* See plate the twenty first

intellectual: represent to yourself a man highly attached to the recital he is making and who claims the attention of an auditor, equally curious, equally interested in the circumstance, and you will find that the one and the other, will lay hold of the hand, the arm, the coat, and the button, to attract or rouse each other reciprocally, at those moments when attention flags and languishes; just as one would act in drawing a mobile object, or to put the arrested powers of a machine in motion. An instance of this occurs in Hubert's celebrated speech (quoted above) in King John, where he describes the Smith listening to the Tailor. Besides, the gesture of desire, directed by the sentiments or resolution of a free being, distinguishes itself from the expression of desire in a *passive* one; for, in the first case, the moral means commonly associate themselves to the physical. The gestures are full of motives, which, according to the difference of characters, and the reciprocal connections of persons, sometimes manifest themselves by humble regards and attitudes, which soothe and flatter pride—sometimes by the amicable caresses which are so pleasing to good temper. These motives, also, often develope

themselves by those simple, mild, and engaging regards, which dispose the soul to softness and sympathy. By looks fierce and menacing, which inspire a sense of fear, or by looks of a disagreeable nature, which provoke disgust and ennui. Pleasure prevails in one case, displeasure in the other : to the first instance one accords the thing desired as a recompence for agreeable sensation ; and in the second, to escape from perceptions still more unpleasant.

LETTER XIV

OF A MAN BIT BY AN ADDER—OF NOXIOUS VAPOURS—OPINION OF A PHILOSOPHER—OF LE BRUV—A MAN ALARMED AT A THUNDER STORM—LAOCOON AND THE SERPENT—OF TERROR AND ASTONISHMENT—THE SYNERGY OF THE FACULTIES—TREMBLING—CHANGE OF COLOUR—MEDEA—OF ASTONISHMENT—ERROR AN EVIL OF THE MIND—CONCERNING FIGURATIVE EXPRESSION—AFFIRMATION AND NEGATION—THE EMPLOYMENT OF PRIDE AND FLATTERY IN DIFFERENT PERSONS

THE rule which subsists with respect to the desire which carries us towards an agreeable object, agrees equally well with that which removes us from an unpleasant one.* For the part of the body which suffers most or is most menaced with the expectation of suffering, will be the first to withdraw or turn aside. The idea of the design by Laresses, of a man already bit by a serpent, or fearing so to be, is a false one. In flying away he still keeps his foot near the reptile, instead of which he ought to have drawn it away from the menaced danger, with the same energy that one

* See Plate the Twenty second



from far

snatches the finger from the fire In the former letter I have given some examples, applicable to this rule. I shall here confine myself to the mention of a single one, which appears to me worthy of observation It relates to the different shades of the expression of aversion, as far as it relates to the senses of taste and smell The movements of the nose and the lip, which the intimate connexion of these two desires renders so similar, manifests in each of these cases, the wish to withdraw—occupies a *determinate place*, for example, whilst noxious vapours fill the atmosphere, the man then flies away from the determinate place. The attitude and direction of flight, has been already detailed above

In all cases where the nature of the evil is not perfectly known on its first approach, and the organs adapted to comprehending the knowledge, are not directly excited (as in the case of thunder, for instance) then the desire of examining the qualities, the proximity, and the greatness of the evil, associates itself to that of self preservation In short, in all cases where there does not exist a total impossibility of avoiding or removing the danger, a second, but more weak desire is superadded to the first,

to wit, that of repulsing the evil, and of fortifying ourselves against it, by our own internal resources Nature will point out the means best adapted for this, according to the circumstances which occur He who wishes to dissipate offensive smells, puffs out his breath from his lungs with force, or beats about the air with an agitated hand Thus he who trembles at the unexpected attack of an enemy, in the moment of fear opposes him with his two reversed hands The first of these concomitant desires has a great share in the expressions of fright and terror, which manifest themselves on the countenance For it makes the eyes spread and extend, the better to recognise the object by which it is menaced And the mouth too, if we may believe an English Philosopher, who speaks thus —

“ The reason why the eyes and mouth are suddenly opened in frights, seems to be, that the object of danger may better be seen and avoided, as if Nature intended to lay open all the inlets to the senses, for the safety of the animal the eyes that they may see their danger, and the mouth, which is in this case an assistant to the ears, that they may hear it

° This may, perhaps, surprise some, that the mouth should be necessary to hear by, but, it is a common thing to see men, whose hearing is not very good, open their mouths with attention, when they listen, and it is some help to them. The reason is, that there is a passage from the *meatus auditorius*, which opens into the mouth. Thus we see how ready Nature is, upon any emergency, to lay hold of every occasion for self preservation."

Philos Transact

Others hold opinion with Le Brun, that this opening of the mouth is occasioned by the emotions of the heart, whose palpitation ruses a difficulty of breathing. It little matters to which of these two explications you give the preference, nevertheless the former seems to have the advantage, inasmuch as it reduces the two phenomena to one common principle, and, for this cause, it is most acceptable to me. For, I could wish all the obscure gestures attributed to physiology, were placed in the more plain class of gestures motive. For the rest, it suffices to know, that the tendency to enquire into, and judge of the nature of the danger, almost constantly associates, by very sen-

sible reasons, to the desire of preservation in the individual, and that the action lasts, even after the man has turned his back, and is flying with his hands before him.

If the dangerous object is visible, the fugitive turns back his head as he runs, and direct the eye to the place, if its approach can only then be distinguished by that sense *

A great painter, therefore, has done well in making his figures look back, under the impression of fear I have seen one figure, however, that of a man alarmed at thunder, where, I think, Nature points out a different expression He should not (in my opinion) turn round his face, but rather shut his eyes, covering them, at the same time, with one hand, and with the other stretched behind him, as if he wished to ward off the dreaded stroke †

The second desire, i e of repulsing or removing the evil, which commonly unites itself to the wish of preservation, manifests itself during its presence, and as long as the terror, not having entirely overpowered the energies of the man, leaves any activity to his muscles

* See plate the twenty-third

† See plate the twenty-fourth



1771
(as described by Engel)

after Richard Phillips New Haven 1777

This is peculiarly remarkable, when any obstacles are opposed to the fugitive, or when the peril is so near as that which menaced Laocoön from the serpents, who

—*Simul manibus tendit divellere nodos
Perfusus sanie vittas atroque veneno,
Clamores simul horrendos ad sidera tollit.*

In the first moment of fear we stagger backward, or because we know not the degree of the peril, from its bursting unexpectedly upon us, we know not how to act, whether to fly or to defend ourselves. It seems to me that fear, in the first instance, where this denomination is most proper for it, is often an admixture of astonishment, fright, and anger, at least somewhat of these three affections may be traced in it.

Terror makes us start back, renders us immovable, and discolours the cheek, astonishment makes us motionless for a moment, in the same attitude. Both of these open the eyes and mouth, beyond their general proportions, anger makes us impetuously present the hand, as it were to meet the danger, In truth, this last gesture does not always take

place; for, when the peril is instantaneous, and with superior strength, the desire of self conservation makes the arms rise aloft, as if rather eager to demand assistance from above, than seeking to repulse the evil, in stiffening the frame against the attack. Of all the affections of the mind, this is assuredly the most dangerous one for the health, and it seems to me, that its ravages are sufficiently explained, by the instantaneous combat of opposing and diverse passions, which succeed and mix with violence and rapidity.

You ask me, in your last letter, if the remark concerning the synergy of the faculties, which takes place in the desire of enjoyment, ought not to be equally applied to fear, to anger, and, in a word, to every species of desire. The little I am now about to say, will furnish you with an answer to this question.

The gesture will be more or less modified, by one or the other concomitant desire sometimes the man will seek to know the nature of the peril with greater certainty, sometimes he will confine himself to the mere removing it from him Le Brun cites a case where the whole body seems to concentrate, but he does not say a single word concerning the eyes or

• 1

the lips, which ought to participate in that general contraction There are, however, some cases which offer a similar phenomenon to that of the desire of enjoyment For example, whilst the evil affects only one sense, while it is known, and the means of avoiding it is not the question, then the participation of the other senses sometimes manifest themselves a bad smell, makes not only the two organs affected close themselves, viz the nose and the mouth, but the eyes also, when the disgust acquires a greater degree of intensity Nevertheless it might be objected against this observation, that in the first movements the contraction and wrinkling of the muscles of the face are already sufficiently strong to diminish the opening of the eyes also The remark, then, would be more striking, if one said, that one re enters into oneself, that one draws back each member, and as much as possible shuts up all the senses, when fear (as in the imminent danger of falling from a vast height) has mounted to such a pitch, that one dreads to appreciate the peril and has lost all hope of preservation “ I shall shut my eyes,” says a man in a comedy, “ that I may not be a witness of my own deplorable end ”

The physiological phænomena caused by fear, whilst all the movements of human nature, and above all the desire of self preservation, find themselves interested, are so known, and their imitation so difficult for the actor, that I think I ought to pass them over in silence; The icy seizure and the trembling of the limbs are easily imitated, but the changes of colour, those signs of an imagination strongly depicted, are not to be copied by a mere cold intention.

Let us then quit this subject, that we may enter on that of motive gesture, of which we have spoken above.

You well know that, to speak properly, one cannot retreat from the approach of evil, nor oppose resistance to it by holding out the hands unless the object be really present and has a determinate place notwithstanding we do retreat or throw back the body when we are fearful of hearing bad news Our own proper thoughts sometimes urge this effect, when our heart and our conscience reject any ideas as base unworthy, or criminal.

Whilst *Medea*, transported with rage, consults with herself on the way in which she may inflict the most sensible wound on the heart of



Horn.

her perfidious Jason, and distracted by the desire of vengeance, forms this wish, "Ah ! why has he not children by Creusa ?" or while she makes this still more terrible question, " Is he not already a father ?" Then, with averted face, holds forth her hands and throws back her body, whilst revolted nature makes her breathe a sudden cry from the bottom of her heart,*

" Shocking thought, it freezes me with fear."

It is thus that a man generally starts back from a fearful idea, after it has acquired any degree of vivacity, as at the approach of any present evil with which his senses are struck, whether his soul has conceived this idea of its own accord or it has been communicated to him by some other person

The same thing happens in *astonishment*, whilst surprising and incredible ideas take possession of the soul as if it were by force /

It is thus, for example, that we expose ourselves to being ridiculous by too much credulity It is for this reason that we remove, for a moment, from the person who recounts

* See Plate the twenty fifth

incredible things to us, though they may be in other respects perfectly indifferent to our well being; and that one turns aside at the recital of some *parador*. It is thus also, that the sudden appearance of a friend long thought dead, or at a great distance from us, makes us recede with fear, as if a spectre presented itself to our eyes.

It is useless to remark that, in such situations, one is equally occupied to appreciate and reconnoitre the danger of being deceived. We compare, for example, the friend we review with the image we had retained of him in our minds, to confirm the reality of his presence; we consider, with a fixed eye, and sometimes with a slight smile, the man who is telling us some story, or else examine him with a severe or contemptuous look, to be able to determine, by the play of his physiognomy, whether he is jesting or speaking seriously; and to satisfy ourselves concerning the way in which he will sustain this look, or how he will answer it by his mien and his words.

It would be here very easy for me to multiply the examples of such *figurative expressions*. an animated, lively negation, a refusal suddenly given with somewhat of humour, are

always accompanied with a movement of the head and hands, as if we wished to scatter or push away the question or the prayer that is addressed to us.

On the contrary, when we affirm any thing with vivacity, or grant a favour with a good will, we employ an open hand, with the palm aloft, as if we wished to present it to the speaker, or to receive his: and this double disposition is only the figurative representation of the agreement of the judgment and the wits.

The gesture of aversion, as applied to moral objects, appears to me still more worthy of remark; for you should have observed, that the expression of contempt assumes spontaneously a trait of disgust; for example, the sight or recital of despicable actions, of base flattery, of pusillanimous supplication, of servile weakness in putting up with gross injuries, makes the nose contract as if in the act of being hurt by the smell of something disagreeable to its nerves; and sometimes, when the contempt is carried to a high degree, it is shown by a kind of spitting, and manifested by the exclamation of "Fie!"—which indicates a kind of wish to purge the mouth from some putrid or pestilential substance.

Some other evils are more material, and merit all our attention and observance. We tremble because we compare their greatness with our own littleness, their force with our proper weakness; we fly from a disgusting object on account of the idea which we form of the imperfections common to and inherent in its nature. It rouses our repugnance, without exciting our fear or our attention; and we here, doubtless, behold the obscure motive of the phænomenon of which I have been speaking.

I shall finish this letter by making you observe, en passant, that the action of fear is likewise a motive one, whilst the evil which we fear depends on the will of a free agent; and that, in this case, the motives differ much according to difference of character and circumstances: for sometimes we strive to move by prayer and submission, and sometimes to alarm by a display of firmness and courage. Pride and flattery are employed according to the circumstances and dispositions of persons.

LETTER XV.

THE DESIRE OF REMOVING OR DESTROYING AN EVIL—DIFFERENT FROM CHOLER—ANGER SOMETIMES VENTED ON INANIMATE OBJECTS—THE WELL-KNOWN STORY OF XERXES—A REFLECTION THEREON—DREADFUL EFFECTS OF PASSION—REMARK OF A GREAT MAN OF ANTIQUITY—PLUTARCH—OPINION OF SENECA—CURSORY HINT TO DRAMATIC ARTISTS.

THE desire to remove or destroy an evil may be a very different thing from choler; notwithstanding it is only under the traits of this passion, [which, for as much as I know, confounds itself with the desire of punishment and vengeance, according to the opinion of all the ancient philosophers,] that it has its most marked and characteristical operations.

The soul, agitated by this desire, manifests no other sign in the body save ardour and resolution, to which, perhaps, the expression of the other affections associates itself; such, for example, as those of fear, terror, and displeasure. But while sensible and reasonable

beings purposely cause us to experience pain and chagrin, because they have been disgusted with us under some point of view, considering us in the light of individuals not dangerous, or of persons they may offend with impunity, without having any thing to fear from us in return; as when they wound us in the dark, without fear of a discovery. When we find out all this, when we remark that our enemy feels a malignant satisfaction at the grief his address has implanted in our wounded sensibilities, the desire of vengeance inflames the heart, and we feel ourselves spurred on to *return* the woes we have endured, on those who have been the authors of our sufferings. Thus the roaring torrent breaks down the opposing barrier, and tears down every object which impedes it with resistless violence: at the terrible aspect of these destructive effects, the cruel joy of our enemy is converted into alarm and grief; whilst our own bitter chagrin is transformed into the delicious sentiment arising from the idea of our own proper puissance, and the terror which it scatters abroad. The result of this corresponds with what the moral philosophers have long since observed, *i. e.* that this resentment naturally rouses itself against

free and thinking agents; that it is less natural when exerted against animals, who, not being able either to offend or to irritate us, have only the faculty of hurting us; and that it is quite unnatural, when exerted against objects passive or inanimate.

The extravagant idea of Xerxes, when he threatened to chain and lash the sea, has been treated as a species of delirium. It is probable, however, that a despot, less accustomed than other men to the mortifying idea of his impotence and his dependency, might have found a species of consolation in blinding himself to such a point, as to conceive that he might avenge his own torments on the vexed sea, and that he was formidable enough to make the ocean feel his vengeance. Thus, as I have been saying, *choler* adds energy to all the exterior parts of the body, but chiefly arms those most proper to seize, attack, or destroy; swelled by the blood and humours which are thither carried in abundance, they agitate themselves with a convulsive violence. The inflamed eyes roll in their orbits, and dart forth fiery glances; the hands and teeth manifest a kind of interior tumult, by the grinding of one, and the agitation of the others. It is

the same kind of eagerness which the mad bull and furious bear display, to make use of the arms with which Nature has furnished them moreover, the veins are swelled, especially those about the neck and temples, all the visage is inflamed, on account of the superabundance of blood carried up to it but this redness resembles not that occasioned by desire or love, the movements are more hurried and more violent, the step is heavy, irregular, impetuous — You will object to me, that these changes do not always take place—that the visage as often turns pale as red I answer, that the desire of vengeance may change itself into the disagreeable sentiment of the offence received, and then *vice versa*, or, if you prefer giving the name of choler to this reunited sentiment, I shall say then, “ This choler is composed of the chagrin of the offence received, and of the desire of taking vengeance for it.”

In reuniting all the gestures and actions of a man in choler, the picture will be a disgusting one, particularly if you add the poisoned foam which sometimes drops from the lower lip —at this sight the tranquil observer will conceive the greatest horror at a passion which can ravage the noble countenance of man with

uch infernal violence. I remember Plutarch makes one of his great men say, "That he should be thankful to any servant who would place a looking-glass before his eyes, at each approach of anger; because that, seeing himself in such an unnatural condition, he should certainly learn to detest the passion." But, in my opinion, the servant would shew himself more wise in leaving the mirror alone, as he would run a great chance of having it thrown at his head. It was otherwise with Minerva, of whom Plutarch recounts, that she threw away her flute, because she perceived the grimaces (in a river) which she made while playing on that instrument.

The mind of Minerva was calm, and, being a woman, it was for her interest to look beautiful, and not to disfigure her face by distortions. She played on a flute to please: but the man in choler wishes to inspire terror.—Let us hear what Seneca says:

"*Speculo equidem neminem deterritum ab ira credo. Qui ad speculum venerat, ut se mutaret, jam mutaverat. Iratis quidem nulla est formosior effigies, quam atrox et horrida, qualesque esse, etiam videri volunt.*"

De Ira. L. 2, C. 35.

I am wandering from my subject, without perceiving it: but what can I say, after this author, of the gestures of the desire of vengeance? He has given a description in each of the three books he has written on choler, and has there developed the most slight shades of this passion with so much eloquence, that his most warm admirer could not avoid exclaiming, " Ubique diffuse & cur toties?"— Choose those passages which appear to you the most just or beautiful: I shall content myself with offering a slight remark for the consideration of the actor; to wit—that, in the imitation of choler, he ought to propose another end to himself than the natural imitation of a passion whose effects so easily become disgusting: he must guard in this, more than in any other passion, against overcharging what is in itself so hideous and unpleasant to the eye and to the imagination.

LETTER XVI.

OF VENGEANCE AND CHOLER—THEIR EFFECTS—ANGER AND VENGEANCE OFTEN MISTAKE THEIR OBJECTS—AN INSTANCE IN OTHELLO—IN ANTHONY AND CLEOPATRA—DREADFUL EFFECTS OF RAGE—OPERATING TO SELF-MOLESTATION—THE PASSION OF LOVE ACTING IN A MANNER SOMEWHAT SIMILAR TOWARDS SURROUNDING OBJECTS—AN EXAMPLE FROM MR. CUMBERLAND'S COMEDY OF THE WEST INDIAN.

THERE is something of malice in the question you put to me; I mean in that particular one where you ask in what class of expression I should choose to range *choler* or desire of vengeance. I suppose you slyly mean to make me sensible of all that is vague and ambiguous in my classifications. These classifications are, in your opinion, more adapted to create confusion than to prevent it. But recollect I have nowhere pretended to tell you that my mode has appeared unobjectionable even to myself, or that it is by any means conformable to the precise and rigid rules of logic.

You say that, according to my argument,

all changes of the blood ought to be referred to physiological causes, but that we, nevertheless, in sudden colouring and paleness, find something analogous to the situation of the soul. The spirits are carried with energy towards the extremities of the body when a man is thinking of an enemy, when occupied in rumination on his personal prowess, or when the mental faculties are flattered with the ideas of approaching vengeance.

Your remark that anger frequently quits its real object, and fixes on others totally foreign and extraneous, is extremely just, and I feel much indebted to you for it.

Notwithstanding this, I cannot avoid expressing my surprise, that out of so many examples quoted by Hume, from whom you confess to have borrowed the foregoing observation, you should have selected the precise one which appears to me the least forcible of them all. Iago has roused the jealousy of Othello, in the tragedy of that name, by equivocal signs, and circumstances calculated to excite his suspicions, which do not, however, seem sufficiently founded to make their effects be resented on Desdemona, their natural object. The disorder and anxiety awakened in his soul

by these suggestions, excite his momentary rage, even against Iago himself, who as yet appears not only innocent, but friendly in his eyes.

Othello. Villain, be sure you prove my love
a whore;

"Be sure of it; give me the ocular proof.

[*Catching hold of him.*

For, by the worth of mine eternal soul,
Thou hadst better have been born a dog,
Than answer my wak'd wrath.

Iago. Is it come to this?

Othello. If thou dost slander her, and torture me,

Never pray more; abandon all remorse;
On horror's head, horrors accumulate;
Do deeds to make Heaven weep; all earth
amaz'd;
For nothing canst thou to damnation add,
Greater than that.

Iago. O Grace! O Heaven, defend me!
Are you a man? have you a soul and sense?
God be wi' you, take mine office. Oh!
wretched fool,

That liv'd to make thine honesty a vice
See the fine Scene, Othello, Act III.

You will pardon me for differing with you, and saying, that, in my opinion, the choler is here directed to its right object; for Othello, attached heart and soul to his Desdemona, and horrified with the cruel and insupportable torments of jealousy, visibly abandons the suspicions he had entertained against the virtue of his wife, and clearly delivers himself up to those of an opposite nature, to wit, his suspicions of the integrity and veracity of Iago. And hence arises a remark, confirmed by experience, to wit, that the bearer of ill news becomes hateful on his own account: I shall illustrate this observation, by a very striking example, which occurs in Shakespeare's play of Antony and Cleopatra.

Anthony. Moon and stars !
Whip him—were it twenty of the greatest tributaries
That do acknowledge Cæsar, should I find them,
So saucy with the hand of she here (what's her name ?)
Since she was Cleopatra) whip him, fellows,
Till like a boy you see him cringe his face,
And whine aloud for mercy : take him hence.

Messenger. Mark Anthony.

Anthony. Tug him away : being whipped,
Bring him again ; this Jack of Cæsar's shall
bear us an errand to him.

[*Exeunt Messenger and Attendants.*

Cleopatra. Wherefore is this ?

Anthony. To let a fellow that will take
rewards

And say, God quit you, be familiar with
My play-fellow, your hand this seal
And plighter of high faith : O ! that I were
Upon the hill of Basan, to out-roar
The horned herd ; for I have savage cause ;
And to proclaim it civilly were like
A halter'd neck, which does the hangman
thank

For being yare about him. Is he whipped ?

(*Re-enter Messenger and Attendant.*)

Attendant. Soundly, my Lord.

Anthony. Cried he ? and asked he mercy ?

Attendant. He did ask favour.

Anthony. If that thy father live, let him
repent

Thou wast not made his daughter, and be thou
sorry

To follow Cæsar in his triumph, since

The desire which carries us towards an object offers also something similar in certain circumstances I shall find future occasion to speak of this. I shall here confine myself to observe, in a few words, that satisfied and happy love diffuses itself in caresses and in acts of beneficence, even towards those objects which are *strange* and *foreign*, not only in the absence of the beloved object, but even in the midst of possession The fiery West-Indian, in Mr Cumberland's charming comedy, embraces the whole company, after obtaining the hand of his dear Charlotte.

When I quote from the works of Mr Cumberland, I ever imagine that I quote from Nature herself—since it is from the inexhaustible mine of that goddess whence all his characters are drawn his *dramatis personæ* invariably act as persons in real life would behave under the impressions of similar circumstances No one has written more naturally, and yet there is scarcely any dramatic writer so classically correct his fund of humour is deep and various, his characters have every faculty of exciting risibility, and yet remain independent of the wit-ticisms, manners, dress, or politics of the day We trace nothing of the caricature in his com-

positions, nor do his clowns and footmen ever branch forth into the sentimental luxuriancy of sages and philosophers, to draw down a few plaudits from the auditors: his gentlemen, on the other hand, never act like valets or madmen, to excite the *ridiculous* by the total violation of the possible or the probable. Major O' Flaherty is a gentleman in manners and in sentiments: of this characteristical dignity he never once loses view during five delightful acts; yet the stage cannot produce a more delightful or a more entertaining specimen of Hibernian whimsicality.

We have now a performer on the boards of one of our theatres who defies all competition in characters of humorous Irishmen: in the whole range of these characters, which he delineates so exquisitely well, there is not one where he stands more conspicuous than in Mr. Cumberland's humorous, generous, open-hearted soldier. I could mention many other elucidations of the happy genius of our English Terence—but—

“ To gild refined gold—to paint the lily—
To throw a perfume on the violet—
Is wasteful and *ridiculous excess.*”

King John.

ferent and innocent, and wreak its fury by trampling, beating, tearing, and defacing them—and when it cannot be satisfied in this manner, it resembles canine hunger, and hurries the individual even to violence upon himself. This terrible desire once excited in the heart of man, all his nervous system is in the greatest disorder, and he is disturbed by a continual disquietude:—rather than remain idle, and not wreak its rage on *something*, it will bite the lip till the blood flows, gnaw the nails, and rend the hair, without knowing any bounds to its fury:—like the Italian, who, after having lost his whole fortune at the gaming table, tore out his inside with his concealed hand, whilst an apparent tranquillity kept place in all the traits of his countenance. The hands, the feet, the teeth, will instinctively seek for employment.

In the anger or ill-humour which is yet concentrated, we remark their unquiet agitation. The man in this situation bites the lower lip gently—he agitates the foot, or stamps on the earth with it. He arranges and re-adjusts his clothes, he rubs his hat, or, with his hand behind his ear, fumbles among the hairs of his head. The circumstance of the hand being

involuntarily conveyed to the hair, proves that a disagreeable alteration has taken place in the skin which covers the skull, and has there produced uncomfortable sensations—an effect equally caused by fear and terror. This deviation of the affection of which we have been speaking, from the principal objects to those with which it is connected, or to others absolutely foreign, may *perhaps* be an essential point, which holds good, more or less, in all our desires. We know that it takes place in *that fever* which, arrived at its greatest height, carries the idea of danger to objects the most innocent, and, trembling at the slightest noise, starts from the breeze that whispers through the foliage. Here call to your mind the sublime picture of *Æneas* carrying the aged *Anchises* upon his shoulders, and leading the young *Ascanius* by the hand.

"*Ferimur per opaca locorum:
Et me, quem dudum non ulla injecta move-
bant*

*Tela, neque adverso glomerati ex agmine Graii,
Nunc omnes terrent auræ: sonus excitat omnis
Suspensum & pariter comitiique oneriique ti-
mentem."*

Thou hast been whipped for following him :
henceforth

The white hand of a lady fever thee :
Shake thou to look on't. Get thee back to
Cæsar ;

Tell him thy entertainment : Iook thou say :
He makes me angry with him ; for he seems
Proud and disdainful ; harping on what I am,
Not what he knew I was. He makes me
angry ;

And at this time most easy 'tis to do't—
When my good stars, that were my former
guides,

Have empty left their orbs, and shot their fires
Into the abism of hell. If he mislike
My speech, and what is done, tell him he has
Hipparchus, my enfranchis'd bondsman, whom
He may at pleasure whip, or hang, or torture,
As he shall like to quit me. Urge it thou.
Hence with thy stripes ! Begone !

—Cæsar had raised the jealousy of Antony,
and not being able to punish the wrong in his
person, he avenges it on the Messenger.

Notwithstanding this example, if we adopt

the explication of Aristotle, the anger here personified may not be entirely directed to an object of indifference, or on false grounds; for the tranquillity and icy phlegm of the Messenger, a witness of the bitter agony suffered, might appear to him a piece of contempt, and an offence which might naturally excite his bile. Besides, we are sure that it would not have been the innocent Messenger, but the perjured lover, who would have felt the force of his resentment, had power seconded the inclination. The effects of revenge will also be sometimes wreaked even on inanimate objects. Do we not often see persons rub letters in their hands, stamp them under their feet, or tear them with their teeth? Perchance we shall be able to throw some light on this subject, by making an abstraction of those objects which are innocent and foreign, by generalizing our observations, and establishing it as a truth, that the desire of vengeance is a furious passion, of which the boiling symptoms are not easily allayed in the human heart—and that, while it cannot exercise its fury on the desired object, it seizes on such inanimate ones as are at all related to it: and when none of this kind can be found, will fly to objects dif-

The desire which carries us towards an object offers also something similar in certain circumstances. I shall find future occasion to speak of this. I shall here confine myself to observe, in a few words, that satisfied and happy love diffuses itself in caresses and acts of beneficence, even towards those objects which are *strange* and *foreign*, not only in the absence of the beloved object, but even in the midst of possession. The fiery West-Indian, in Mr. Cumberland's charming comedy, embraces the whole company, after obtaining the hand of his dear Charlotte.

When I quote from the works of Mr. Cumberland, I ever imagine that I quote from Nature herself—since it is from the inexhaustible mine of that goddess whence all his characters are drawn : his *dramatis personæ* invariably act as persons in real life would behave under the impressions of similar circumstances. No one has written more naturally, and yet there is scarcely any dramatic writer so classically correct : his fund of humour is deep and various ; his characters have every faculty of exciting risibility, and yet remain independent of the witticisms, manners, dress, or politics of the day. We trace nothing of the caricature in his com-

positions, nor do his clowns and footmen ever branch forth into the sentimental luxuriancy of sages and philosophers, to draw down a few plaudits from the auditors: his gentlemen, on the other hand, never act like valets or madmen, to excite the *ridiculous* by the total violation of the possible or the probable. Major O' Flaherty is a gentleman in manners and in sentiments: of this characteristical dignity he never once loses view during five delightful acts; yet the stage cannot produce a more delightful or a more entertaining specimen of Hibernian whimsicality.

We have now a performer on the boards of one of our theatres who defies all competition in characters of humorous Irishmen: in the whole range of these characters, which he delineates so exquisitely well, there is not one where he stands more conspicuous than in Mr. Cumberland's humorous, generous, open-hearted soldier. I could mention many other elucidations of the happy genius of our English Terence—but—

“ To gild refined gold—to paint the lily—
To throw a perfume on the violet—
Is wasteful and ridiculous excess.”

King John.

Mr. Cumberland is a first-rate scholar, and has made use of this advantage in all his dramatic writings; he has fully felt the force of the following Horatian maxim:

"Si quid inexpertum Scenæ committis et audes,
Personam formare novam; servetur ad imum
Qualis ab incepto processerit; & sibi constet.
Difficile est proprie communia dicere."

"My praise can be no compliment to

"The observed of all *observers*,"

yet shall I boldly lay my little leaf of myrtle at his feet: for as it has been remarked that the bravest men are commonly the most merciful, so is it likewise my creed, that the most learned men are the most indulgent; and in the full spirit of this sentiment I make my bow to the author of the elegant West Indian, and to the bold delineator of the manly, the affecting, the sublime Penr'd Idock.

LETTER XVII.

THE JUDGMENT OF A PHILOSOPHER—ON ENVY AND HATRED
—SIMILARITY OF GENERAL APPARANCES—DIFFICULTY
OF PRESENTING THEM IN ONE POINT OF VIEW—EXAMPLES
—FROM CATO—CÆsar—OTHELLO—THE GRADATIONS OF
JEALOUSY IN LOVE.

IF an author attaches himself principally to the *exterior* signs or phænomena of the passions, it would be unreasonable to expect from him a too rigid adherence to his classifications, or to look for the same minute explications which are given by the natural philosopher, who has passed whole years in the study of their *internal* effects ; for so difficultly various is this perplexing research, that where one man thinks he contemplates a unity of appearance, another imagines that he can discern an hundred ramifications and diversities : for, as many rivers may be derived from a single source, so

find it necessary to call in the support of a few examples A philosopher may be able to discriminate the feeling of *envy*, from that kind of displeasure some men experience at the contemplation of the more successful fortunes of others they refer the origin of the one to that *self-love* which is common to the nature of man whilst they attribute the other to a species of *personal dislike* It might be said, that Cato, seeing the enemies of the republic exalted to the most lucrative and dignified situations in Rome, experienced this sentiment, because the persons so upraised by fortune were his determined opponents, whilst Cæsar and Pompey were bona fide envious of the successes of each other. Now this distinction is as true as it appears remarkable To appreciate it justly, however, it will be requisite to peer into the most secret recesses of the soul, as it is by this attentive observance alone that we shall be able to account for the outward operation of the gestures

Both the affections we have been describing spread an outward visible air of chagrin over the countenance, they give a kind of suspicious glance to the eye, and avert the body, with a sort of involuntary emotion, from the

object which gives birth to their suspicion or their jealousy. We may, perhaps, expect to find two descriptions correspondent to each of these sentiments. Yet the perplexed philosopher, when he has to speak of hatred, finds himself compelled to revert to what he has before said on the subject of jealousy; half owning that he has failed in his attempts at attaining any characteristic signs which can distinguish the one from the other. Yet, if there is really a perfect resemblance between the traits of hatred and those of jealousy, why should any artist involve *himself* in an unnecessary trouble?—why does he not spare his reader the fatigue of poring over his words or his drawings? But the truth is, that what takes place with jealousy and hatred may be referred to what is said on the subject of envy and malevolence,

Is jealousy really only to be recognised under the guise of hatred?—when she ceases to assume this garb, is she at all altered in essentials?

I am much deceived, or you now begin to perceive a kind of unity in the *source* of these different affections of the soul. If you select the jealousy of ambition for the object of your

contemplation, you will find a variety of ramifications: you will sometimes view it connected with *shame*—at others it will appertain to a displeasure closely bordering upon anger. In such a complex mixture of sentiments and feelings, how difficult must be the task of giving such marked traits, which shall not interfere with a correct delineation of the passion! How shall we distinguish the tears shed by young Cæsar, during the perusal of the life of Alexander, from any other kind of noble grief?

Though we may be able to denote the general traits of hatred, grief, despair, ironical laughter, with all the other expressions, mixed or simple, which appertain to *jealousy*, yet it will be difficult to reunite and bring them together, so as to occasion such a delineation as cannot be confounded with similar affections.

Le Brun has marked the expressions of hatred; but, as hatred is not always the companion of jealousy, he has not cleared the difficulties I have mentioned.

If had this artist been compelled to represent the passion, in a picture, under the semblance of an allegorical being, he would only have seized *one point of view*, and would therefore have displayed his judgment in the selection of

that one which offered the most self-evident and general expression.

Rapture and despair are the words which serve to denote the highest climax of our agreeable or painful sensations. But rapture sometimes consists as much in the delicate languors of pleasure, as in the more animated expressions of boisterous felicity.

Despair may be delineated by the most humble dejection of the soul, and also by its more furious and ungoverned transports.—What unity can an author indicate here?—Should he paint rapture as a soft sensation of voluptuous indolence, with eyes swimming in pleasure, and half concealed under their lids, I might ask him, in my turn, whether a physiognomy, lively, gay, and sparkling with the most vivid joy; eyes floating in splendour, arms stretched out, the body bounding from earth, and dancing in the wanton air;—I would ask him, I repeat, whether he would not recognize the required sentiment under this delineation also?* To regain the unity, it must be necessary to take the word rapture in a more strict and literal sense, and to explain it by

* See Plates the twenty-sixth and twenty-seventh.

that spiritual and voluptuous intuition which forms the charm of a romantic imagination.

This resource will avail nothing, however, when we come to examine despair: for if we contemplate the famous print of Count Ugolino, exhausted by famine, and already bearing a strong resemblance to a dead corpse, we shall there find those traits of despair which are indicated by the idea of suicide.

Leonardo di Vinci says, "You may represent a man, abandoned to despair, holding a knife in his hand, with which he strikes himself; after having torn open his garments with one hand, he enlarges his wound: he will be upright, with the feet straggling the one from the other, or with his body bent, as if in the action of falling to the ground."

You will please to remark that the above sketch is only a project of this incalculable artist; and that he is here speaking of what *may*, and not of what *ought* to be done. This is despair personified—such as it ought to be when represented in a painting. As I spoke of jealousy in the beginning of my letter, I wish to mention one species of that affection, which had escaped me in the difficulty of arranging my notions. I allude to the jealousy

of love. If you examine this species of suspicion, the poetical *Proteus* will no longer be regarded as a fictitious being:—jealousy, like this god, every moment assumes a new form; anxiety, tears, impatience, the smile of derision, the searching glance of doubt, the bitter complaint, the broken-hearted despondency, violence, fury, murder! Behold the gradation of sentiments succeeding to each other in the bosom of *Othello*.

LETTER XXVIII.

DESCARTES AND LE BRUN—THE SOURCE OF AGREEABLE AND DISAGREEABLE AFFECTIONS—EXAMINATION OF THE AGREEABLE SENTIMENT—OF JOY AND TRANQUILLITY—THEIR PHYSICAL EFFECTS—THE JOY OF THE PROUD MAN—OF THE LOVER—FINE PASSAGE OF XENOPHON—THE VAIN MAN—OF COURAGE AND FIRMNESS—ANIMATED DESCRIPTION—A BEAUTIFUL SPEECH QUOTED FROM SHAKESPEARE'S TRAGEDY OF CYMBELINE.

DESCARTES makes an express distinction between corporeal sensation and the passions of the soul. Le Brun, although a faithful follower of the philosopher in other instances, abandons him in this: if this desertion is not couched in express words, it is at least tacitly allowed by the artist, when speaking of physical grief. I shall not adopt the opinions of either of these celebrated men; fearful lest I should be induced to pass over the rules relating to corporeal expression in too cursory a manner; or that I may find myself compelled to enter into details too tedious for your perusal; and, after all, these rules would be of no very important consequence to the actor, whose

instruction is the principal object of these researches. Notwithstanding these considerations, we must not absolutely neglect the scrutiny of physical effects, for, as they are not unfrequently consequences of the interior movements of the soul, their expression will carry us back to the original source. Whilst 'Othello, staggering from one side to the other, lifts one hand to his head, as if conscious of his approaching fit, and strikes the other upon his convulsed and agonised heart—whilst his tongue can only utter broken, half-connected sentences we recognize in these external sensations of the *body* the modifications which affect the *soul*.

I have previously stated that the affections generate from our sense of our own failings or perfections—the first giving rise to the agreeable, and the second to the unpleasing affections now their reunion is the cause of the *mixed affections*. A similar sentiment has frequently, but not always, a mixed expression consequently a considerable number of this kind may be ranged in the class of simple sentiments.

According to several philosophers, neither pure grief or pure pleasure occasions the shed-

ding of tears : to make them flow, it is necessary that the agreeable should mingle with the disagreeable, or *per contra*.

Let us commence, then, with an examination of the more pleasing affections, and leave the disagreeable ones for an ulterior disquisition. It is natural to select what most flatters the imagination : in a basket of fruits, who will not give the preference to the most beautiful, and the best flavoured ? There are some men so happily tempered, that the most perfect equilibrium of the humours permits the blood to flow without obstruction or impediment in the delicate vessels—and the natural consequence of this is a freedom in the progression of their ideas, a mirth and vivacity cheering the heart, and beaming on the visage ; and pictures of smiling felicity are for ever present to the contemplation of these favoured children of mortality : when happy events operate on their exterior, we do not simply observe a serenity and satisfaction, but that superior degree of agreeable sentiment to which I shall give the exclusive name of joy. In the play which this joy produces, we remark the most perfect analogy, the most exact impressions of a soul, which opens (as we may say) every avenue to

lattering ideas, in measuring the movements of the body exactly upon the degree of velocity, of pliancy and facility, which reign in the progression of his clear and governing conceptions. The countenance is open and frank in all parts, the front is serene, the head elevated with grace between the shoulders, the eloquent eye presents its full globe shining with splendour, the mouth presents that amiable "Semihians labellum," as Catullus calls it, the arms and hands are detached from the body, the walk is sprightly and gay, lightness, activity, and grace reign in the movements of all the limbs.

You must conclude from hence that the phenomena of joy offer themselves under amiable traits, and that they are replete with elegance and beauty, and you may draw this inference from it, viz. that they will be so much the more characteristical, and their resemblance with this affection will be so much the more striking, as the ideas which occupy the soul are more gracious or beautiful, and as these ideas, more or less, favour the analogies indicated. The joy of the proud man, who beholds the completion of his ambitious projects, will make his whole face expand, and will con-

fer activity and lightness on the movements of his body. But whilst ideas, grand, elevated, and vast, actually occupy his soul, we shall find there is more or less to do away of this sentiment; we shall here be apt to remark less of pure joy, than of a *mixture* of *joy* and *pride*.

The lover, whose whole soul wanders over soft, beautiful, and voluptuous ideas, on the contrary, will shew his joy under the characteristics of a frank happiness, *pure* and complete. It is useless to observe that this sentiment has its degrees, as well as the sentiment itself. The highest point of rapture or transport will be a reinforcement to those traits I have just been indicating. In truth, those traits entirely seem to lose all their grace from the moment the joy begins to grow too giddy or too boisterous, or when it degenerates into a petulance, which throws the face into grimaces, and transforms the soft and easy movements of the body into the boundings and caperings of a mountebank. The actions to which joy commonly delivers itself are those which produce the most lively impressions upon all the senses; such, for example, as good cheer, smiling, singing, clapping of hands, dancing,

and a desire to communicate with those whom we wish to interest in the felicity of our lot; a species of corruption (if I dare thus express myself) which operates by embraces, presents, protestations of amity, benefits, and above all by caresses, lavished on those from whom we expect the most lively and most intimate sympathy, on account of their attachment, the conformity of their station, or their full participation of the common happiness.

Men who have run the same dangers, and endured the same misfortunes, embrace each other in the first transport, mingling tears of joy with their reciprocal felicitations. XENOPHON has a fine passage of this kind. You know that the 10,000 Greeks had to struggle against innumerable obstacles and dangers in their famous retreat.*

When they came to the summit of the mountain, the sea suddenly burst on their sight; the joy was general—the soldiers could not refrain from tears, but embraced their generals and captains.

* Ετει δε αφικοντο ταντες επι τοχηρου ενταυθε
δη περιεβελλον αλληλας και Σρχτεγης, και λοχα-
γας δακρυουτες.

Suppress for the present the novelty and celerity of the impression caused by happy and particular events : let the perfection with which the soul is occupied be of a permanent quality, and give leisure and repose to intuition itself; then the sentiment will in truth preserve much of softness and contentment, but the characteristic of *joy* will vanish, and all will depend on the nature of the idea, which ought to be expressed by the gesture. You will presently have the proof in that personal satisfaction which agreeably affects the man, while he considers perfection as a part or inherent quality in *himself*.

Does any one admire the charms and beauty of his *own* figure, or the activity and the grace of his movements: then the soft smile of internal contentment, the vivacity of soul, the gaiety of gesture remain ; he leaps, runs, sings, —a thousand attitudes succeed, that he may be able to look at and admire himself under several points of view.

If the prudence and address with which we have gained a point excite our self-admiration, then a fugitive smile will just simper on the cheek, and play about the lips ; the eye will



contract itself, the look will become more lively, the steps more slow and oblique; the index sign will, perhaps, be shewing the person galled, and in order to guide the intention of the interlocutor as mysteriously as the intrigue has been conducted, we shall jog him with the end of the elbow put out of sight.

If dignity, force of mind, power, or any other superior merit, be the matter in debate, the man measures by his corporeal height his own qualities with those who are deprived of similiar advantages; he erects his head with dignity, assuming a serious and pensive air, and his whole deportment becomes more cold and more concentrated, as the idea of his self-merit gives him the greater degree of satisfaction.

The plenitude of his ideas occasions the enlargement of his steps and his movements; the tardiness of the developement of these ideas, the natural consequence of this plenitude, renders his step long, pompous and majestic.

If birth, rank, fortune, or any of these foreign and insignificant advantages, which cannot give a man any real sentiment of his proper merit, and whose enjoyment depends on

the effects produced in the minds of others; occupy the mind; then the concentrated and tranquil air which belongs to genuine pride degenerates into conceit and vanity. Little satisfied with silent pomp, he struts along, resting the body on the wide-stretched legs, the arms and hands are spread abroad, the head and whole body thrown back.*

Does the matter relate to courage, firmness, or power of resistance? Immediately the corporeal energies rouse, the body becomes more compact, the muscles are stretched, the neck stiffens, the knees contract, and the head sinks itself between the elevated shoulders.†

I cannot tell how far figures may express my meaning; your observing sagacity must supply the deficiency.

After what I have said above, concerning the admiration which grand and elevated corporeal objects inspire, you doubtless have already remarked, that, at all times, when plunged in the contemplation of an object, we do not separate, by abstraction, our proper selves from the idea which we entertain of this ob-

* See Plate the twenty-sixth.

† See Plate the twenty-seventh.



For the *Age*



H. S. 1770

ject; we seek to adopt its qualities, and to render ourselves semblable to it. We aggrandize ourselves with what is great; we elevate ourselves with the sublime; we soften ourselves with what is tender.

In the intuition of the moral affections, this forgetfulness, or rather this advantageous exchange of self with another, is much more easy than while it operates with regard to physical objects; and this exchange is precisely the first source of that intellectual voluptuousness which the delineation of elevated, firm, and noble characters, and the recital of grand and intrepid actions, inspired by the love of humanity, makes us experience. We rouse in ourselves the pride, the dignity, the warmth of soul, or the soft sensibility, which we suppose in our heroes; and in this manner, all these sentiments, in becoming sufficiently strong to produce visible modifications, ought to depict themselves in our gestures and in our mien. You have an example in the young Polydore of Shakespeare, whilst listening to the recital of old Morgan.

The supposed father of this young prince thus describes his emotion.

"How hard it is to hide the sparks of nature !
 These boys know little they are sons to the king ;
 Nor Cymbeline dreams that they are alive.
 They think they are mine ; and, though train'd up thus
 meanly

I' the cave, wherein they bow, their thoughts do hit
 The roofs of palaces ; and nature prompts them,
 In simple and low things, to prince it, much
 Beyond the trick of others. This Polydore,
 The heir of Cymbeline and Britain, whom
 The king, his father, called Guiderius—Jove !
 When on my three-foot stool I sit, and tell
 The warlike feats I have done, his spirits fly out
 Into my story : say—*Thus mine enemy fell,*
And thus, I set my foot on his neck—even then
 The princely blood flows in his cheek, he sweats,
 Strains his young nerves, and puts himself in posture,
 That acts my words. The younger brother, Cadwal,
 (Once Arviragus) in as like a figure,
 Strikes life into my speech, and shews much more his
 own conceiving."

Cymbeline, Act iii.

Thus, every time we enter into the feelings and the thoughts of another, there is nothing new concerning the sentiments which we transplant (if I may so express myself) from his soul into our own. But when we abstract ourselves, or when, perhaps, we place ourselves

in opposition to that which occupies us, two sentiments then offer themselves at once, to wit, love and veneration; sentiments of which the proper expressions are very remarkable.

L 4

LETTER XIX.

THE EXPRESSION OF VENERATION—OF LOVE—A REMARK ON
THE MODIFICATIONS WHICH THESE AFFECTIONS BORROW
FROM THEIR OBJECT.

VENERATION is the admiration of a moral being, to such a point as to own our own inferiority in a comparison: it is only by this comparison that veneration becomes an affection of the heart, which, as such, does not consequently belong to the class of agreeable affections.

Notwithstanding there is always something satisfactory in the aggregate of this sentiment; namely, while the representation of the foreign perfection is more strong than that of our own proper deficiencies; for it is very possible to feel a sensation of delight, while we contemplate the excellencies and the rare qualities of others, though few men are endued with a sufficient portion of philosophy, to be pleased with

ruminations on the contrary qualities inherent in their own persons. When veneration is pure and unmixed, it will be then able to preserve the place I have assigned it amongst the agreeable sensations of the heart but the epistolary form does not require a method so rigorously exact as a systematical work. You will therefore, I hope, give me every latitude in my attempts to express my sentiments.

The delineation of almost every passion or feeling of the human soul has so many imperceptible variations, or, as the French term them, *nuances*, that the difficulty of a precise definition is almost incredible. The assistance of a candid mind is every where requisite for the completion of a work so replete with obstacles. Pardon me this digression. In presence of an object which inspires us with veneration, not only the muscles of the eyebrows, the mouth, and the cheeks, become less firm, but the same thing happens to the whole body, particularly the head, the arms, and the knees. When the Orientals cross the hands upon the bosom, whilst the body is inclined, doubtless it is their intention to indicate, by this peculiar trait, the cordiality and depth of the sentiment with which they are affected, and in

clasping their arms strongly against the body, they seek to express fear, which commonly relates as well to that as veneration. The reason of this is easy to define; while we compare a foreign strength with our own weakness, and it is impossible for us to avoid shame every time we have occasion to dread lest the more perfect being should be sensible of our weakness.

These are the two sentiments which enforce a tendency towards separation and removal, which are already founded on the very nature of veneration; for he who is penetrated with it, imagines himself unworthy à more intimate commerce with a being who appears superior to him in merit: in the like manner, on the other hand, is the proud man affected towards the being he considers as beneath him.

The former removes himself to a certain distance, and the space he places between himself and the object of his veneration becomes the visible symbol of their moral difference.

The affections of pride and veneration encounter each other in this one single expression; but this resemblance is only exterior, for there is a total difference between the intention or the secret motive of the gesture proper to the one and the other. In one of your for-

mer letters, you express a doubt whether the lowering of the body is the expression of veneration adopted by all nations, polished as well as barbarian. I did not then penetrate your opinion, but I now perceive, that; according to you, some little people, existing in a retired corner of the globe, or hid in a remote island, may make an exception to a general rule—and you certainly had the little amiable and interesting nation of Otaheite in your mind, or I am very much mistaken.* I grant that Hawksworth mentions the custom of uncovering the upper part of the body to the haunches, as the expression of veneration adopted by this people.

It is probable that this nudity designated frankness and innocence: one party uncovered their bodies to prove that they concealed nothing hurtful or mischievous. However this

* From the moment that they were seen afar off (the young heir presumptive and his sister), Oberea, and many persons attending, uncovered their heads and the upper parts of their bodies to the haunches, and thus went before them: consequently, the action of uncovering the body is, according to all appearances, a sign of veneration and respect among these people.

may be, the origin and signification of the ceremony we are now speaking of are involved in too much shade and obscurity, to be capable of affording any reasonable ground of objection against the universality of a gesture common to all the other known nations. Love contemplates a foreign perfection in a manner different from veneration. Simple, corporeal beauty excites in us a sentiment soft, tender, and appertaining to love. Love, properly so called, which it is necessary to distinguish from this gross passion which attracts the two sexes, chiefly attaches itself to the qualities of the soul, particularly those of the heart, and gives the preference where mental accomplishment as well as personal perfection immediately flatter the mind in an agreeable manner. When the charms of the form are united to these qualities, when this all-powerful magnet, which draws one sex to the other, or when the tenderness of parents towards their children, which belongs to this paramount feeling, associate themselves, then, doubtless, the sentiment rises to its highest pitch, and its expressions become more eloquent and more animated. Even solid perfections, more dear to the mind than to the heart, may give birth to ,

love; but the expression of this passion then assumes the vague appearance of a satisfaction tranquil and serene. We should do better, then, in opposing this sentiment, under the name of friendship and benevolence, to the other sentiment more characteristically marked, which is distinguished by a languor, a softness, and a tenderness, which are peculiar to it alone.

An English philosopher has spared me the trouble of painting the expression of this sentiment for you.

He says, "While we have under our eyes those objects whom we love and who please us, the body, as far as I have been able to observe, takes the following attitude: the head drops a little on one side; the eyelids are drawn down more close than usual; the eye, directed towards the object, moves with softness; the mouth is half opened; the respiration is slow, and from time to time cut short with a profound sigh, and the arms fall negligently by the side of the body: this is all accompanied with an internal feeling of languor and faintness."

The first and most essential of the propensities of love is that which Aristophanes de-

signates, in his Plato, by a pleasant fiction, but nevertheless very rich in idea; i. e. the propensity of reunion and community, which, during the most perfect harmony of souls, often acquires such a degree of force, that if, according to the expression of the comic Greek, Vulcan should descend from heaven to unite the two lovers as he would join two pieces of iron by the stroke of his anvil at his forge, he would find them already at the height of their wishes.

Lovers, in grasping hands, or in embracing, yield to this soft propensity: it is this penchant which attracts them. Whilst embracing each other, they unite their glowing cheeks and ardent lips, and mutually passing the arms round the neck, alternately repose on the bosom of each other. Sublime and pure friendship, disengaged from each erratic affection, likewise gives testimony of its internal contentment, its desire of a reciprocal communication of souls, its harmony of sentiments, of its wishes for a union, by the clasping of hands, by the embrace, or by the other modes adopted by the various inhabitants of several countries.

The native of *Madagascar*, ignorant of the more animated expression of friendship, con-

tents himself with placing his own hand in that of his friend, without either squeezing it or embracing him: and the islander of *New Zealand*, when he wishes to prove his benevolence, presses his nose against that of his friend, as we Europeans join our lips or hands in the expressions of love and friendship.

A second propensity equally natural and characteristical of love, is that which leads us to ameliorate the lot of the object of our affection, to augment its perfections, and place it in the most advantageous light; to acquire by these obligations new rights upon its affection and benevolence, or to give, by this means, more power to the qualities which are most dear to us.

Whilst I am speaking of love, I ought to add a reflection on the modifications that the intuitive affections, as well as the desires, borrow from their object.

Love, for instance, has a characteristical property whilst it consists in a fervent piety, or in a concentrated contemplation of any agreeable object of the imagination; which, far from being really present to the exterior senses, is not even so supposed to be by the mind. The apple of the eye, hid behind the

eye-lids, with a look not directed towards any fixed point, which is consequently less brilliant, and appears to be concentrated in the interior; whilst, (as we may say) a sombre cloud covers the eye: these are the most remarkable traits of this shade, traits which finish by becoming in the long-run a permanent character in the physiognomy of such an enthusiast, or devotee, constantly occupied with similar ideas.

When traits of overwhelming grief unite themselves to those I have just been speaking of, the eye, sad and dim, then announces an interior and mystical suffering, an imagination which feeds in secret on ideas of an exalted, but at the same time of a sad and melancholy species. I could say much more on the subject of devotion and enthusiasm, but we have still many matters to treat of which demand the preference.

LETTER XX.

ON THE EXPRESSION OF THE DISAGREEABLE INTUITIVE AFFECTIONS—OF THOSE OCCASIONED BY THE UNFAVOURABLE OPINION OF OTHERS---OF CONTEMPT AND SHAME.

THE sketch of love, which I traced for you in my last letter, then appears incomplete to you? and, according to your idea, I should not regard this affection as the soft sentiment of a happy man

—*Cui placidus leniter afflat amor.*

Tibullus.

But rather as the bitter and dolorous sensation of the wretch whose repose it ruins

—*Quem durus amor crudeli tabe peredit.*

Virgil.

You doubtless forget, my friend, that we are now only examining the *agreeable* affec-

tions, and that we have not even wished to consider more than their pure and simple expressions, as well as their more determinate and characteristic shades, of course, if that of mournful love, wretched, and ready to abandon itself to despair, takes the entire expression of the other affections, or at least participates with them in a very intimate manner, I have not done wrong in making mention of it, particularly here I might likewise, according to my own ideas pass over esteem in silence, for the expression of this sentiment, as will be proved by a slight inspection of the drawing of Le Brun, has no proper and distinguishing character Borrowed from *veneration*, it is solely rendered more moderate, and a certain frank, though modest assurance, there assumes the place of respectful fear

Let us commence the examination of the intuitive *disagreeable* affections, by the sentiments which are opposed to pride and veneration, namely, *contempt* and *shame* The first of these sentiments consists in dragging others down below our own level, in conceiving a more lofty idea of our persons and our faculties, than those of another individual the second consists in humbling ourselves in the opi-

nion of others, by finding that any of our failings or imperfections are known to them If to this humbling of ourselves we attach the idea that the ill opinion of another person may have any disagreeable influence upon our happiness, then these sentiments are no longer pure ones, although they do not absolutely change their nature Fear tingles with hate — hate and malevolence mix with disdain I ought to warn you that we are here only considering pure expressions, and that, consequently, I, in this place, only speak of disdain and shame, inasmuch as disadvantageous opinions, merely without the concomitant idea of any hurtful or real influence, is the origin of it.

The play of *disdain* is the overflowing of *pride*, all the difference between these two sentiments is, that the latter is more occupied with the personal *perfections*, and the former with the *defects* of another The other marks of disdain are the turning away from a person, or looking at him aside, darting a quick glance with a haughty air — Sometimes with the head turned over the shoulder, as if the object were unworthy of a more serious or attentive examination It often happens that the expression of disgust is superadded, by a turning

up of the nose, and a slight elevation of the upper lip. And when the despised object seems to entertain too high an opinion of himself, and wishes to oppose pride against our judgment, the eye then measures him with the glance of mockery, while the head inclines a little on one side, as if, on account of our height, we found a difficulty in comprehending all the *littleness* of our opponent. The shoulders rise, a disdainful smile, mixed with pity, announces the contrast we feel between our own imaginary grandeur, and his real insignificance.

If the objects which excite our disdain are not thinking beings, but inanimate objects, (though these things are not often despised, save as they have a connection with certain persons) we express the little interest they excite, by an action of repulsing, or throwing them away from us; and we apply these expressions, *figuratively*, to moral objects, ideas, sentiments, characters, &c. &c. One of the most sensible expressions of contempt, is to neglect the speaker, and to treat with indifference his person, his feelings, and his actions; either by remaining in a perfect state of tranquillity, or by amusing ourselves with more

trifling occupations, so as to make him imagine we have forgot his person and all that concerns him, so entirely, as not even to be sensible of his being present. This indifference becomes so insupportable, that the man grows outrageous. For to lose a point, after having strained every nerve to obtain the end, and to be robbed of it in the most mortifying manner, in not being able to gain the slightest degree of attention, is a kind of annihilation, not only of merit, but of existence itself. Hence comes that forcible effect from a contrast, when one actor tranquilly continues his occupation, whether in folding a letter, taking snuff, or adjusting his dress, or humming a lively air, whilst his adversary, transported with rage, is ready to tear himself to pieces.

The play of shame is variable. like that of contempt; according to the difference of circumstances. Sometimes, for example, it is expressed in sudden flight, sometimes in keeping its post in a resolute mode, as the one step or the other seems most calculated to mask a discovered weakness. The nymph surprised at the bath, flies with light foot, and garments huddled up in haste, towards the approaching bower, to escape the curious glances of the pry-

ing Satyr The man accused of a moral default, seeks to hide his weakness, and destroy, by his presence, the disadvantageous opinion that might be formed of him, and accordingly, as his failing is more or less public, his impudence and dissimulation are proportionably great as his accuser is more or less powerful, he the more or less manifests his desire to do away an unfavourable judgment, by his confused motions and inarticulate sentences, or else he avows his impuissance to withdraw himself from a merited affront, by a stiff immovable attitude, accompanied with a mournful silence, and all the symptoms of a complete dejection.

You have, no doubt, seen men of dull minds, whom a lively and merited shame have rendered as immovable as statues, till they can neither advance nor recede. The disagreeable sentiment of their weakness being discovered, and augmented each moment by the presence of witnesses, makes them desirous of a speedy retreat, but they are, in the mean time, tormented with the fear of wowing themselves culpable by this flight, they would willingly say something in their own defence, if they did not fear to aggravate the evil, by their clumsy excuses, and add new weights to the

load of contempt which overpowers them. They are conscious of the ridiculous figure they make, but, after vainly seeking for a relief from their embarrassment, they end with twirling and twisting their cloathes, or tormenting the poor hat they hold in their hands. Let any one advise them to be gone, and he will perceive that they will obey him with regret, and with slow, unwilling steps, or, without making one motion, will stupidly wait till somebody comes and pushes them from the place they occupy. This obstinacy, of neither coming to a confession, nor submitting to contempt, lasts as long as the desire to snatch themselves from this painful situation, and becomes more stiff and more obstinate, in proportion as their weakness is more discovered, and the unfavourable opinion which results from it is manifested in a more decided and less equivocal manner.

The bashful man well knows that the general air of his visage, and particularly the eyes, express the interior sentiment which agitates him, in the least doubtful manner. And, as it is of consequence not to betray his own vileness, he strives to hide from each skilful observer those witnesses which may depose

against himself, and to command his own looks, ever ready to divulge his secret. From the moment that his weakness is discovered, and that the observer no longer entertains a doubt, from that moment his eyes are fixed to the earth, and his desire for justification has not force enough to make him raise them up again to the height of the face of his adversary, and less to that of his eyes. For, however great this desire may be, the fear of betraying himself entirely masters him. He should have an insurmountable repugnance to acquire a complete knowledge of the thoughts of his opposite, who, by the play of his features, should as plainly indicate his *contempt*.

An ugly old coquette does not dread an honest looking-glass, so much as a man governed by shame dreads to look upon those eyes where he expects to see all his faults reflected in a manner equally striking and exact. Nothing, then, is so sensible to a man oppressed with shame, as the sight of one who appears stedfastly looking at him. He hangs his head on his breast; his neck stiffens, as it were, to resist any effort to lift up his head; and he either averts his timid eyes, or conceals them under his eyelids. All these observa-

tions demonstrate to the force of evidence the maxim of Aristotle, which says, "Shame is in the eyes."

I shall say nothing concerning *blushing*, which is another physiological expression of shame. You may consult the passage quoted from Aristotle, if you wish to have an exact explanation of it. That which the physiologists state, concerning certain concatenations of nerves, which sometimes diversely affect the arteries of the head, and sometimes accumulate or disperse the blood, may possibly be very true. But that will not resolve the present question:—for it is not now the argument whether the mechanism of the body may render redness and paleness possible, or how they are effected, but why this mechanism is put in play in the passions. I am glad that the form of our correspondence, and my previous declaration, both dispense me from entering into researches of this nature.

LETTER XXI.

EXPRESSION OF THE AFFECTIONS WHERE THE OBJECT IS A
REAL EVIL—CHAGRY—ALLUSION TO THE STORY OF VIOLET—
ON SUFFERANCE—VIOLENT DESPAIR—HOME—THE EX-
PRESSION OF MELANCHOLY—OF GRIEF—OF VIOLENT RAGE
—WHERE IT LEADS US TO MAKE ATTACKS ON OUR OWN
PERSONS.

HATRED and disdain, shame and penitence, may be found together; but we can despise without hatred, and feel shame without repentance; that is to say, we may have contempt for the imperfection we perceive in another, but which cannot be hurtful to ourselves, or to the persons whom we love, without this evil quality's exciting our hatred. And in like manner shame may be felt without contrition, while we see nothing but weakness in our imperfection, of which we are only sorry that other people have too harsh and keen a sense. The disagreeable affections I propose to examine in this letter are of a different nature: they arise from the contemplation of those real

• evils which disturb our happiness, or may totally destroy it. I only find four which I can select as useful to a comedian—two of which belong to the cause, and the other two to the sentiment of the evil.

• The two first of these affections, which relate to the cause of the evil, are only mute desires, which we hide, of which we arrest the activity, and which we, perhaps, only perceive in a confused manner. They prompt us to attack the object which inspires them, or to detach ourselves from it by an effort. Fear, properly so called, does not always give birth to them; a sort of esteem, accorded to him who offends us, influences our sentiments: sometimes the regard we owe to ourselves makes an impression on our mode of thinking; but we are likewise often governed by other considerations, entirely different, founded on the contempt, esteem, or attachment which we possess for the person of whom we have to complain. When a man is vexed by a woman whom he loves; when a person, illustrious from his rank or qualities, finds himself insulted by a person of inferior requisites, both of them command that anger which is ready to burst out;—the one for the love of his com-

LETTER XXI

EXPRESSION OF THE AFFECTIONS WHERE THE OBJECT IS, A
REAL EVIL—CHAGRIN—ALLUSION TO THE STORY OF NOBIS—
ON SUFFERANCE—VIOLENT DESPAIR—ROMEO—THE EX-
PRESSION OF MELANCHOLY—OF GRIEF—OF VIOLENT RAGE
—WHERE IT LEADS US TO MAKE ATTACKS ON OUR OWN
PERSONS

HATRED and disdain, shame and penitence, may be found together, but we can despise without hatred, and feel shame without repentance, that is to say, we may have contempt for the imperfection we perceive in another, but which cannot be hurtful to ourselves, or to the persons whom we love, without this evil quality's exciting our hatred. And in like manner shame may be felt without contrition, while we see nothing but weakness in our imperfection, of which we are only sorry that other people have too harsh and keen a sense. The disagreeable affections I propose to examine in this letter are of a different nature, they arise from the contemplation of those real

evils which disturb our happiness, or may totally destroy it. I only find four which I can select as useful to a comedian—two of which belong to the cause, and the other two to the sentiment of the evil.

The two first of these affections, which relate to the cause of the evil, are only mute desires, which we hide, of which we arrest the activity, and which we, perhaps, only perceive in a confused manner. They prompt us to attack the object which inspires them, or to detach ourselves from it by an effort. Fear, properly so called, does not always give birth to them, a sort of esteem, accorded to him who offends us, influences our sentiments: sometimes the regard we owe to ourselves makes an impression on our mode of thinking; but we are likewise often governed by other considerations, entirely different, founded on the contempt, esteem, or attachment which we possess for the person of whom we have to complain. When a man is vexed by a woman whom he loves, when a person, illustrious from his rank or qualities, finds himself insulted by a person of inferior requisites, both of them command that anger which is ready to burst out,—the one for the love of his com-

nion, the other not to compromise with himself; and both of them, in thus struggling against their anger, which they concentrate or smother in the interior of their souls, may turn pale, and tremble, as if they were really seized with fear. This sentiment may be expressed by the word *veration*, a denomination which marks this medley of choler, and I know not what, of which I search in vain for the proper term. You have found, in my former letters, the description of the exterior modifications of this affection, particularly in some of the passages which I have borrowed from several of the best authors, and most esteemed philosophers. *Chagrin* is a name which has been sometimes applied to this sentiment; a denomination with which I am not satisfied, because it does not indicate the essence of this affection, and its variation from those which are opposed to it, with sufficient exactitude.

The word *chagrin* does not express, like that of *veration*, the connexion with the cause of the disagreeable sensation; since, whether fearful or indulgent, *chagrin* leads us to avoid the object which gives rise to it, whilst *exation* rather disposes us to approach, and even

to *attack* it. I call to mind, still, that this last sentiment changes itself into hatred, when a moral being is recognised as the cause of an unhappy situation ; but the action of this hatred has nothing peculiar in it, except that, whilst in the presence of the hated object, the fierce look rests on it, while the body turns away with a movement of choler.

I am obliged to enter into more wide details concerning the two other kinds of disagreeable affections which are connected with the sentiment of evil. I call them sufferance, and dejection or melancholy : sufferance is an unquiet and active affection, manifesting itself by the tension of the muscles ; it is an interior struggle of the soul against a painful perception, and an effort to surmount and get rid of it. Dejection, or melancholy, on the other hand, is a weak and passive affection : it is a total relaxation of the powers ; a mute and tranquil resignation, without resistance either against the cause or even the sentiment of the evil. The cause of the evil is either superior to us, or cannot be repulsed. Thus we wish not for, or, to speak more fitly, we *cannot* think of, vengeance. The sentiment of evil

has already tired our resistance, and weakened our powers—consequently has lost its violence.

The first sentiment of *Niobe*, when deprived of her children, was *stupor*; the second, the fury of grief, carried to the height; the third was only dejection, or melancholy; for the gods, moved with pity, did not change her to stone till after her return to her country.

Cicero is of opinion that this fiction of the metamorphosis of Niobe is meant to indicate the lasting silence of grief.

“ *Niobe singitur lapidea, propter returnum, Credo, in luctu Silentium.*”

Tuscul. Quest. L. 3, b. 26.

Compare Ovid's Metamorphoses, L. vi.
Fable 3, v. 303, 309.

Dirigitque malis

“ ————— Lumina moestis
Stant immota genis : nihil est in imagine vivi—
Nec flecti cervix, nec bracchia seddere gestus,
Nec pes ire potest.”

Perhaps no passage in all Ovid can exceed this for its pathos and poetical expression

The explanation of Cicero seems natural enough to be adopted let us see if it may not be possible to find one more suited to the art of gesture It appears to me that fixity, or want of motion, is a quality which the aspect of a *rock* impresses more easily on thought than the idea of *silence*—and that a grief, deep and full, such as a mother, so cruelly robbed of her children, ought to represent, should, in fact, be motionless she is totally plunged in the representation of her afflicting fate, and as the soul fixes (as we may say) with a haggard eye on this solitary idea, the whole body (following an analogy explained in former letters) preserves also a fixed and single attitude

Insensibility is another term of comparison, which does not appear less just to me, for a melancholy, deep, and abandoned to mournful ideas, is indifferent to every thing which surrounds it, it regards neither the actions nor the discourse of another person Some of the beautiful situations of Clementina, in Sir Charles Grandison, will explain this better than any examples which I can borrow from the theatre The commencement of this immobi

lity, and insensibility, which takes place when melancholy has reached its highest pitch, announces itself from the first by a sort of coldness, and by what the French express so admirably in the term *nonchalance*. Every thing languishes in the melancholy man : the head, heavy and feeble, reclines on the part of the body near the heart ; all the points of the dorsal spine, the neck, the arms, the fingers, and the knees, are quite relaxed ; the cheeks are discoloured, and the eyes directed towards the object which raises the sadness ; or, if it is absent, the looks are directed towards the earth, and the whole body bends—

"Ad humum moeror gravis deducit."

Horace. De Art. Poet.

The motion of every member is tardy, and without force or spirit ; the step is embarrassed heavy, and so dragging, one could almost think that cords were attached to the legs to impede them in the performance of their functions.—All the expression of the other sentiments, especially the sympathetic ones, lose their elasticity. The desire of pleasing ceases with the interest which we no longer take in the objects

which environ us. The exterior will be neglected—as Hamlet, overwhelmed with sorrow, is seen by the lovely Ophelia—(vide Ophelia's speech to her Father, act ii. scene 1) or like the costume of Antiphilus, according to the description given of it by Syrus—

“ ————— offendimus
 Mediocriter vestitam veste lugubri
 Sine auro ornatam, ut quæ ornantur sibi,
 Nulla mala esse re expeditam mulierem
 Capillus passus, prolixus, circum caput
 Rejectus negl gentem”

Terence, Heautontum il Scene iii

11

To these traits add the paleness of the cheeks, and the aching forehead, often lightly sustained by the hand, the eyes covered, in this attitude, by the fingers, the love of solitude and seclusion, the opened mouth, the slow and silent respiration, interrupted from time to time by deep-fetched sighs, and you will be able to form the idea of melancholy with a variety of shades, differing in some instances, yet, when taken together, bearing a strong resemblance to each other.

You will no doubt spare me the labour of giving the explanation of these traits, we comprehend them all very easily. While exa-

mining the very nature of this affection, particularly with their analogy to the man abandoned to sorrow, who loves to attach himself to a single representation, of which the ideas slowly proceed from one characteristical sign to another, and who (from a kind of softness attached to sadness) completely and voluntarily gives up all resistance to the sentiment of sadness with which his soul is overwhelmed*.

You will find all this quite differently expressed in the affection of sufferance. Here the miens and movements reveal inquietude, and the interior combat of the soul with the dolorous sentiment of evil. The man who suffers is no longer weak and feeble, like the melancholy man; he is oppressed, he is rent with agonies; the angles of the eyebrows are elevated upwards to the wrinkled forehead; all the muscles of the face are convulsed, and in motion; the eye is full of fire, but this fire is vague and wandering; the step is wild and heavy; the body is lengthened:—it stretches out as if it had an attack to sustain; the head is raised towards Heaven, with an imploring



Poget a

and supplicating expression ; the shoulders are raised up with a violent contraction ; (an easy motion, consequently very common to the slightest degree of sufferance, such as pity and ironical complaint,) all the muscles of the arms and feet stiffen themselves ; the shut hands, which clasp themselves with force, are unclosed, and sometimes, in returning, droop by the side of the body, deprived of all tension : when, at length, tears inundate the visage, they are not full, round, and trickling slowly down the cheeks—they are not the soft, silent tears of melancholy, which issue from the full and relaxed vessels : it is a torrent which a visible commotion of the whole machine, convulsive shocks of the whole visage, press out from the lachrymal glands.

Sufferance being by its nature so active and so unquiet, you will easily comprehend, that, in its more slight attacks, the man who suffers ought to deliver himself to indeterminate movements ; and agitating himself in every sense, on his seat, he will dart up, following irregular directions ; sometimes he will vaguely wander, tormented by a secret anxiety : the individual who suffers, resembles the sick man, who,

feeling an uneasiness in every situation, hopes to find comfort from change of place, he turns from one side to the other, and shifts his posture a thousand ways without finding the relief he is so anxious to obtain When the sufferance amounts to despair, then these irregular movements the effects of interior anxiety, become violent In this deplorable state, the man throws himself on the ground, rolls on the dust, rends his hair, and tears his breast and forehead Vide Romeo and the Friar

"Thou canst not speak of what thou dost not feel
Wert thou as young as I, an hour but married,
J^{al} et thy wife ' Tybalt murdered'
Then m ght st thou rave, then m ght st thou tear thy hair,
And fall upon the ground, as I do now,
Taking the measure of an unmade grave.'

I recollect that I have formerly given you examples which will support this observation

Cleopatra and Oedipus were both the authors of their own misfortunes they turned their hands against themselves in the same fury with which anger avenges an injury Ought we not then to suppose that the choler excited by their own proper follies armed their hands to punish them for their commission? But we have seen

that when even no idea of penitence takes place, and the man is fully satisfied of the justice of his cause, that he also wreaks his fury upon himself for want of the proper object of his vengeance: we thus see why, in violent and insupportable sufferings, the same effect takes place, without the man's being animated with passion against himself, nor against others. Against whom, in effect, does the widow direct her transport when standing by the tomb of a beloved husband, and, agonized by the recollection of the loss she has sustained, she tears her hair in all the vehemence of woe? Notwithstanding, a certain degree of uniformity in the *effects* pre-supposes the same thing in the *cause*; and to what common cause can we attribute the violences by which repentence, vengeance, and grief determine a man to exercise fury upon himself? According to my own opinion, in each of these cases, they are only explosions of grief, the efforts of the soul, by which she seeks to free herself from the disagreeable memory of an evil as well as from the insupportable sensations caused by the physical effects of this idea.

This last circumstance appears demonstrated to me, because the head, forehead, breast,

cheeks, and sides, are exposed to the attack, which is made then precisely on those parts in which the passions most cause a fermentation of the blood, and where they create the most powerful emotions in the nervous system. It seems as if the soul sought to appease the interior tumult of the blood, in letting it flow, and even then the desire it entertains to comfort itself creates a new pain, because satisfied with too much impetuosity.

This pain nevertheless produces a good effect, because it for some time turns aside the attention from the more insupportable evil, by directing it towards another of a different nature. The critique of Bion had, therefore, more of spirit than of solidity, when the action of Agamemnon, who, in the Iliad, rends his hair, appeared to him ridiculous and misplaced. It is certain, that it is not by a bald head that we sooth sorrow, but the attention is diverted by the act of despoiling the hair.

“ Hinc ille Agamemno Homericus et idem Accianus, Scindens dolore identidem intonsam comam.”

“ In quo facetum illud Bionis, perinde stultis-

simum Regem in luctu capillum sibi evellere,
quasi calvitio mæror larvaretur."

But you will ask me what plausible reason can be alledged, why the inhabitants of Otaheite think they cannot give a greater token of joy, at the return of a beloved object, than tearing the hair or wounding the head and body? It is thus that the mother of Omai acted. According to my judgment, these violences exercised by a person on himself, are (as in choler) nothing but efforts to give vent to a sensation of an immoderate and disagreeable nature.

Is not boundless joy a kind of sufferance for a polished European, whose blood is less boiling, and whose passions are, generally speaking, much more tranquil? Does not the tumult, thus excited, often even occasion fainting? Notwithstanding, if we reflect on the violence of a people half savage, where the passions, like a blast of wind, compensate for the brevity of their duration by their intense-ness,—if we take such circumstances into consideration, I say, what explosion of immoderate joy should surprise us? Travellers remark, that the visages of the inhabitants of Otaheite offer expressions of all the affections of the soul in-

finitely more strong than those we remark upon our own physiognomies.

It is natural, therefore, to conclude, that their passionate actions are determined by a blood so overboiling and so impetuous; that it is difficult for us to form an idea of its effects.

I have been speaking of the disagreeable affections in the same manner that I made mention of the agreeable ones; in taking them in the superior degree, where their expression is most eloquent and most strong. I have, in the mean time, endeavoured to paint such as are pure and simple. The examination of their different shades, belonging to the theory of composed expression, will be considered hereafter: motives, which you will no doubt approve, oblige me to pass them over in silence at the present.

LETTER XXII

THE ANSWER OF GARRICK TO A FRENCH COMEDIAN—OF INTOXICATION—PRESERVATION OF CHARACTER.

GARRICK thus answered a French comedian, who asked his opinion of the manner in which he had performed a character in a certain play: " You have acted the part of a drunkard with much fidelity, which is extremely difficult to be effected in such little parts; but permit me to make one slight critique—your left leg was too sober."

Upon various occasions, I should be tempted to make the same remark to a number of players.

" According to my weak judgment, you have done ample justice to such or such a situation; (for I can rarely hazard the *tout ensemble* of a character) you have perfectly imitated the intoxication of the passion you had to imitate; but your foot, your hand, your eye,

your neck, your mouth, (or any other part I chanced to find defective) was too tame."

Do not you think, like me, that a similar extent of Garrick's criticism would be in effect well founded? Since the physical intoxication attacks the whole nervous system, from the top of the head to the bottom of the feet, the same thing should, I think, take place in the moral intoxication of the affections: for the man has but one soul, which modifies the whole body. Thus, when a simple affection directs all the forces of the soul towards one single point, and the ideas and sentiments are in perfect unison, then the whole body ought to partake of the expression, and every member to co-operate with it.

It is necessary, then, that the actor, whilst studying his character, should not content himself in general reflections upon each passion, but give himself much trouble to learn what part of his body may contribute to its effect: if any of his powers are defective, he ought to correct them either by his own observation, or by the judgment of his friends, who, if his self-love does not repulse each salutary counsel, will generally be both able and willing to instruct him.

It is not only requisite that the most perfect harmony should exist between all the members of the body and every trait of the countenance to give the expression of the sentiment, but it is also necessary that this harmony should be proportioned to the degree of force and vivacity which the sentiment requires. If *desire* manifests itself too much by the play of the arms, and too little by that of the feet; if fear does not sufficiently open the eyes and the mouth, while the body staggers, and the lifted arms remain immovable; if anger does not impress the deep frown on the forehead; or leaves the lip tranquil, while the foot stamps with rage, the whole illusion is put to sudden flight, and the actor still lingers after the character has vanished out of sight.

LETTER XXIII.

ON THE RULE OF COMPLETE EXPRESSION.

I GRANT that you have reason, when you say that my remarks on perfect harmony in the delineation of a character are adapted chiefly to such actors as may perhaps never find any occasion for their practice: it is, nevertheless, certain, that some performers, not of the best, nor even of the mediocre rank, but those who, in their own judgment, possess the most accomplished talent, sometimes commit the most palpable absurdities. Did you ever see the musical entertainment of Selima and Azor, founded on the pretty tale of Beauty and the Monster? When the father of Selima is excusing himself for having gathered the enchanted rose, he approaches the monster with all the assurance and familiarity of a man, who knows very well that his dear friend and tavern companion is hid behind the ugly mask.

That is villainous. Actors who commit such gross mistakes as this, must be deprived of that feeling in their art which is essentially necessary to carry it to perfection; which, if refused by nature, can never be supplied by any rules of art: the rules of arts, in general, are not like moral laws, destined to the *worst*, but for the *best* subjects.

• But I am again wandering into digressions; which I ought to avoid with care, since your objections and remarks too frequently make me wander from my route: To return to my subject then.

• Hope, gratitude, pity, suspicion, desire, envy, clemency, and many other sentiments, most surely are not deficient in their proper expression, yet all these affections, you tell me, are not, hitherto, characterised by any particular trait. The difficulty is serious; but have a little patience—let us speak of gratitude in the first instance. It is impossible to characterise this affection by proper and individual traits, and if it is not demonstrated simply, as love or as veneration, it is then necessary that it should adopt some intermediate shade, common to each of these two sentiments. Pity can only be expressed in the com-

posed play of bounty and of sufferance ; envy can only distinguish itself from hatred and sufferance by the accessory desire of hiding itself from all eyes, and by the downcast and furtive glance of shame, which, in a soul however insensible, always accompanies this base and contemptible passion. Suspicion will only betray itself in adding to the expression of chagrin, the anxious regard of curiosity, and listening to all conversations from which anything may be discovered.

Clemency can only become visible, whilst the amiability of bounty is tempered by the coldness of pride ; which, in descending from the pinnacle of its grandeur, allows another sentiment to develope itself. *Envy*, by its nature, partakes of hatred, and can only be delineated by the expression of that passion. Lastly, *Hope*, which only views bliss in the future, is not always free from *fear* ; she can only then depict herself in one point of view ; the traits of the visage must take an expression of desite, with a mixture of *joy* and *fear*. Thus you see the impossibility of being so very, very distinct as you seem to require.

He who has studied the effects which each affection produces by the modification of the

traits of the visage and the motions of the body, and has remarked, at the same time, by what peculiar parts of the frame each passion expresses itself, will, without difficulty, perceive by what mode different and various sentiments may unite in one single expression, and how, for example the sufferings of a lover, who is occupied with the idea, at once voluptuous and sad, of his absent mistress, may be expressed by the play of the physiognomy. Sufferance principally affects the *superior* part, and the voluptuous sensation of love the *inferior* part of the face of course, if the first sentiment be only a shade, the interior angles of the eye brows will elevate themselves, in a manner almost imperceptible, and will cast a *very slight* shadow on the forehead, which will be produced by a wrinkle, &c On the contrary, we shall behold the soft smile of love, playing around the *mouth*, whilst the eye, languishing, and turned towards the object, will partake of each of these sentiments On the contrary, if the pleasure be only a shade of the sufferance which predominates, the principal expression will be found upon the forehead, and the wandering smile upon the cheek and lip will become more feeble In this latter

mixture we also remark more of tension in the muscles of the rest of the body, and in the former, more of softness and relaxation.

In searching for examples to unfold in detail all the possible shades of different gestures in each class of expressions, I regard it as so easy a thing to indicate that which is proper to a determinate mixture of sentiments, that the labour almost appears totally superfluous. It is often, without doubt, difficult to find the veritable mixture of passions, as well as the just proportions for every case; but this is not the concern of the theoretician, who solely determines the expression of the given sentiments. This case concerns the actor, who, in studying his character, ought to enter thoroughly into it. And this likewise concerns the metaphysician. The comedian, who is willing to examine his art like a man of sense, and not from pure instinct, ought, surely, to consider something else beside the theory of gesture.

If the problem given, be to re-unite the expression of two opposite desires which encounter in the soul, I maintain, that to resolve them, it is sufficient to know these two desires, and also their proper and characteristic expressions. To know if these desires

are in equilibrio, or if either predominate; and, lastly, to ascertain the degree of preponderance, after which it will not be difficult to find the veritable expression, which will indicate completely, and in a striking manner, the affection by which the soul is governed in a given situation. The desire of Hamlet to discover the terrible secret of his family, is very preponderant in his soul, at the moment he follows the spectre of his father; yet is this desire somewhat weakened by the fear which an unknown being, and the idea of another world, takes possession of his mind. This weakness augments as the King leaves his companions and approaches the spectre. Thus, when he violently breaks from the arms of his friends, exclaiming,

“ By heav'n I'll make a ghost of him
That lets (binders) me—”

his movement and action ought to be strong and animated: from the time he begins to walk, the step should become slow and moderate, but firm and resolved. Successively he will become more circumspect—he will walk without liveliness, and his body will approach

to the vertical position. Nevertheless, that you may not reproach me, because I choose the most easy examples, and neglect those which offer greater difficulties, I beseech you to be so good as to indicate yourself such composed sentiments as you shall judge possible, and I will endeavour to determine the expression of them in a satisfactory manner; or, if you like it better, give me such a possible gesture as may re-unite many expressions, and we shall then see how I succeed in developing the general expression.

It may be asked, if, in the language of gesture, there are any synonymous movements, of the same signification, which may be employed, the one for the other?

If a comedian, has a desire to arrive at that rigorous exactitude, which, in all the arts, constitutes the supreme merit of the artist, ought he not to display the same fine discernment in the choice of his gestures, which an able author displays in the selection of his words?

Macrobius reports, that Roscius and Cicero sometimes challenged each other to express the same thought in the most various ways; that

is to say, the actor by variety of gesture, the orator by his copiousness of phrase.

"Satis constat contendere cum (Cicero-
ipm) cum ipso histrione (Roscio) solitum,
utrum ille saepius eamdem sententiam variis
gestibus efficeret, an ipse per eloquentiae co-
piam sermone diverso pronunciaret. Quae res
idhanc artis suae fiduciam Roscium abstraxit,
at librum conscriberet, quo eloquentiam cum
histrionia compararet."

Macrobi. Satyr. I. 2 c. 10.

If, as we have good reason to believe, the variations of the one were connected with those of the other; if they were in some sort reciprocal translators—Cicero expounding the gestures of pantomime by words, and Roscius expressing the words of the orator by gestures; this proof will result from it, viz. that the language of gesture has its synonymous terms in the same sense as oral language, and that in both one and the other the same principal idea may be differently expressed, but always with other accessory ideas.

It is difficult to speak of the gesture and mien in general, and particularly of their more

delicate shades, in a clear and distinct manner. I shall not be at all surprised, my friend, should many consider these delicate touches as vain subtleties, invented by prejudice or by caprice. Our idea of the art is not yet correct, and we determine on it as the Mussulman judges of music: the instrument which makes the loudest noise, is the most agreeable to us, and he who plays on it with most quickness and violence, appears to be the greatest virtuoso. Often, while the whole theatre resounds with shouts and acclamations, we are tempted to whisper from the scene, the words which Hippomacus the flute-player said to one of his scholars: "Do you think you have played well, because such auditors as *these* have applauded you?"—*Vide Elian.*

It frequently happens, that while false acting appears brilliant and alluring, it is more admired and applauded, than a part less lively personified, though the latter mode be more adapted to its respective character, and, indeed, the only one which could be assumed with propriety. Novelty is preferred to truth. Our theatre boasts one actress, whom it would be superfluous to name, who never sacrifices propriety for the gratification of temporary ap-

plause. Queen Catharine, in Shakespear's Henry VIII. is a sublime instance of this sort of acting. In the scene of the trial, where all her energies are roused, and on the sick bed, where every faculty is depressed and worn out, all is truth, and there is not one exaggerated trait from the beginning to the end.

• *Hæc semel placuit decies repetita placebit.*

LETTER XXIV.

EXPRESSION—PAINTING—ANECDOTE FROM MICHAEL—
EXAMPLE FROM SHAKESPEARE—BAUDY THE CELEBRATED
FRENCH TRAGEDIAN.

IN your last, you demand my answer to an objection which appears important to you.— You say that the invention of the veritable expression, for a given affection, being so easy, you cannot divine how there can be so much difficulty in the drawing of heads of expression, and so much merit in succeeding in them. But have you reflected on the very great difference which exists between the painter and the actor? The one has merely to modify the features of his own face—the other has to invent the face and all, besides the difficulty of conforming to all the rules and principles laid down in the art of physiognomy. Nature aids, the one in expressing those passions with which he is affected; the other is forced, by the process of art, to exhibit, on a plain surface,

the most happy object his imagination has been able to select out of a thousand.

I shall presently explain myself more clearly upon this subject, after I shall have terminated the important researches which I propose to place at the end of the theory of expression. The matter is, to know when it is permitted to make use of painting in the play of the gestures.

In order to prepare ourselves for the proper treatment of this question, let us, before every thing else, propose the examination of a few examples. I should have wished to have borrowed the first from the theatre of ancient Rome; but I have found that the application would hardly be allowable.

You will doubtless be of my opinion, when you consider the fact such as Macrobius relates it, and not in the way it is recounted by some modern writers, after that author. Hylas, the scholar of Pylades, and almost sufficiently advanced in his art to rival his master, one day played, or, following the expression of the ancients, danced a piece, of which the last words were—*The great Agamemnon!*—Hylas, to express the idea of greatness, stretched out his whole body, as if he meant to indicate the

measure of a very great man. Pylades, placed in the middle of the audience, could not contain himself, but cried aloud, " You represent *length—not grandeur*" The people, excited by this critique, insisted that Pylades should get upon the stage, and act the same part *himself*. Pylades obeyed, and when he came to the passage in question, he represented Agamemnon as pensive, since nothing, in his opinion, was so characteristic of a great king as *thought for all*.

" *Nec Pylades Histrion nobis omittendus est,*
qui clarus in opere suo fuit temporibus Au-
gusti et Hylam discipulum usque ad æqualita-
tis contentionem eruditione protexit Populus
deinde inter utri usque suffragia divisus est et
cum canticum quoddam Saltaret Hylas cuius
clausula erat

Tον μεγαντινον Αγαμεμνονα Sublimem ingen-
temque Hylas velut metiebatur, non tulit Py-
lades & exclamavit e cavei

Συ μαργον, ε μεγαντινον τοιεις Tunc populus
eum coegit, idem saltare canticum Cumque
ad locum venisset, quem reprehenderat, ex-
pressit cogitantem nihil magis ratus magno
duci convenire quam pro omnibus cogitare"

According to the manner in which the Abbé du Bos, and particularly Cahusac, recount this anecdote, it seems as if Hybris had committed some very puerile fault, of which, nevertheless, I do not find any trace in the writings of Macrobius.

It is probable that this fault merely consisted in seeking to express grandeur, merely by the stretching out of the body, and that he thus outraged this expression. A passage from Quintilian will better explain the difference which exists between painting and expression, and how the former is often very faulty. This critic severely interdicts all those gestures with which we imitate those objects which are the subjects of discourse. He adds that, in comedy, those actors who had a portion of reputation observed this rule, although their whole art was confined to imitation, and that the best among them strove rather to express the sense than the words. The rule, such as Quintilian has here fixed it, is not, in truth, too exactly fixed, but the examples he borrows from an oration against Verres are not ill-chosen, and their examination will conduct us to a more definitive judgment of this rule. Ciceron rallies Verres with the most

bitter contempt, because, on account of the departure of the fleet from the port of Syracuse, he was found upon the river, habited like a Greek, and leaning voluptuously on a courtesan. The orator makes him know the horror which his infamous conduct inspired, in having, without sentence, information, or delinquency, caused the Roman citizen Gavius to be beaten to death with rods, in the public market place of Messina.

— "An orator ought not to copy," says Quintilian, "the attitude of Verres, who holds a vile courtesan under his arms, nor that posture and movement of the arms which demand the action of an executioner; nor the groans and cries which pain wrings from a sufferer."

An indecent softness, fustigation, and the pain of that discipline, were the *objects* of the thought of Cicero; disdain, choler, astonishment, and horror were the sentiments which these objects excited in his soul. Thus Quintilian desires that we should represent in the tribunal, as on the scene, not the immediate exterior objects which strike the senses, not the foreign sentiments by which we are moved, but the proper and actual sentiment—or, to explain myself otherwise, he wishes us not to

express the objects which occupy our thoughts, but the sentiments with which we consider those objects. It is indifferent whether these objects be things purely corporal, or even the movements of the soul. To wish to paint the alarm of Gavius, when dragged to undergo unmerited punishment, would be as false as to imitate the action of the person fustigating him. In all cases, the veritable gesture is that which expresses the sentiment of the moment, -and which exclusively predominates in the mind of the orator. I give to this gesture the name of *expression*, and to the other that of *painting*. The best determined rule on this head would then be, that actors and orators, ought not to paint actions, but express thoughts.

An example will prove the justice of this rule. Hamlet, in the act of demanding an important service of Horatio, prepares him in a very natural manner, by the compliments he pays him :

- *Horatio.* Oh, my good Lord.

Hamlet. Nay, do not think I flatter;

For what advancement can I hope from thee,
That no revenue hath but thy good spirits

To feed and cloath thee?
 No, let the candied tongue lick absurd pomp,
 And crook the pregnant hinges of the knee,
 Where thrift may follow fawning. Dost thou hear?
 Since my dear soul was mistress of her choice,
 And could of men distinguish her election,
 Hath sealed thee for itself: for thou hast been
 A man who, suffering all, hast suffer'd nothing;
 A man who Fortune's buffets and rewards
 Hath ta'en with equal thanks."

Again :

" Give me the man that is not Passion's slave,
 And I will wear him in my dear heart's core—
 Aye in my heart of heart—as I do thee."

You doubtless remember the actor, who, in reciting the latter lines of this quotation, really *crooked* the knee, and *kissed the hand* as if he wished to seize and kiss the hem of a purple mantle. This false play struck you at the time, and every man of taste felt as we did.— In reflecting on the contempt which every word of the Prince manifests for the base and groveling spirit of a parasite, and the pains he takes to make Horatio think him far above so despicable a character, how could this actor think of imitating an object of detestation?— If he wished to demonstrate this passage by a striking gesture, he ought rather to have *ele-*

rated than abased himself. He should have taken the air of spleen and dissatisfaction, and not that of respect, which is its diametrical opposite. He ought rather to have rejected an humiliating thought with the hand, than have directed it to earth in a base and servile manner. The following anecdote is related of Baron, the French Esopus.

Baron, after his retreat, which lasted twenty years, re-appeared upon the stage: it was then a prey to a parcel of declaimers, who bellowed out verses instead of reciting them. He reappeared in the character of Cinna. His simple, majestic, noble entrance was not at all relished by an audience accustomed to the strut and bounce of the actors of the day: but when, in the picture of the conspiracy, he came to the recital of these verses,

“ Au Seul Nom de César, d' Auguste d' Empereur
Vous eussiez vu leurs yeux s'enflammer de fureur;
Et dans un même instant, par un effet contraire
Leur front palir d'horreur & rougir de colère,”

he was seen to turn successively pale and red, the cabal trembled, and held their peace.

This cabal, however, must have been endowed with slender powers of criticism; for

it was struck dumb at the precise moment. it ought to have burst forth. But I do not think this anecdote has any foundation with respect to Baron, or any other actor; for suppose that this player really had an imagination strong enough to have produced so rapid a succession of physiological expressions, so contrary and so difficult to represent, we know likewise that, according to the custom of the French theatre, he must have put on rouge.— Now how was it possible for him to have been able to turn so visibly pale and red, covered as he was with paint? But even if he had possessed those extraordinary physiological powers, he must then, according to my judgment, have committed a very gross error:—for does not Cinna, in this scene, bring a piece of joyful intelligence to his mistress?—ought he not to raise her hopes, to animate her courage?— Now if these sentiments fill up his whole soul; how could their opposites, *fear* and *rage*, acquire sufficient power to manifest themselves with so much violence and rapidity.

These preliminary reflections will, I trust, suffice for the present, more particularly as the subject is too copious to be exhausted in a single letter.

LETTER XXV.

OF THE REUNION OF PICTURESQUE AND EXPRESSIVE GESTURES
—WHERE THEIR UNION IS POSSIBLE—AND WHERE THE
CONTRARY.

YOU have doubtless forgotten the contents of many of my former letters, since you ask why I have only made a general mention of painting relative to the arts of gesture and theatrical action. Why I have talked of the possibility of a mixture of gestures picturesque and expressive, whilst I wish to proscribe all imitation of those objects which strike upon the senses, and simply to require those expressions by which the soul is affected? I shall ask, in my turn, Is it necessary that expression and painting should be always in opposition, without the possibility of union? May there not be some particular circumstances where they may partly or totally unite; and are there not others where they are entirely confounded together? Have I not myself more than once endeavoured to direct your attention towards

these objects? In one of my letters, speaking of the play of admiration, I have formally said that the expression of the interior sentiment confounded itself with the painting of the object offered to the senses; because, in *admiration*, the soul, entirely occupied with the representation of its object, strives to assimilate itself to it, and consequently the expression analogous to her situation becomes of itself the imitation, and the painting of the object. This remark has helped me to explain to you why the admiration of any thing great dilates the breast, and likewise enlarges the whole body, and occasions an opening the mouth and eyes; whilst the contemplation of a sublime subject occasions an elevation or rising of the body. I have also remarked, that the spectator, strongly affected and hurried away by the interest which the representation of a theatrical piece excites in him, imitates the looks, and even the movements, of the actors, by alternately participating their mirth or their sadness, as long as no personal and contrary sentiments cross these exterior expressions. In short, I have begged you to remark, on the subject of moral sympathy, excited by characters noble, firm and sublime, or by actions,

which announce grandeur, courage, or philanthropy, that these sentiments awaken in our soul that noble pride, that warmth of enthusiasm, that soft sensibility of our hero—and that we adopt the air and imitate the gestures of the object of our admiration and esteem.—It appears useless in this place to prove the justice of these observations, which you have already tacitly acknowledged It is most certainly a happy circumstance for mankind in general, that the soul has this strong propensity to the imitation of great and noble sentiments. It is to this propensity we owe the succession of great men, who have shed a lustre on their families and their countries

Were it not for this motive of the human heart, virtue might expire, and genius be extinguished Fired with the noble imitation we are now describing, the son strives to emulate the virtues of the father, he catches the divine spark before it can be extinguished, and like a Phoenix, rises to nobler heights than even the parent bird had previously attained But

as every general rule has an exception, it is needless to state, that it requires more than common powers to imitate with effect and success. Imitation does not simply consist in volition, the mind must be endowed with similar energies. The divinæ particulum auræ, as the Roman satirist terms it, is necessary to the full effect of a successful resemblance. To imitate the inherent qualities of grand and sublime souls, must presuppose similar qualities in the imitator; but the external modifications of the form, are by no means so hard to be seized upon. Before I return to the main subject of the work, it may be necessary to say a few words on the subject of mimicry.

Mimicry is a talent which has been very much decived. In a moral point of view, perhaps the practice of this art cannot well be defended; but surely the person who can master his manners, voice, and features, so as to give faithful representation of those of another person, must be accorded the praise of ingenuity at least. Mimicry is connected with the picturesque play. This may perhaps assist

you in the deduction of the second rule. The picturesque play is the sole true one, or, at least, is totally irreprehensible, when the design of exciting more lively ideas of certain objects predominates, or while the individual sentiment of the interlocutor voluntarily gives place; because it is only in fulfilling this design he is able to satisfy himself. It sometimes happens that the play, fitted to the design, is in perfect harmony with that of the sentiment, and that there results from it a representation so completely faithful, that one would believe the sentiment to be homogeneous, and all the soul of the interlocutor, without any distinction of individual self, dissolved in the idea of the object: he finds himself in this case who warmly complains to the judge of an insult committed against his honour and his good name; he imitates, with the greatest vivacity, the proud, insolent tone, the anger and humiliating contempt, of him who has committed the offence; not as one would think to give the judge a correct idea of the event, and to convince him of the justice of his complaint, but principally on account of the satisfaction which a similar imitation gives to the passion with which he is inspired; for the insolence, pride, and con-

tempt of his enemy excite the self-same sentiments in his *own breast.*

There is often a mixture of expression and painting in pantomime, as in painting itself, to his appearance who does not attentively examine it to the bottom, which is only the re-union of several expressions, of which one appears to be painting, because it belongs to the homogeneous sentiment. This is the case of the lover, who, charmed with the majestic shape, the deportment full of grace and dignity, the mingled fire and softness in the glance of his mistress, is so much attached to the representation of these qualities, that he endeavours to appropriate some portion of them to himself. He will imitate this noble and majestic carriage; but, maugre this apparently picturesque expression, we shall always recognize the lover in the languor of his looks, his mouth gently half opened, and the fugitive smile which wanders on his lips and on his cheeks—and in this way a kind of bastard mien and gestures are produced, an expression which much resembles that of clemency, because the dignity and pride of the beloved object are there re-united to the tenderness and respectful attachment of the lover.

There are however some cases, where what we call the *painting* will come tardily off, because accidental circumstances will destroy all possibility of illusion. The giant can give no idea of the diminutive figure of the dwarf, nor can the dwarf at all convey the idea of the towering proportions of the giant.

A man who should attempt to copy the manners of a sailor begging in the street, might hit 'off his tone, his look, and his gesture, but the want of the wooden leg would still render the painting deficient.

To make this case more clear to the theatrical connoisseur, I shall demand what he would think of a person going through the part of Sir John Falstaff, striving to hide a lean and meagre figure, by merely thrusting out his stomach and confining his hands? Unless he took some means of giving us the idea of corpulence, the painting would immediately become incomplete.*

* It is easy to see that this is not the predica-

* See Plate.

ment in which the tutor (mentioned above) found himself placed, whom the return of the same fault, frequently committed by his pupil, had already too much displeased for him to be able, correctly, to imitate his imbecile master; still, nevertheless, preserving the desire to amend and humiliate him by a representation of his awkward and ridiculous gesture. Here a complete re-union of the imitation with the expression of displeasure is a matter of the greatest difficulty.

It will be necessary, then, by sacrificing of parts, and by reciprocally altering them, to seek their re-union by a middle attitude, which will not be exactly either the one or the other. Our preceptor, then, will render his mouth idiotical, and in this grimace, his lower lip will be pendent, but at the same time it will find itself a little drawn towards the angles of the mouth. His head will fall forward, but with more force; his eyes will stare, while his approaching eye-brows, and the wrinkles on his forehead, will discover his choler. In a word, all his face will become a veritable caricatura, in which one will clearly observe, that, to the

imitation of an attitude, which is foreign to him, personal traits of raillery and ill-humour will associate themselves

Neither of the cases which I have been indicating take place when the soul is not so sufficiently occupied in the contemplation of the object that the painting may confound itself with the expression. When the design of rendering the intuitive object does not predominate, and when this design does not support itself with a sensible degree of vivacity on the side of the sentiment which the soul feels, then, not only the pure and simple painting, but also the mixture of picturesque play and expression, ought to be rejected for, in all these cases, the painting is in contradiction with the sentiment of the soul, and is neither analogous, physiological, or determined by any design. After these principles, judge yourself, if, in my last letter, I was wrong in blaming the play of the actor in the part of Hamlet, or that of Baion

The passages in question, had no need of any pantomimical commentary to render them intelligible but where then, you will ask me, are the cases where the soul is really entirely occupied with its object? Where are those where the design of communicating a lively

and thinking idea predominates exclusively, and subsists with a force nearly equal to the sentiment? To those who propose such questions, I shall reply, that they expect from the theory of gesture and theatrical action more than it is capable of affording. It requires instructions so precise and so determinate, that, in dispensing the artist from personal study, they reduce him to the simple rank of a mechanic, labourer.

In this, the rule can only develope the natural sense with which the artist ought to be endowed, facilitate his means of arriving at clear notions of the different parts of his art, awaken his dormant genius, or recal him from wrong paths, and aid him to revolve doubtful cases with celerity and precision. For the rest, we might yet give some particular instructions; for example, that the actor ought not to allow himself the expression of any idea, or any sentiment which his discourse announces as foreign to his soul: that in metaphors, he ought, above all things, to beware of attaching himself to qualities, which, not appertaining to the comparison, have no connexion with the idea, nor the sentiment which rules the soul. When Freeport, in the English Mer-

chant, says to the young lady, " Madam, I don't love you at all," would it not be ridiculous should his face express a languishing softness ?

Would it not appear pitiable in Marc Antony, if, when recalling to the minds of the Roman populace the circumstance of Cæsar's having rejected the crown which had been offered him, he should describe a circle to represent it in the air ? It would be still more ridiculous, if, when calling Cæsar the crown of all heroes, he should make use of the same action. These faults appear so gross, that it may be judged superfluous to warn the comedians against their commission : notwithstanding, how many of them are there, who, proud of their own taste, and their pretended acquirements, fall into errors equally absurd and ridiculous ! Have you never heard one of these modern rhapsodists, declaiming bombast nonsense, and gesticulating without intermission, and often representing each figurative expression in so comical away, that it would have puzzled the gravity of a Crassus or a Cato to have kept their countenances ?

In the scene of *Emilia Galotti*,* where Odoardo says to the Countess Orsino, "Weaken not this drop of poison in a large vessel," (or vulgarly, a great tub,—*tonneau*) it is visible that he ought to feel the most lively impatience of desire; it is equally clear, that, in this situation, the play of the actor *ought to express this impatience solely*, and that, consequently, it is quite impossible for him to find sufficient time to indicate to the countess, all that he finds odious in her conduct by a detailed metaphor. Nevertheless, I remember to have seen an actor, in the character of Odoardo, (I confess it was at a little barn, where my curiosity engaged me to stop) who attempted to make this speech *figurative*, and can you guess by what means? An exact observer of the rule prescribed by Riccoboni, he lifted up the right arm methodically, and curving his hand, held it down to the ground, as if he was pouring something on the earth. This play was meant to designate the drop of poison †

After this first gesture, stretching out his

* The play of *Emilia Galotti* may be found in the translation of the German Theatre, by B. Thompson, esq. author of the *Stranger*.



Foto Gravure

www.FotoGravure.com



Father Gestare

Printed for Richard Phillips New-Bright-street,

arms; with the fingers widely scattered, he seemed to wish to embrace something of vast circumference, and this was, according to him, the painting of the *tub*.*

Do not think that I insert this anecdote merely to make you laugh. You yourself know an actor, who, whilst representing the character of Odoardo, struck himself violently on the belly, with his clenched fist, every time he pronounced the word *tonneau*: and is this fault less ridiculous, or more excuseable, than the former one? This may suffice, my friend, for the rule given by Quintilian, and will, at the same time, serve as an answer to your first question: to wit, if this rule would not banish every sort of lively representation from the scene? In the following epistle, I shall answer to that which concerns the representation of pantomimical subjects.

* See Plate.

LETTER XXVI.

EXTENT OF THE RULE CONCERNING PANTOMIME—IN WHAT MANNER ALL PAINTING DEDIMENTAL TO THE EXPRESSION MAY BE CONSIDERED, EVEN WHILE THE ACTION FORMS ITS SUBJECT—SUBJECTS OF ROMAN PANTOMIME—REMARK ON A PASSAGE IN LUCIAN.

AFTER what I have said in the beginning of our correspondence, you will not suspect me of being too much prejudiced in favour of pantomime: notwithstanding you wish me to regard it as a practicable species of theatrical representation; a species which, from its origin, and since its re-establishment by the celebrated Mr. Noverre, has had the most decided success. It appears to you then, that I cannot dispense myself of speaking concerning pantomimes, since, deprived of words, it is entirely dependant on the art of gesture. But, according to your opinion, the rule established for the actor cannot extend to pantomime, because that he, according to my own confession, can by no means be exempt from certain signs

proper to the figurative painting of the objects of his sentiments.

It appears to me, that I ought to have added to this remark, that pantomime has a real need for similar signs, whilst it imposes on itself the necessity of making objects known, of which the spectators had not any idea, that is to say, whilst aspiring to the title of the **CREATIVE POET**, it wishes to invent its own *subjects*, their intrigues, and their *denouements*; for, in effect, it is possible that there may be some, where pantomime may be able to avoid all representation contrary to the expression

There are some events in life which, by all their symptoms, have their characteristical properties, which are so commonly known, that seeing them represented in pantomime, we have no occasion to ask what object it strives to imitate You doubtless recollect that pantomimical farce, at which the English assisted in one of the islands of the society in the South sea, (*Voyage round the World by Foster, vol 2d*) which truly could only be represented by a people as uncorrupted and uncivilised as these Islanders You will also recollect to have read • the description of the warlike dances of the

American savages, in which they represented to their spectators, in pantomime, all the events practised in a maritime expedition. The march, the attack, the combat, the manner of taking prisoners, and the retreat.

"He (the dancer) represents the departure of the warriors—the march—he goes in ambush—he makes his approaches—he stops, as if to take breath—then, of a sudden, he grows furious, and one would think he was about to exterminate the whole world: recovered from this excess, he seizes some one of the company, as if making him prisoner of war—he pretends to cleave the head of another; at length he falls to running with all his speed: he frequently stops to recover: this is a retreat, first hasty and then tranquil. He afterwards expresses, by various cries, the different sensations he had experienced during his last campaign, and finishes with the recital of all the gallant actions he had performed during the war."

Charleroi, Hist. de. Nouv. France.

During the dance, the warrior has the same design, as the actor has sometimes upon the stage during his recitations and descriptions,

that is to say, he wishes to excite, in the souls of his spectators, the images of certain objects, in a manner striking and intuitive. In truth, he then paints but it is with the same sight as the comedian, his representation becomes clear, because every one knows what it is he means to imitate, and because the objects of his imitation reduce themselves precisely to the motions of his body, which serve him as exactly as sight, colours, and contour aid and assist the painter. There is no need of figures of conception, but, whilst he wishes to design events, which are by themselves different from the actions and movement of his body, or when the employment or signification of these are not at all known to the spectators.

The ballets of action of the comic kind, which are generally represented after the pieces of the theatre, are, for the most part, imitations of daily and ordinary events, which may be comprehended, without the trouble of interpretation. Every one knows the feasts of Harvest Home, the various scenes of a fair, a race ground, &c. We might equally represent in pantomime, such subjects as, like tragedy and comedy, have an *intrigue* and a *dénouement*, and, for the intelligence of the spectator, it will

suffice to express the sentiments of the personages with exactitude.

Let us suppose a shepherd suddenly inflamed at the sight of a young and beautiful shepherdess. He approaches her with a tender respect; timid modesty engages the damsel to avoid this new lover; she quits the scene; after a short absence she re-appears, apparently confused, but secretly charmed at reviewing him: he comprehends this prompt return, and, sensible of the favour, lays a riband or a nosegay at the feet of the shepherdess. His happiness is yet uncertain, whilst a second lover surprises them; a scene of jealousy commences, but the conduct of the shepherdess proves, that she has not given the intruder any power over her heart. The shepherdess who has more ancient claims on the heart of the second lover, now arrives: her pride, her choler, her sadness, her dejection, urge the faithless swain to resume his former fetters, and his confusion and penitence, joined to the good offices of the first couple, obtain his pardon, after which, penetrated with gratitude, he in turn labours for the felicity of his benefactor. When the action commences, proceeds, and terminates in this manner, what obscurity, or

what ambiguity, can any of these situations offer to the minds of the spectators? The play of the motions and attitudes of the personages, the sentiments so naturally adapted to the man, suffice to define themselves, and no one will demand the explanation of a *dénouement*, of which every romance, and the daily events of life, offer at once the example and the interpretation. Here the eye makes the exposition of the subject, and the heart explains the recital.

Notwithstanding this pantomime is not essentially confined to ordinary and daily events, Father Lafiteau says, in his work entitled "The Manners of the Savages,"—" Many of those who have lived amongst the Iroquois have assured me, that often, after a chief of war, on his return, represents all which had passed in his expedition, and in the assaults he had made on, or sustained from, his enemies, without the omission of any circumstance, then all those who are present at this recital, rise to dance, and represent these actions with as much vivacity as if they had actually assisted at them, without having been prepared for such a feat, or even concerting it among themselves."

You here see it is not necessary that the events should be of those kinds which happen ordinarily in war, they may be attended by what circumstances you will, provided they be accompanied and indicated by attitudes and gestures most true and most expressive. Then each, who, attentive to the recital of these events, shall have impressed the circumstances as they follow each other in his memory, will also comprehend the dance, from its beginning to the end, and at each new scene he will be able to indicate the recital which he ought to represent.

The same thing occurs in the pantomime subjects, which are executed upon our modern theatres. Although it be not a common event or daily occurrence which is represented, it will suffice to know the kind, the cause, the progression, and the development; it will be necessary to recognise the name of the pantomime, or to cast a *coup d'œil* over the *program*, and then there will not be any difficulty in following the attitudes and motions of the dancers, and thoroughly making out their meaning.

Oftén even we shall have no need of either name or program, for the groupes of personages, or, perhaps, such or such a circumstance,

proper to the determinate action, are able to indicate, on the spot, the event which is about to be represented.

Thus it was on the ancient theatres, during the representation of the Royal Shepherd of Mount Ida. It was sufficient to recognise the three Goddesses, and the traits which distinguished the one from the other; it was only necessary to see the shepherd on the mountain, or, more especially, the golden apple which had inflamed the jealousy of the three rivals, and every body was well aware of all that was to ensue. Nothing would then be either equivocal or unintelligible, either in the countenances and movements of Juno, of Minerva, or of Venus, or in the different expressions of Paris, who at first is struck with admiration, afterwards with indecision, and lastly subjugated by the conqaering charms of the Queen of Beauty. The same thing would take place on our own theatres, if it were permitted, to convert the stories from the sacred history, into ballets of action. All the world is acquainted with them, and he who should see a man and a woman under a tree, with a serpent twisting round the trunk, would, without the least difficulty, comprehend the meaning of all

the rest; even to the cherubim armed with the flaming sword. Clarke, without understanding Spanish, perfectly comprehended the whole mystery of the passion, as represented at Madrid.—(*See Letters concerning the Spanish Nation, by the Reverend Edward Clarke.*)

A very slight examination will convince you that such subjects as I have just been pointing out to you, by no means oblige pantomime to dispense with the rule established for the comedian.

Where the design to animate the idea of certain objects, even to the highest pitch of intuition, predominates in pantomime (a condition which also admits the most complete latitude to the actor) or where the whole subject is perfectly intelligible, by the simple expression of the sentiments, or where it is known beforehand, by its intrigue, and by its progress of action, then the sole contemplation, and the series of developed sentiments, form the recital, or, rather, they appear to form it; for, in the end, it is the spectator who creates it for himself.

If, in all these cases, pantomime stands in need of but little assistance to render itself in-

telligible to the spectator, why should it not rather attach itself to giving the most strong and animated expressions to the sentiments with which the soul is penetrated? Why should it seek to express what it can never render intelligible, or only mark, in a very imperfect way, and in making useless efforts to this effect, in sacrificing, entirely neglecting, or weakening, the expression of the affections of the soul, which it could nevertheless render so easily?

In comparing the subjects of the ancient pantomimes among themselves, of which some notions have come down to us, and particularly in reading the long list which Lucian has given us of them, I find that this art never employed itself in the invention of the subject, which was always founded on some fable, on mythology, or on events in the history of former times, and which were grown familiar by tradition. This circumstance renders all the marvellous things related of Pytlades, Bathyllus, and other pantomimes posterior to them, easy to be conceived, whilst, without this circumstance, simple as it may appear, it would be almost impossible to form any idea of them.

The spectators, at least the greater 'part' of them, knew beforehand all those celebrated pantomimes wished to indicate and express; and the force of the voluntary illusion easily led them to the false consequence, that the sole play of looks and gestures communicated to them all the ideas; whilst these ideas, a long time lulled asleep in the memory, stood in need of only a slight impulsion to rouse and awaken them.

It is thus necessary, I think, to explain the exclamation of the Cynic Demetrius, related by Lucian,* and the anecdote of the Royal Prince of Pontus, who besought Nero to make him a present of a pantomime, that he might be able to dispense with an interpreter, and employ *him* in all his negotiations with the Barbarians. Supposing that the pantomime at which this prince was present was not founded on one of those common actions, of which the ordinary feelings of human life, and daily occurrences, were able to indicate the sense, or facilitate the knowledge; if this were the case, I say, I do

* Λχειν, αρθεσθαι, ἀ ποιειν, εὐχόμενον μάλα μηδεκίς Ταῦτα χιρεύσειν αυταῖς λαβεῖν.

not see any other method of explaining this anecdote without involving myself in infinite difficulty and perplexity. The most perfect play of *gesture* could not any how instruct this prince in an action of which he had no previous knowledge. The most that this play could have done, was to have conducted him to form *guesses* on the subject of the scene, but it could never have indicated it to him with clearness and precision.

If the play of pantomime was a sort of language, then another difficulty would present itself; for one cannot conceive how the language could be intelligible to a foreign Prince who had never studied its elements. Certainly a similar language could not subsist in an assemblage of arbitrary and accidental signs, of which no exterior objects presented either motive or modification ; for no language ever was, or ever will be, formed in this manner. Notwithstanding this pantomimical language will partake the inconvenience of all other languages, of being forced to recur to certain radical signs, and to analogies, which, in designing equally a crowd of objects, do not indicate any with exactitude and precision, and of which it is impossible to divine the true signification, without first

having gained a ground work by instruction or by practice. The language which *Rabelais* makes *Panurge* speak, might be composed of signs well chosen and aptly adapted, without its being at all less an unintelligible *GALIMATHIAS* for me, even when the expressions and the turns of the ancient French dialect are familiar to me.

"*Oeuvres de Rabelais*, T. I, ch. 16. comment Panurge, fit quinault l'Anglois qui arguoit par signes." Saint Augustin has said nearly the same thing, in proving, by the example of the Carthaginians, how difficult it is to comprehend a language made up of signs, of which the elements have not been studied.

"Quia multis modis simile ali cui potest esse, non constant talia signa inter homines nisi consensus accedat."

De Doct. Christ.

He reports, that, during the establishment of pantomime, at Carthage, an interpreter was necessary to explain them to the people. It remains to know, if the real object of this explanation was not to instruct the public in subjects selected from fable or history, and repre-

sented on the scene, as well as to render the signs and attitudes of the dancers intelligible, by a knowledge of their subjects, and thus to illustrate the first by the means of the second : for I cannot form to myself any idea of an assemblage of signs, such as the ancient pantomime ought to have been, and the richness of which would have equalled the most complete collection of signs, general and particular, which compose our modern languages, and could express and communicate new thoughts by a perpetual variety in its combinations.

Certainly a similar language could not be either created or understood with great facility.

LETTER XXVII:

MR. NOVERRE'S IDEA OF A PANTOMIME—THE BALLET FOLLOWED BY THE CELEBRATED STORY OF THE HORATII AND THE CURIATII—EXAMPLE OF THE OUTRE IN PANTOMIME.

THE pantomime of modern times has no advantage over that of ancient date, for whilst renouncing known and common actions, it pretends to the executing subjects mixed with intrigue, and of its own invention; it finds itself in an alternative, either of painting in signs as expressive as it can possibly create, in leaving to a hazard that which their vague and uncertain signification will allow the spectators to lay hold of, or appealing to the aid of the interpreter to explain, by *word*, that which the look, attitude, and gesture are inadequate to express. Mr. Noverre absolutely rejects this last expedient. According to him, the art which has recourse to such methods is only in its infancy, and can merely stammer.

"Sous le règne de Louis XIV. les recits, les dialogues, et les monologues servoient d'inter-

prêtes à la danse. Elle ne faisoit que bégayer, ses sons foibles & inarticulés avoit besoin d'être soutenus par la musique et d'être expliqués par la poésie.

Lettres sur la danse & Sur les Ballets.

This author declares himself equally against the use of picturesque and uncertain signs: for though he does not treat the subject *formally*, we may, nevertheless, (as far as I can recollect) draw this consequence from the rest of his system.

First, he avows, "that modern pantomime is more cramped than it was in the days of Augustus." I reply to this, that it can only be attributed to the vast and probably exaggerated ideas we entertain, from perusing the swelled-up praises of the ancients. "There are a quantity of things," continues he, "which can only render themselves intelligible by the aid of gestures: nothing of the species of tranquil dialogue ought to find place in a pantomime."

In another passage, where he criticises the aid of words for the explanation of a ballet, and compares those which have need of this assistance to the pictures of those painters, who, in the infancy of the art, made use of large

rolls of paper, which, coming out of the mouths of the figures, explained their actions motives, &c. &c. &c he thus expresses himself in his ingenious work.

" Lorsque les danseurs animés par le sentiment, se transformeront sous mille formes différentes avec les traits variés des passions, lorsque ils seront des Protées, et que leur physionomie leurs regards traceront tous les mouvements de leur ame lorsque leur bras sortiront de ce chemin étroit que l'école leur a prescrit, & que parcourant avec autant de grace que de vérité un espace plus considérable, ils décriront par des positions justes les mouvements successifs des passions, lorsqu'enfin ils associeront l'esprit & le génie à leur art, ils se distingueront, les récits dès lors deviendront inutiles tout parlera chaque mouvement sera expressif chaque attitude peindra une situation, chaque geste dévoilera une intention chaque regard annoncera une nouveau sentiment tout sera se disant, parce que tout sera vrai & que l'imitation sera prise dans la nature "

According to my judgment it clearly results from these passages, (a multiplicity of which I could quote if necessary) that the first master of this art, and the best author who ever treat-

ed it, banishes from his theatre every thing which is not intelligible by the expression of the sentiment. But what subjects will he discuss, if he rejects common actions and daily occurrences? The ancient pantomimes were allowed the permission of taking their subjects from sacred history; an advantage which ours do not enjoy—for similar exhibitions equally displease the incredulous and the devotee, though, perhaps, the latter in a lesser degree than the former. Then there only remains the second mode employed by the ancients; I mean to say, to put into scenic action the best known works of the poets, and to bring them to the memory of the spectator as well as they are able. Thus the opinions and proceedings of all those who have sought to carry modern pantomime to the perfection of the ancient, perfectly accords with what I have just said upon the subject.

Abbé Du Bos, from whom it is useless to quote the passage by which he proves the necessity of selecting well-known subjects for pantomime, recounts the first essay made at Paris for the restoration of this art, in imitation of the ancients.

"A princess," says he, "who joined much acquired knowledge to a natural quickness, and who had a great taste for dramatic exhibitions, wished to see an essay of the art of ancient pantomimes, which might give her more certain ideas of their representation, than those she had conceived in reading such authors as had written on the subject. For want of actors versed in the art of which we have been speaking, she selected a male and female dancer, who were both of superior merit in their profession, and gifted with a talent for invention. They had a subject given them to be represented by gesture: it was the scene in Corneille's tragedy, where the young Horatius kills his sister. This they executed to the sound of several instruments, which played music composed expressly for this scene by a skilful artist. Our two new pantomime novices animated each other, by their gestures, with such reciprocal skill, that they burst into tears themselves: it would be superfluous to add, that the spectators were equally affected."

M. Noverre has completed this rude essay, made with one scene only, in putting the whole

'play into a ballet of action, and has thought proper to do the same thing with several other dramas ; but he requires that they should be known by the spectators, because, without this essential precaution, the pantomime could never be intelligible. "The pieces," says he, "in which Pylades and Bathyllus performed were well known ; they served as programs to the spectators, who, having engraved them in their memories, followed the actor without labour, and anticipated every coming expression. Shall we not then have the same advantages when we put the most favourite dramas of our own theatre into action ? Is our organization less perfect than that of the dancers of Rome ? and may not all that was done in the days of Augustus be as well done now ? To doubt it would be to lessen mankind—to libel the age in which we live.

' I have wished to prove, by the sentiment, and by the method practised by the best known author of this art, what in effect results from the nature of the thing, to wit, that it is needful to guard against unknown subjects ; for that which is unintelligible can neither please, nor affect, nor produce any lasting emotion in the soul. The imposing magic of

groupes gracefully disposed, the taste, riches, and magnificence of the decorations, combined with the accompaniments of harmonious music—all these things, I say, may flatter and amuse the spectator, but it is absolutely impossible that the subject itself, as a dramatic action, and a developement of situations and events, can *interest*. The charm resulting from it will be only for the eyes, the spirit will there find no enjoyment, and the heart will still continue void and vacant. The rule of expression, established for the actor, remains then in full force for pantomime. When subjects already known are treated, every thing depends on the order and progression of the pantomime, for if, in the execution of each particular scene, the advice of M. Noverre, with respect to the general plan, is not observed, if the events are not connected, if, neglecting to concentrate the scattered tableaux, the action is rendered slow and dragging, if, servilely marching in the trammels of the poet, through the whole progression of his ideas, each gesture; each attitude, each expression, are given servilely by gestures, every advantage gained on one side will be lost on the other. The play of pantomime will

then become fatiguing, and unintelligible in many of its parts; for where is the spectator who can recal every expression which the poet made use of? This play will consist in repetitions of monotonous expressions, or, at least, very similar one to the other; or it will wander into caricatures, insufficient, extraordinary, perhaps misplaced, and almost constantly prejudicial to the expression, if they do not destroy it entirely. I say misplaced, because an image, which may be noble, grand, or terrible to the imagination, rendered in pantomime, will frequently, if not always, become low, trivial, and grotesque.

I do not know if you have ever been present at the representation of M. Noverre's ballet of the Horatii, of which a very bad sample was given once or twice. What a Galimethias was assembled together, to express the passage where Céilla (in our English tragedy of the Roman Father, this lady is called Horatia) curses her brother, her country, and all the Roman citizens. Nothing could be more despicable than the way of representing these verses—

“ Qu'elle même (Rome) sur soi renverse ses murailles
Et de ses propre mains déchire ses entrailles ”

Les Horaces, Act iv

This idea is not only noble and grand of itself, 'but at the same time terrible for the imagination. We think we behold an immense and profound gulph open, like the jaws of some terrible monster, to consume and destroy the whole of a vast and puissant people. But how ridiculously and contemptibly low would this appear, if represented in pantomime; and, likewise, how disgusting would it appear in representation. Suppose a dancer pointing to the bottom of the scene, (apparently to indicate the spot where we are to imagine the city of Rome;) subsequently agitating her hand, directed downwards to the earth; afterwards suddenly opening, not the jaws of a monster, but her own little mouth, and thither conveying her clenched fist, from time to time, as if she meant to swallow it with the greatest avidity*.

The major part of the spectators would burst into loud fits of laughter, whilst the remaining portion would find themselves at a loss to conceive the meaning of this unexpected action; for, in effect, the explication I have been giving of this farce is not conjectural, and it is

* See Plate.



St. G' 14

" ch d Phallus " " " " "

easily possible to furnish another of a nature totally different. If one ever could invent a language of gesture, which really deserved that name, these servile imitations of language, as it is spoken, would be regarded as miserable translations, in which the style of the original would be sought in vain.

LETTER XXVIII.

FARTHER DISCUSSION ON THE SUBJECT OF PANTOMIME.

If pantomime, elevating itself above such events as are taken from the ordinary and daily occurrences of life, is forced to treat of subjects anterior and well known, the most complete proof of the impuissance and dependence of its art will result from it, viz that it appears not to have any need of the assistance of words, and yet to be incapable of proceeding *without them*. Moreover, the details of each scene, in particular of the tragic and comic chef d'œuvres not being perfectly known by all the beholders, the play of the pantomime will remain an enigma, in several of its parts, to the greater number of such spectators so that many of the links in the connecting chain will be entirely broken in short, if all tranquil dialogue ought to be suppressed, to accelerate

the action, then pantomime will sacrifice precisely what most charms the enlightened connoisseur in theatrical representation, to wit, the *complete image* of characters, with their just *melange*, and in the reciprocal proportion of the affections and faculties of the soul, the complete developement of the play of the passions, (of which the shades are frequently so fine,) as well as their motives and most secret resources.

In spite of all these inconveniences, pantomime can still have its charms. The senses may be enriched, where the understanding is lost; and it was not, certainly, the soul which was much a gainer amongst the Romans, who, you say, were so passionately fond of this kind of spectacle.

But would it not be possible, you add, to regain what has been lost of this art?—to create, in time, what has perhaps never yet existed? Why should a language, formed of mien, gestures, and attitudes, be a thing less possible than a language formed out of articulate sounds?

Supposing, my friend, that this might be probable, it must, nevertheless, be confessed, that, in our days, the conditions which might

favour the discovery of a similar language of pantomime, do not exist. Each idiom, for as much as I know, owes its origin to a very small society of men : the perfection costs incredible efforts of genius. Necessity, the father of all discoveries, creates and achieves it. But now, that all large societies are *already* established, genius, whatever may be its boldness and temerity, will ever be alarmed at the impossibility of equalling oral language by that of pantomime, and will, consequently, renounce the undertaking.

The necessity of a mute language no longer exists, because the different idioms, now come to perfection in the world, suffice for all occasions where men are desirous of communicating their sentiments or their ideas. The existence of a language of pantomime, which could come in comparison with one of speech, is hardly possible ; for although mankind is liable to run into a thousand follies and extravagancies, it is hardly credible that a nation, accustomed to a verbal language (as all the known people in the world are), should addict itself for ages to the acquisition of a thing totally *useless*, the necessity of which could not be demonstrated by any possible cause. Moreover I am doubt-

ful whether the existence of *verbal* language would be able to facilitate the discovery of a *pantomimical* one; on the contrary, greater difficulty, would arise from it; for it is very probable that we should seek to create this language, after the model of existing idioms; and it would be still a material question to know, if the natural forms of the one would be also those of the other. But I ought here to retract what I have granted to you before, i. e. that the invention of a pantomimical language is as possible and as easy as the discovery of an oral one. As for the different advantages that the signs which strike the ear have over those which affect the organ of sight, I shall confine myself to a slight and rapid observation.

Man, in the employment of words, has a double intention: he wishes to communicate the ideas of those objects which affect him, and he seeks to indicate the manner in which he is affected by them. If even he should not have this latter intention, it would not be the less an interior and imperious need of his nature, which, in a state of passion, he could not prevent himself from satisfying. To this effect oral language has its interjections, and

and pantomime its gestures of expression — These, when even they have not so much force and vivacity as the former, are, nevertheless, more clear, more varied, and perhaps more determined, and the will is less in a state to master them than articulated sounds

The tones by which man imitates all that strikes on the organ of hearing, were, in verbal language, the first elements he could employ to mark the objects of his thoughts. It would then be necessary, in the language of pantomime, that the imitation of visible objects should take place of these elements, since, as I have already said, signs, purely arbitrary, and deprived of every kind of motive, cannot become the basis of any language whatsoever. These primitive signs ought afterwards to serve as a type to all those that, conformably to the figures and varied turns of a language it would be necessary to create, to indicate the remainder of our other ideas. And why would it not be as possible to arrive at this by the means of looks and gestures, as by the aid of sounds? Why could not visible images also designate the various connections and abstractions which the mind, the judgment, and the imagination execute relatively to the ideas?

Hitherto, the language of pantomime appears nearly as practicable as *verbal language*: notwithstanding, a circumstance of some importance remains to be examined.

In a language spoken, the interjection or the expression of the sentiment, is never but a sound—an expiration; but in pantomime it is a complete, proper, finished attitude. In the first, the imitative sound, which contains the idea of the object, is able to unite itself very intimately with the tone, or expiration, which satisfies the sentiment. In the second case, the re-union of the painting and the expression is impossible, where the one and the other ought to operate by the same parts of the body, whilst each demands a totally different employment of it.

The word *love* is, without contradiction, impressive, as well as the action or attitude made use of to express this affection, it paints the languor, softness, and charm of this sentiment; nevertheless, this word once found, you can pronounce it, not only with a soft and tender inflexion, but also with an accent plaintive, sad, choleric, furious, bitter, or sarcastical, without one tittle of this word's becoming confused, and, consequently, without the idea

of the object losing any of its perspicuity. Here all depends solely on the modification of such or such an organ or exhalation, which renders the tone of the voice low or elevated, soft or rough, flat or sharp, trembling or decided.

On the other hand, endeavour to re-unite to the picturesque gesture of love, varied pantomimical expressions, and such as are connected in a very intimate manner, without the gesture finding itself destroyed, or becoming less obscure, difficult, and equivocal, then you will perceive the impossibility, or, at least, the extreme difficulty, of this re-union. Sometimes one contradiction will impede this mixture. The eye languishing and dying, the attitude bending with grace, or softly indolent with love, (as when *Felix* leans over the chair of his weeping *Violante**) cannot, by any means, accord with the sparkling undecided look, with the muscles extended and indicative of choler, as when the fiery young Spaniard is accusing her of perfidy and falsehood † These gestures accord as little as the cringing humiliated air of a flatterer, who with curved body and sink-

* See Plate

+ See Plate



Frailty

Dread - Doubt - Fear - Despair - etc.



L'isla

Printed for Richard Phillips New-Berwick-Street

ing knee, takes by turn the honied and respectful tone,* can assimilate to the proud Hamlet, who neither hides his contempt nor his indignation.†

Sometimes, whilst this re-union is not of itself impossible, we shall be uncertain if in the play of gestures, the looks, or the attitude, ought, in their *ensemble*, to express or designate a mixed sentiment.

When I see a soft smile wandering round the mouth and cheeks of a person, whilst the inferior angles of his eyebrows are elevated, how is it possible for me to answer the question, whether the two sentiments, i. e. love and sorrow, re-unite themselves in the soul of him who presents this attitude, or if only the first of these sentiments affects his soul, whilst the second is simply the object which produces the first, and so *vice versa*; and, in the last case, how shall I be able to decide which of these two sentiments is typical, or which is imitative? since these two things are equally possible: love can excite sadness—sadness love.

I am not ignorant that in this case the connection and series of ideas are capable of af-

* See Plate.

† See Plate.

fording much elucidement. Nevertheless one should not require too much, for in doing so we run the risk of not gaining *any* intelligence concerning the subject which we are investigating.

LETTER XXIX.

MORE REMARKS ON THE ANCIENT PANTOMIME DANCERS—
THE ART OF GESTURE CONSIDERED MUSICALLY—REMARK
TO PROVE THAT ALL MUSICAL ARTS ARE FOUNDED ON THE
SAME RULES AND PRINCIPLES.

THE rapid reflections with which I finished my last letter, and the fear, either of being prolix, or falling into minute subtleties, prevent me from much amplification. The discovery of a pantomimical language, I have urged, if not proved, is a very difficult problem to resolve. Notwithstanding all that has been said concerning the age of Augustus, I find it impossible to join in opinion with those moderns, who exalt the marvellous effects of dancing among the ancients to the skies. According to the testimony of authors, the pantomimes of antiquity certainly had some particular signs. I will even grant more than this, that they possessed farther than what solely appertained to their art, which they made it a particular, and, probably, an unique

study, during the whole course of their lives, to raise, on all occasions, the most expressive and most characteristical traits, that oral language furnished them with a number of happy images and allusions, that they executed all these signs with a truth and energy of which we have a difficulty of forming any conception in our cold climates, that they carried expression to its highest pitch, and seized hold of its finest traits. But, allowing them all these advantages, at what an immense distance must they yet have been from oral language! A Pylades, and a Bathyllus, surely had not in themselves more knowledge than all the rest of mankind put together.

By a general and marvellous impulsion, the whole Roman people would not have applied to a new language, useless to every other need and occasion. After this reasoning, I cannot form any idea to myself, of the execution of an intelligible pantomime, intelligible in its own proper resources or scenes of a tranquil discussion, and of the developement of an intrigue, carried on with art and address without the aid of words. The collection of the signs of these pantomime dancers, perhaps was no more than in our own days, we should ex-

pect from the dictionary of a people, whose minds were hardly emerged from barbarism. Common and material ideas will suffice for a narrow circle; but it will be too poor in calculative and abstracted ideas, for a play, or even a scene of Euripides, to have been translated into a similar language.

I hope you will not here oppose to me the pantomime language of the Sicilians, of which M. Le Comte de Borch, speaks with such raptures in his Letters upon Sicily, and the Isle of Malta, *Tom. 2 Letter 20. p. 36*

"Another particularity, not less singular, (the characteristical properties of the Sicilian language, had been the argument) is the usage of gestures and signs commonly employed here, and of which the language is so expressive for the natives, that at a considerable distance, in the midst of a numerous company, two persons, without opening the mouth, mutually comprehend and communicate their thoughts one to the other. These signs and these gestures are by no means general. A woman has several different kinds of them; one sort for her husband, another for her lover, and a third for her friends. This difference of alphabet produces three distinct languages, of which the

same person makes use with all possible facility. The same skill is remarkable amongst the children who, from the most tender age, commence composing, with their play mates, a set of signs proper to themselves alone. This arises from the *penchant* of the nation for gestures. A Sicilian cannot speak the most indifferent word, without following it up with an expressive gesture; it is thought that these signs and gestures take their date from Denis the Ancient, whose tyranny forbidding the use of words to his subjects, obliged them to invent new modes of communicating their thoughts, and consoling each other in their state of sorrow. I will not guarantee the truth of this origin, but from whatever source the custom comes, I cannot help admiring it, and telling you, that I consider it the most sublime pantomime I ever witnessed in the course of my life."

Pay attention, if you please, to the essential circumstances contained in the narrative of this traveller. Each person has his particular language, which he knows how to vary according to the interlocutors. There is a multitude, then, of languages of this kind of which each is original, and invented by the

person who makes use of it ; from these facts, of which the certainty cannot be contested, ought we not to conclude that the Sicilians have but a small number of signs to manifest their ideas, and that the employment of such signs is circumscribed in a very narrow circle ? But (you may yet object to me) since the signs of pantomimes render their subjects so little intelligible, and that, during the representations, all depended upon a preliminary knowledge of the event brought forward on the scene, and on the retentive memory of the spectators, what was the use of these signs ? Why did the pantomimes obstinately retain what they might have so easily done without ? Perhaps it was because they did not perceive the inutility of it, or that a self-vanity did not permit them to avow the deficiency and inefficacy of their art, either to themselves or to their spectators. .

On the subject of these pantomimes the ancient authors have only left us a paucity of notions ; and it clearly appears that they have been noticed by them in a manner too concise, too vague, and too hyperbolical.

Enough, my friend, upon a subject almost inexhaustible, and on which I should have said

less, had not your questions and objections obliged me to be diffuse. Let us now no longer speak of the art of gesture, and theatrical action, as it belongs to painting, and serves to represent the single moment of an action : let us occupy ourselves with this art, as it produces its effects successively. In one word, let us consider it as *music*. You see I here use the word *music* in the acceptation as taken by the ancient Greeks, in the most general and extensive sense, as containing many other arts, united since their origin, and which have only been subsequently separated.

I know not whether this abstraction has been most useful or prejudicial to them. These arts comprehended in the word *music* were for the eye,—the art of gesture, the movements of the body, with their lyrical part—to wit, dancing: for the organ of hearing, the arts of declamation, with its lyrical part also, which comprehended singing and the accompaniment of music and its instruments.

Poetry, considered relatively to the mechanism of the verses and the selection of rhythm by which it delights a delicate ear, also belonging to it. I flatter myself that you will dispense with my proving, that neither the arts I have

here, been enumerating, nor any other, have been really designed by the word music : you may convince yourself of this, by the passages which Brown and Du Bos have extracted on this subject from Plato, St. Augustin, Quintilian, &c. (*Considerations on Poetry and Music, Section v.*)

In comparing the fine arts, of which I have been speaking, one with the other, you will soon perceive that the ancient idea of music re-united the two essential characters, to wit—the *energetic*, or that which only acted successively by degrees ; and the *sensible*, or that which struck instantaneously upon the senses. The one excluded from it all the arts of imitation which immediately act upon the senses, and the other dispensed with poetry, inasmuch as it speaks not only to the senses, but to the imagination, and to the other faculties of the soul. You can, in truth, object to me against this last character, that Socrates, in Plato, not only calls philosophy music, but *music by excellence*; notwithstanding this science, which uniquely occupies the judgment and the mind, has nothing in common with the senses. But if philosophy has been, in effect, regarded as forming one of the parts of

music, wherefore did Socrates, at the approach of death, occupy himself with doubt, if, in studying this science, he had obeyed the Divinity, who ordered him to study music? Why, in supposing that the Divinity had prescribed the study of music, taken in the ordinary sense, (

Every man familiar with the works of Plato has remarked it as an essential character of his manner of writing, that he always pleases himself in connecting the arts with serious and scientific subjects, and that he willingly borrows the charms of the beautiful for the sciences, and, on the other hand, the aid of the severity and dignity of science, for the beautiful. In like manner as he here calls philosophy music by excellence, he elsewhere denominates a complete government—the most perfect tragedy. *De Legib. Lib 7.*

He here considers the statesman as the colleague and fellow-labourer of the tragic poet. Would you, for this reason, reckon the ancient governments among the pieces of the theatre, and place the great statesmen of antiquity, such as Solon, Pericles, or Lycurgus, in the list of tragic poets? It appears, from a pas-

sage of Phedon, that not poetry in general, but solely the mechanical art of versification, was comprised in music: for how could Socrates imagine himself fulfilling the order he had received in a dream, by simply putting the Fables of Æsop into verse, which, existing from a long time, were also well known to all Greece?

I beseech you, my dear friend, not to consider it as an absolutely useless digression, if, in the transition from one branch of the art of gesture and theatrical action to the other, I speak of the idea which the ancients entertained of music. I foresee that, in the number of researches we have yet to make, it will be very advantageous to generalise our reflections, and to transport them from the too circumscribed field of this art into the more extended *plain of music*.

Browne regrets the separation of the different energetic arts in their exercise: for my own part, I do not less regret their separation from that general idea which embraced them all.

If the first of these abstractions has injured the effects of these arts, their theory has lost infinitely by the second. What is to follow will prove, I trust, that all the arts are really

founded on the same general ideas, and that they have all the same rule. You may already perceive the truth of this principle, if you wish to apply the principles of the arts of gesture and theatrical action to the art of declamation, which is intimately combined and connected with it. I call those *energetic arts*, which act successively and by degrees upon the soul, such as song, declamation, pantomime &c; and I give the name of *sensible arts* to those of which the effects are made perceptible on the spot, as painting, sculpture, &c.

You are right when you observe, that, to be in a proper state to appreciate the resemblance which exists between the fundamental ideas of the art of gesture and that of declamation, it would at least be necessary to have a sample of the latter. But would you be really puzzled to attain this? Do you not know any of those numerous works composed by authors ancient and modern upon this theory? Can I presume that you are ignorant of the existence of a Cicero, a Quintilian, and, above all, the famous Stagirite, whose works were the guide of these two celebrated Romans?

In truth, the latter, according to his common custom, passes very lightly over this

matter; instead of the theory itself, he only scatters the germ, of which the developement may afford all we seek: each future plant is contained in its organised seed; and if this great man does not himself developé the matter we are here speaking upon, the too great richness of his fancy is undoubtedly the cause. Like parent Nature, who, in the immensity of the different modifications of matter, can neither follow all her productions, nor bring them to equal perfection—so this great man has not been able to adhere to each idea which his sublime and fertile mind had suggested to him.

Aristotle says, that many authors, and, among others, Glaucus of Teos, in Ionia, have shewn how pieces of poetry ought to be declaimed, but that no one had mentioned the art of oratorical declamation.

"This last art," continues he, "depends upon the voice, for the knowledge of each expression of particular passion: for example—when it is necessary to raise or to sink it, or to speak in the ordinary tone. The same thing holds good with respect to the different tones, which are the sharp, the grave, and the middle; and equally with respect to the number, to the

end that they may be well directed in each particular movement*

I presume that the mode of rendering this passage of Aristotle, in translating it, and commenting on it at the same time, will not displease you I wish to leave you to judge, if there would not be a method of bringing back to the three points indicated by this philosopher, that which Cicero calls “*Plura ab his delapsa genera—the laxe, asperum,*” &c In the explication of the second point, I discard, in truth, the opinion of the commentators, and, I believe, with reason, since it is impossible that the argument here can be the manner of accenting the syllables solely The philosopher does not speak of the art of good reading but of that of good declamation, with the expression suitable to each passion, and although this last talent always presupposes the former, the one may nevertheless exist without the other There are many orators and actors who are scarcely deficient as to the

* Εἰ δὲ αὐτῷ μήπερ (ἢ εὐθέως σε) εἴ τινας γένονται πάντες αὐτῷ δέ χρησθεῖσί τοις στοιχοῖς οὐ ποτε μηδεὶς καὶ ποτε μηδεὶς καὶ ποτε μηδεὶς Καὶ τοις τούτοις τοις ξεῖναι καὶ βιβλεῖς καὶ μηδεὶς καὶ συζητεῖς τοις τοις ξεῖναις Τρεῖς γαρ τοις τοις μηδεὶς ποτε μηδεὶς ποτε μηδεὶς καὶ συζητεῖς Rhetor L. 3 Edit Lips p 182

proper accents of words and syllables, but very often in that which suits the actual passion of the soul. In the mean time place the veritable method of declamation (it imports not what passion it is needful to express) under the three points of view established by Aristotle; and, in developing the motives which modify the shades of the accent of each passion; in rendering this accent sharp or grave, rapid or slow, sonorous or deaf, &c. you will always fall, as in the art of gesture, upon the analogy, upon the intention or the design, and upon the change of the state of the body. The slow progression of the ideas, which in admiration stops itself at each circumstance, and renders the attitude and gesture proper to this affection so solemn, impresses the same shades to each tone, in making similar words and syllables follow and connect with each other.

In joy, the progression of ideas is quick and animated, but always light and soft; and, conformably to this analogy, while joy breaks out in words, while its language is full of mirth and grace, choler has a short respiration, on account of the interior trouble it excites. The savage and untameable character of this passion betrays itself even by stammering when it

acquires vivacity, and by an absolute silence when it is arrived at its highest pitch.

In the first case, the soul, launched out with too much vehemence, can no longer return back, to supply all which is intermediate between the expressed idea and that which already has offered itself to the thought. In the other, the soul entirely despairs of transforming the abundance of its ideas into words, or to be able to follow their unmeasured celebrity with the voice. The analogy of the succession of the ideas serves likewise to afford reason for the choice of particular sounds — You will find, that in admiration the tone is never sharp, but always grave—why?—because it only develops its ideas very slowly. You will smile at this idea; but try if, in generalising it, you may not apply it to all the passions

Choler, which in speech rolls like an impetuous torrent, often bewilders itself in sharp and whistling tones, and takes the highest notes, precisely when fury renders it most dangerous or most disposed to an attack; and when it seeks to humiliate an adversary by contempt—how many times does the voice fail in the midst of those cries which ought to be uttered with the greatest vehemence?

On the contrary, the smile of a soft joy will be light, agreeable, and brilliant. This affection constantly modulates between sharp and shrill tones, because the march of ideas is rapid and animated, but not savage and impetuous. It has the power of elevating and depressing the tone according to the different degrees of its vivacity, without ever giving into the piercing shriekings of choler, nor into the grave and solemn intonations of admiration. Equally distant from the two extremes, the voice always balances itself in the medium of its force and this is precisely the reason why the expression of no other passion is so sonorous, so agreeable, so full of grace, for the middle and moderate tones have more of beauty and concord, although the bad taste of our modern connoisseurs and virtuosos, who use every effort to do away this impression, tends to prove to the contrary.

To these remarks, others might be subjoined, which are intimately connected with them, and which concern certain modifications of the voice, purposely made, whether we wish to afford assistance to the mind, or whether the intention be to smother, excite, or moderate the affections. He who mutters to himself, or recites

to others an important and difficult thought, will carefully and more precisely examine and appreciate it, he will give it, not only a slow and distinct phraseology, but he will also make use of a more grave tone, because, accordingly to the manner in which he is affected, a similar intonation awakens and fixes the attention, and disposes the soul to that calm tranquillity, to that moderate progression of ideas, which so well second *research*, and the most perfect ascertainment of *truth*. Another which accumulates ideas to aggrandise the sentiment of respect, and dispose the soul to adore the object of its veneration with more fervour and humility, will lower the tone at each word, whilst that which wishes to reinforce the affections, (such, for example, as terror, joy, or choler) will successively elevate the voice. I must here just remark, that the passions, in general, have each their proper gradation, which does not simply consist in elevating or reinforcing the voice, but in the *roundness*, the most perfect manner of rendering the particular tone which appertains to each. He who proposes to appease a man enflamed with choler, that is to say, to alter the rough and impetuous march of his ideas, to a more slow and

moderate progression, will guard against taking too elevated a tone, or speaking with too much vivacity, or in a boisterous way, for if he should so act, whatever might be the nature of his remonstrances, far from producing the least effect, the disagreeable impressions which would result from it on the organs of the choleric man, would tend more to accelerate than to moderate the march of his ideas, or give them a different direction. The well known pitch pipe of C Gracchus, perhaps, less indicated the proper intonation for the moment, than it guaranteed him from extremes it moderated the fire of the orator by its grave sounds, and by its sharp notes it roused him when he grew flat and apid

By examples, taken from many passions, it would be very easy to prove to you the fecundity of the principle of analogy. It would not be less easy to convince you, that, at each small modification of an affection, as at each admixture of one affection with another, the tone of the voice likewise experiences a change for example, that veneration, when it ceases to be a pure admiration of moral perfections, and is mingled with fear and shame, loses the gravity, roundness, and equality of tone, that the

respiration instantly becomes difficult, and that the phrases are consequently shorter and less connected, &c. But I shall confine myself to the indication of the rout which you may be able to follow in your own proper researches, and it will suffice me to have solely proved to you, by some examples, the possibility of establishing a general theory of the energetic arts.

You will find, perhaps, that what I have referred to analogy, may equally be derived, in part, or totally, from physiological causes. In effect, the dilation of the organ of voice can explain the grave tone of admiration, and the contraction of the throat, caused by the blood, pushed with violence into the adjacent vessels, the sharp and cutting tone of choleric. You would then have a new resemblance between the art of gesture and the theory of declamation, but of which the effect would not be an agreeable one. I mean to say, that resemblance, which, by the number of phenomena, makes it difficult for us to decide if we ought rather to derive it from one source or from another: for the rest, we shall generally do best in adhering to that which will explain the thing with the greatest perspicuity. This advantage, in my opinion, appertains to the analogy rela-

tiye to the phenomena reported above, and to others which resemble them. For the rest, it is not difficult to reserve the other modifications of the voice for physiological causes: for examples of this, I shall quote the smothered voice of fury, the profound sighs of sadness and of love, the trembling voice, sobbing and interrupted, of the dejection of grief. I ought still to make a passing remark, i.e. that the elevation of the voice, which ordinarily accompanies the last words of a question, is founded upon an intention or a design with motive. In discourse, there is always something similar to the tones of a chant. After many modulations, the ear is dissatisfied, unless the voice should fall back into this fundamental tone. He who puts a question, by terminating it in a different tone, forces (as one may say) the listener to terminate the incomplete music by his own *reply*: thus appeasing his wounded ears, hurt by the antimelodious suspension, at the same time that he is satisfying the curiosity of his interrogator.

To judge by these rules, so easy and so simple in appearance, you would not believe how much of abstracted matter they contain, so curious; and so complicated, that it is with

nizable • give a soft curve to the right line, described by the arms, and, spite of this change, these will remain extended, ~~if one~~ of the feet is more fixed on the earth, the other less elevated, and more near the former, the body will not the less exalt itself, and the step will be still light and floating If the eye contract, and the mouth close a little, if the eye be brilliant, and the mouth more gently respire, the eyes will not be less open, the look will not be less lively, or the respiration less full*

Make here a second and more considerable change sink the arms lower on each side, give less force to the muscles, so that the figure may insensibly elevate itself, place the two feet lightly upon the earth, and make the edge of the teeth visible, by a feeble and fugitive motion of the mouth, and you will yet have more than the expression of simple contentment it is joy—but joy either dawning or departing—in that point of view, where it is equal, ready to elevate itself to a superior degree, or to sink into a perfect calm*

Weaken the expression of the other affections in the same manner for example, that of



Tranquill Joy

Presented to Richard Phillips New Bridge-Ridge.

or a recital of daily events, composed in lyrical metre, of a characteristical cadence and harmony? We reject similar productions as displaced, as out of nature.

Formerly, whilst history was the tradition of grand events and glorious facts, which servid imagination and patriotic ardour endeavoured to immortalise; whilst philosophy was confined to bold fictions, upon the origin of the Gods, and the formation of the world, the one and the other might easily ally themselves to poetry, and become borrowers of all its finest ornaments: but when the Muse of history sunk to a calm and impartial recital, and philosophy began to occupy itself with cold and abstracted researches, then Herodotus in the one, and Pherecydes in the other, followed the impression of the good taste which induced them to prefer prose.

Yet even the prosaic tone of Pherecydes would become false, if, every time he reasons on an object, he did not elevate his style till he approached towards the majestic rhythm and the proud number of the inspired orator, since the thing is the same in the number of prose as in the metre of verse; for instance, would it not be false and ridiculous, if a letter of friend-

difficulty language supplies me with the I stand in need of. I am, indeed, already p zled, how to express my ideas in a man clear, striking, and intuitive.

Withdraw, I pray, for a moment, your atten tion from the play of the gestures, and fix it upon the *rhythms* of discourse: you will re mark three different kinds of it. We find an infinity of intermediate degrees where the ordinary language more or less approaches ele vated declamation, as the latter approaches to the chaunt.

Each of these diverse species I have just been indicating, has its determinate employ: the metre is only proper in certain cases; for there are some where it would be displaced. In some situations of the soul it serves to rein force the effect of the expression, which it would destroy or weaken in others.

What would be the calm and reflective dis cussion of a thinker on the cold recital of an historian in verse? What would become of a slight dialogue, passing successively from one sentiment to another, in a feeble and superfi cial tone, if it were reduced to strophes and anti strophes? What, finally, would be a discourse; although full of energy, dictated by friendship,

or a recital of daily events, composed in lyrical metre, of a characteristical cadence and harmony? We reject similar productions as displaced, as out of nature.

Formerly, whilst history was the tradition of grand events and glorious facts, which servid imagination and patriotic ardour endeavoured to immortalise; whilst philosophy was confined to bold fictions, upon the origin of the Gods, and the formation of the world, the one and the other might easily ally themselves to poetry, and become borrowers of all its finest ornaments: but when the Muse of history sunk to a calm and impartial recital, and philosophy began to occupy itself with cold and abstracted researches, then Herodotus in the one, and Pherecydes in the other, followed the impression of the good taste which induced them to prefer prose.

Yet even the prosaic tone of Pherecydes would become false, if, every time he reasons on an object, he did not elevate his style till he approached towards the majestic rhythm and the proud number of the inspired orator, since the thing is the same in the number of prose as in the metre of verse; for instance, would it not be false and ridiculous, if a letter of friend-

difficulty language supplies me with the terms I stand in need of I am, indeed, already puzzled, how to express my ideas in a manner clear, striking, and intuitive

Withdraw, I pray, for a moment, your attention from the play of the gestures, and fix it upon the *rhythms of discourse* you will remark three different kinds of it We find an infinity of intermediate degrees where the ordinary language more or less approaches elevated declamation, as the latter approaches to the chant

Each of these diverse species I have just been indicating, has its determinate employ the metre is only proper in certain cases, for there are some where it would be displaced In some situations of the soul it serves to reinforce the effect of the expression, which it would destroy or weaken in others

What would be the calm and reflective discussion of a thinker on the cold recital of an historian in verse? What would become of a slight dialogue, passing successively from one sentiment to another, in a feeble and superficial tone, if it were reduced to strophes and antistrophes? What, finally, would be a discourse, although full of energy, dictated by friendship,

greater, when the personage, without having often re-read, or written the letter himself, receives it only. Notwithstanding, this circumstance would not justify a letter in chaunt, since it then ceases to be so, and becomes a song, an elegy, a romance, addressed to a determinate personage. In the mean time, my friend, let us return from this apparent digression to the veritable object now in question.

The play of gesture has the same species, or, if you like it better, the same degrees we have distinguished above, in number and in declamation; all the expressions of the different situations of the soul, which we have learned to know, elevate themselves by innumerable degrees, from their origin, from the first suspicion of an affection, unto its entire developement. You will, without doubt, recall the sketch I traced you of joy under the appearance of rapture. Examine once more the eye open and smiling, the arms extended to their full length, and the figure elevated upon the point of the foot, and floating, as it were in the air, &c and you will have the most decided, most complete expression of this affection { an expression you may re-~~member~~, more than once without destroying it, or even without making it no longer cog-

ship or business should assume the soft and languishing style of the Elegies of Tibullus? A similar letter ought doubtless to have a certain character of tenderness and amiability, the number and harmony ought equally to correspond with the nature of the predominant sentiment, but it is not necessary that it should be written with those sensibly noted cadences, with those soft and carefully-selected measures, which constitute the charm of the prose of Gessner without something above the vulgar, the style would be weary, stale, flat, and unprofitable.

The application of this remark to the different kinds of declamation makes *itself*, the song full of sentiment, whatever be its character, ought not to be recited, but should be chaunted. When even declaimed with the most exact expression, it would avail but little in my opinion for we can only feel the full charm of it, whilst the simple sound becomes the musical tone, and when the undecided rhythm is subjected by the measure.

But where is the man who could bear to hear, without laughing (at least the first time) a letter chaunted—such as was the case in the ancient French opera? The ridiculous becomes



Tranquill Joy

Printed for Richard Dods, New Bridge-Street.



Duelude

Printed for Richard Phillips - Bridge St., 1837.



John H. Long

For the Massapequa River

choler, which grinds the teeth, and whose fury can hardly be restrained within any due bounds*: or that of the most profound melancholy, which, fixing its eyes on the earth, is sometimes immovable, and at others slowly dragging along its heavy pace. Here, as before, retain the species, but not the total force of the expression: let the one cast his arms less before, and let the fist be closed, and the muscles firmly stretched. Let the head of the other be less elevated, the arms more crossed, and his hands hid in his habits, but less towards the superior part of the bosom, and I think you will have a number of examples sufficiently large to enable you to raise in the general the differences which present themselves to my thought†. I mean to say, the difference which there is between the expression entirely dreaded, achieved, and supported, and that which is less complete, less fixed, and susceptible of superior degrees: so that, from this reason, it may vanish more easily, adopt other shades, mingle and transform itself into expressions of a different nature.

* See Plate.

† See Plate.

The art of gesture has its lyrical productions, which excite enthusiasm, in which it elevates itself to the highest degree of perfection, in choosing movements the most complete, and best pronounced, so as to correspond completely with the character of each passion. In this case, this art has the syllables and determined number of metre; it is (as I may say) a music for the organ of sight, as the other is a dance for the ear. Represent to yourself, in the mean time, a dancer executing a pantomime with mien and attitudes more superficial and less decided, or with the incoherent and negligent movements of the actor, and he will produce the same effect upon you as a poet composing stupid and prosaic odes. The movements of such a pantomime will appear indolent to you ; its expressions will be without either soul or energy. You require that he should represent with earnestness the affections with which he is supposed to be animated : you wish it, in *joy*, to be lively, light, sparkling ; that he should almost float in the air, and apparently only touch the earth as he bounds along : in the full expression and delicious raptures of love, you desire that his look should be soft and tender ; that the passion

which carries him towards the beloved object should be mingled with a voluptuous languor, and that he should embrace that object with ecstasy If it is the sentiment of pride which predominates in his mind, you demand that he should elevate himself with grandeur, darting around him looks of personal satisfaction, and that the contempt he entertains for another should make itself known by his grave pace, so measured as to make him occupy a greater space of ground as he moves majestically along, in such a manner as to compel you to lavish applauses on the pantomime, so much more lively, as he shall the more exceed your expectation in the attempt Notwithstanding you require that the laws of beauty and propriety should not be violated, it is necessary for the body to elevate itself lightly, and without stiffness the arms ought to spread from the right line you wish that the opening of the eye and the mouth should not present the grimaces of an absurd mask the languor of love ought not to degenerate into idiotism, nor its ecstasy to run into distortions

You desire that contempt should not be pushed to a *real* disgust—but, *rightly governed*, the expression, carried to enthusiasm, would

generally obtain your suffrage. In subjects which are not lyrical, that is to say, where the chaunt is not employed, but solely a more sustained declamation, as in the gesture of the impassioned orator, or the inspired reader, you would disapprove, as misplaced and unnatural, those too determined motions, which belong uniquely to the pantomime dancer. Notwithstanding it is permitted the orator and the rhapsodist to approach the more decided and complete shades of expression, accordingly as their discourses are more or less impassioned, their attitudes and their motions may be more developed, more sounded, and more sustained, than those of the simple comedian, for he is absolutely confined to a free and light play, which from time to time, may rapidly approach the full and entire expression of the affections, without its being permitted to be carried to the *highest point*. In truth, there may be characters, where the actor, by turns, becomes lyrical poet, orator, and rhapsodist, and that, during these passages, he also borrows their play but, during dialogue, properly so called, in the march of the essential action, his play ought to be easy, light, and natural he ought ever to confine himself to carrying the expres-

sion solely to a certain degree, and to indicate it simply, without imitating the orator in his movements, and still less the pantomime actor.

The parallel I have been establishing between the play of gesture and the number of discourse and declamation, may have made you guess that I am not partial to pieces of the theatre written in verse. I am conscious that I have here many great examples against me—the judgment of whole nations, and the critiques of very many literary men; but the greatest portion of the nation to which I have the honour to belong is on my side.

Tragedy in verse has long been disused in this country. we are disgusted with those swelling declamations and monotonous tirades, which are inseparable from versification, and in which the poet is perpetually shining, at the expence of truth, of the interest and the progress of the action. We do not more approve that bombastic and unnatural play, which is the general consequence of the poetical and oratorical développement of the sentiments.

We have not yet been able to manifest our opinion in this respect, and to justify the preference we give to prose. It will not suffice to declaim against that which is false, inflated,

and contrary to nature. This is not a demonstration, but a problem, which remains to be demonstrated. Nevertheless, in my opinion, this demonstration appears very possible to me, in further developing the nature of the drama, and in comparing it with that of metre.

LETTER XXX.

ON THE DIFFERENT NATIONAL THEATRES—PREDILECTION FOR THE ANCIENTS—JUDGMENT OF ARISTOPHANES—DIDEROT—THE DRAMATIC WORKS OF DRYDEN.

DO not tax me with injustice towards the French, nor with prejudice for the English theatre: in truth, I do not merit either the one or the other of these reproaches. I grant that we have bombast and exaggeration in *London*, as well as in *Paris*; but faults will still be faults, whether committed by a few or by a number of personages; and if one man plays ill at *Paris*, it will not justify another for committing the same error in *London*. You are impatient to know what grounds I proceed upon, in deriving the proscription of versification from the theatre. In your mind, this literary dispute is exhausted: nevertheless you seem desirous that I should enter the lists.

One of the principal arguments resorted to by the partizans of versification, is the example

forming any judgment upon the subject) are also the most perfect

The dramatic poem was the only one which, among the Greeks, did not follow the same march as their other poetical productions. It did not develope of itself with unshackled liberty. Grafted, from its origin, upon the lyrical species, it borrowed from it its forms and manners, so that a kind of foreign taste ensued, which in truth lost itself a little in the course of time, but never entirely. This circumstance, which accompanied the birth of the theatre, was, without doubt the reason why Eschylus is not elevated to the height that Sophocles attained by marching in his track. Besides, in the first works of the Greeks, their language was too florid, too epic, and too exalted, consequently its prosody, too unequal, and too lyrical, could but ill adapt itself to ordinary dialogue. It was necessary then that the Greeks should long and laboriously search after that perfection they did not find at first. They *corrected* incessantly, until they had substituted a diction more simple and less overcharged to the epic style. According to the testimony of Aristotle, the advantage of the Iambic verse con-

sisted in its nearer approach to prose.—(101.
See *Aristot. Rhet. L. 3. c. 3.*)

Following the sentiment of this philosopher, it is *prose* which is best adapted to a dramatic poem. If the Greeks had continued to improve their theatre, we may rationally suppose that, in preferring better to good, they would have substituted *prose*, even to a more prosaic *métre*. But they were governed by a prejudice, equal to that which still retains our neighbours in subjection. No one had courage to banish versification totally from the scene which it had taken such strong possession of. Besides, it appeared to them that Sophocles had carried the art to the very highest degree of perfection, and that, after his sublime works, the attempt at producing any thing better was an impossibility.

Aristótle himself says, *De Poet. I.* “That, after many changes and revolutions, Tragedy reposed herself, when she possessed all which was proper for her.”—Nevertheless we must not silently pass over one important circumstance, which would not without difficulty have permitted the Greek poets the introduction of prose in their theatrical works. The question relates to the extraordinary grandeur of their theatres,

of the Greek and Roman authors. I am as far as any man from treating this just predilection for the ancients as a prejudice, notwithstanding I think that they may have their defaults in regard to versification. If the Greeks have been so perfect in each kind of poetry; if they appear so imitable, it is because they were *creators*. Affectation and research of new beauties, which, in *general*, can neither conciliate themselves with the nature of the progression of ideas, nor with the form and the effect proposed to be produced; nor, particularly, with the motive of the subject chosen, are the sole causes which hurt the species, and the particular production in each species. But this affectation is the work of imitators, who spoil all the kinds, wishing to become creators in their turns; and, to give themselves an air of originality, they strive to surpass their predecessors. In treating the particular subject of a species, they unnaturalize it; because, marching with a servile fear upon the steps of their predecessors and models, they take the slightest wandering for a gross fault. True genius is distracted, dazzled, or bewildered, in false routes, by the at-

ention bestowed on grand models, if its predecessors have transmitted any.

Nothing of this kind held good with the Greeks, who were the *inventors* of all the arts. As such they were originals, even in creating the species in all their truth and simplicity. They did not seek to re-unite perfections, incompatible among themselves, and consequently they could neither fail of an effect, or produce one less complete than another. Their genius and sensibility gave themselves totally up to their object, without respect to any model, for they had none; and, far from wishing to add foreign beauties, they contented themselves in developing their native powers. Their work took all the forms of which it was susceptible, and thus they approached Nature, in the perfection of her productions, because they imitated the simplicity, the liberty, and the vigour of her mode of operation. This advantage disappeared, in posterior times, from the moment there were models to follow, and certain ideas of effect and perfection had been adopted. From thence it perhaps comes, that the first works of the Greeks (at least as far as we are capable of

and the immense concourse of spectators. I shall cite a curious passage from a learned and ingenious Frenchman.

" Is it not very possible," says he, " that the great quantity of spectators it was necessary to be heard by, in spite of the confused murmur in which they excited, even in moments of attention, occasioned the elevation of the voice, the detachment of the syllables, and the support of the pronunciation, by the aid of versé?—thus making the utility of Horace's observation experimentally known."

" *Vincentem strepitus, et natum rebus agendis.*"

Ad. Pisoner, v. 82.

" It was commodious for the intrigue, and made itself audible through noise and tumult. But, was it not also a necessary consequence, that this exaggeration should extend itself, at the same time, and from the same cause, over the gait, the gestures, and all the other parts of action? whether poetry gave birth to theatrical declamation, that the necessity of this declamation sustained and introduced poetry and its emphasis upon the scene, or that this system, formed by little and little, had

lasted, by the convenience of its parts, it is certain that all which is enormous in the dramatic art produced itself, and disappeared at the same time? *Diderot.*

In the mean time, adopt that of these species of representations which shall most please you : that of Diderot or mine. The argument founded upon the example of the ancients, will not be less weakened in either case. If the ancients had not attained the ideal perfection of the scene, it would follow that we ought to aim at surpassing, rather than equalling them ; and if with them the versification was connected with certain foreign circumstances, it is necessary that one should cease the moment that the others no longer exist ; for it would be folly to preserve a thing which might be as well dispensed with, and while the necessity which gave rise to its invention exists no longer. If, by a natural consequence, we suppose that the theatrical play of the ancients was analogous to the rest of their dramatic system, and took a character more elevated and pompous, it neither ought nor can hold good, with respect to the modern actors. It

is very easy for you to comprehend the advantages of these arguments. In truth, nothing is yet proved in favour of prose but we may as fairly aver, that nothing has hitherto been proved against it, so that the two parties remain just as they were, if those who plead the cause of versification were not precisely attached to the overthrow of the principal argument alledged in favour of prose. It is now above forty years since a German writer avowed himself an advocate against the use of versification, which he considered as improbable and unnatural "For," said he, "those men who develope their thoughts without preparation, cannot amuse themselves with reckoning up syllables, and methodically disposing their discourse according to such or such a *measure*. In a word, it is impossible for them to discourse in verse without losing sight of nature and probability." A friend of the above writer *defends* the use of verse with great vivacity—he acknowledges the improbability, but stoutly denies that a comedy in verse is inferior to one written in prose, because there does not exist any production of art, whatever be its kind, which does not offend against probability in some way or other "Comedy itself, with-

out speaking of versification (says he) has other faults of that nature, which we do not simply tolerate, but expressly demand. No one requires a strict and scrupulous imitation of nature; good taste would be wounded by it. It is a general rule for each artist not to render his work so perfect a resemblance to his original, that it cannot be distinguished from it by some mark or other. Now, in comedy, versification is precisely the most happy mode that can be employed to distinguish the *imitation* of the events of life from the actual reality of such occurrences. By versification we distinguish the copy from the original, and this method serves to flatter the ear in the most agreeable manner."

None of the posterior apologists of versification have given reasons preferable to those I have just been quoting. Those of Hurd have neither so much weight or delicacy; so that I do not recollect any one who has combated them in a victorious way. On the contrary, the reasonings of the critics seem to be as favourable to the cause of versification, as the sentiments of the amateurs of the drama appear to be on the other side of the question.

If prose, by hazard, sometimes obtain the pre-

ference, it is solely because much more perfection is looked for in the style of the poet than in that of the prosaic author ; that the perfections of the drama ally themselves with difficulty to a flowing, easy versification, and, after all, the simple pleasure of the ear neither merits the sacrifice of the sublime beauties, nor the infinite pains it costs the poet to express them in fine verses . You see that this reasoning assumes all the advantages to versification But is the cause of prose really so weak that we must yield to arguments like these ? -The plays of Dryden, are mostly written in verse, and contain many undoubted beauties, but let any candid reader take them up, and, after an impartial perusal, give an unbiased opinion he will then, most probably, declare that the necessity of adhering to metrical precision has compelled the author into that bombast, and not unfrequently ludicrous style, which provokes laughter instead of pathos and sublimity Shakespeare is never so little effective as when he jingles in rhyme—he was the Heaven fired bard of nature, and when he left her track, he was shackled and perplexed Had verse been *natural* to the drama, the

LETTER XXXI.

ON VERIFICATION—DESCRIPTIVE POETRY—DRAMATIC POETRY—REMARKS THEREON.

THE poet does not imitate for the sole pleasure of imitating; the first merit of his work does not essentially consist in the most perfect resemblance to nature, but in the greatest effect it is capable of producing: and, not to fail of this effect, it is permitted him to wander from the original in his imitation. Here we are of one mind: we wish every thing to be retrenched which can either hurt or weaken the effect, and all to be superadded which can favour or augment it. But I am apprehensive lest we should often have too general an idea of this effect, and that a number of things should appear more indifferent and more insignificant than they really are. Every poet has the hope of giving pleasure for his end. But how infinitely may the kinds of this pleasure be multiplied? That which is fit for the one is

often incompatible with the other. The seasoning which gives a zest to one dish will often render another insipid: the beauties generally compatible with the idea of a poem, may nevertheless be contradictory to a particular species of poesy, where the pleasure of the soul ought to be the result of a determinate kind of occupation. Thus every thing which destroys this ought necessarily to destroy the other. Let us not, therefore, be eager to conclude, that all poems must be written in verse, because the principal aim of the poet is to please; and that the effect of versification consists in producing pleasure. Let us rather previously enquire, if versification have not some particular property which constrains one agreeable occupation of the soul, as much as it facilitates another. Versification is not as it is generally believed a thing of pure agreement; it must not be considered simply as a pleasing organ, of which the full, pure, and round tone, renders the pronunciation more clear and more sonorous, and which becomes valuable, for this reason, to all sorts of declamation. Versification of itself disposes to declamation; it favours and invites the employment of it; it gives more of character, more of energy to the discourse, and

serves, in the mean time, as a mode to elicit the sense and sentiment in a manner more brilliant and more striking: every metre is an imitation of a characteristical progress of ideas, with their developement. It answers, then, to a certain particular species of sentiment and situation of soul, in always preserving its proper character, now more concealed, and now more strongly expressed: in one of these metres adopted by poetry, we cannot mistake gentleness, softness and languor. One or two passions are full of fire and energy, whilst a third is distinguished by a tone majestic and severe: this is rapid and unequal, the other slow and dragging; while one exalts, the other depresses the soul. In one word, their movements are equally hurried, soft, or pompous, according to their respective properties. For this reason, the choice of metre cannot be a thing indifferent to the poet, who ought, carefully, to select it according to the effect he wishes to produce, and his work will, more or less, fall short of its effect, as this selection happens to be lucky or unfortunate.

In the mean time, figure to yourself a metre composed entirely of measures, perhaps of uniform rhymes, and you will not be long in

acknowledging that their employ can contribute insipitely in augmenting the effect of a lyrical poem, or of a didactic or descriptive kind. But would the same thing hold good in a dramatic work? A single sentiment predominates in the soul of the lyrical poet, whether this sentiment be of joy, love, or pride. In a word, one of those affections of which the progression is equal, regular, and uniform. What means can the poet employ more natural and more calculated to produce the same sentiment in the soul of the auditor, than that of a suite of syllables of an uniform measure, and rigorously adapted to the progression of this sentiment? In verses of equal measure, or followed regularly by a shorter verse, the cadence of which exhausts, without effort, the hitherto sustained respiration, (the indolence and softness of the trochee) will best suit the soft sentiment which predominates in the languishing strain of the elegiac poet: a sentiment which, from its beginning to its end, develops itself in a slow and uniform manner, without sudden wanderings and unexpected transitions.

Impressions analogous to the march of ideas produced by the poet upon the senses of the

auditor will then determine in his soul an equal succession of the same ideas. In descriptive poesy, when the artist poet after having considered his subject under all its different aspects, preserves an impression as lively as durable, of astonishment, or of a sympathetic charm—then how much may a well chosen and well wrought measure augment and heighten the effect of the picture? And in the didactic poem, when the indignation with which the poet pursues the vices entirely fills, at the same time that the sentiment of the grandeur, the importance and sublime character of the truths which he announces, directs all his faculties to one single object, the choice of proper metre cannot fail of giving a double energy to his labours.

If, in the one or the other kind of these poems, certain gradations present themselves,—slight admixtures of foreign sentiments, or digressions, interesting to be employed, it is easy to make use of them with effect, whether by the measure and harmony of proper words, well-chosen phrases, the skilful distribution of the cesura, by little irregularities, managed with art and design, or by a different arrangement of the periods. I grant that it is neither natural,

nor probable, that a heart full of any sentiment whatsoever, or that a mind occupied in researches after important truths, should attach itself with so much attention to the mechanical parts of speech. Nevertheless it must, at the same time, be confessed, that the happy employment of this very measure (provided that the labour be artfully and gracefully hid) infinitely contributes to augment the effect, and to produce effect is the first concern of the poet.

The dramatic writer finds himself in a very different position. Let any one endeavour to discover the essential properties of this species of 'poesy', and he will instantly acknowledge that in tragedy, as well as in comedy, the soul ought not to be affected with *one*, but with *many* sentiments, the variety of which, managed by happy transitions and oppositions, makes the whole beauty of dramatic composition, at the same time that it ensures their effect. But if this be the good the dramatic author ought to propose to himself, it remains to know if it be advantageous to him to subject himself to an invariable metre during the whole course of his work.

The ancients, who appear to have understood

this matter better than the moderns, took care not to employ a regular *mêtre* in their pieces : they varied it, without any hesitation, every where where the change of the nature of a passion seemed to require it.

Quintilian blames Terence for not having constantly employed the iambic verse of six feet :

"*In comœdia maxime claudicamus... licet Terentii scripta ad Scipionem Africanum referantur : quæ tamen sunt in hoc genere elegan- tissima, & plus adhuc habitura gratiæ, si inter versos trimetros stetissent.*"

But with what bitter raillery has Bentley treated this critique :

"*Mirificum sane magni rhetoris judicium ! Crederes profecto, hominem nunquam scenam vidisse, nunquam comœdum partes suas agen- tem spectavisse. Quid voluit ? Quod nec Menander nec ullus Græcorum fecit, Terentius ut faceret ? Ut *Ira*, *metus*, *exultatio*, *dolor*, *gaudium*, & *quietæ res et turbatæ*, eodem metro lente agerentur ? Ut tibicen paribus tonis,*

perpetuoque cantico spectantium aures vel de-lassaret v'loffenderet?" *In Praefat. ad Terent.*

It appears to me, that Quintilian might be justified, though Bentley certainly is right, in maintaining that to subject the expression of so many affections, diametrically opposite to each other, is to sin against probability. I do not deny but that declamation may so diminish this fault, as to render it nearly insensible. I have myself heard several of the actors on the French stage practise this art with very happy success. But, much as I have admired their excellence in this respect, I always thought it a great hardship on them to be compelled to pay attention to words and syllables, when the soul ought to be wholly abandoned to sentiments of grandeur and expansion.

The modern poets, of all the countries of Europe, appear to have paid more respect to the opinions of Quintilian than to the examples set them by Sophocles and Menander.

is not thus in the drama. In the moment of action we also hear the characters themselves speaking, for them the present moment is real — the future uncertain. They present themselves to our regards, in each situation, under the forms proper to their characters, with the most slight modifications of the soul, and with those impressions feeble or fugitive, which, during the developement of an intrigue, the continual re-action of the one upon the other produces alternately, and without any interruption, their sentiment, always conformable to the situation, constantly shews itself just as it is — feeble or impetuous at its birth, imperious in its progress, mastered sometimes, or half extinguished, hid for a moment, to re appear with greater force hereafter. In one word, none of these shades are lost for the spectator, and under his eyes the personages form, abandon, resume, modify, reject, or adopt, the projects which the events, or the circumstances by which these events are accompanied, seem to require or command. All the characteristical traits which distinguish the personages of a dramatic poem from those of every other poem, may be presented to the mind in one single idea, which is that of their actual presence and

eality before our eyes; and it is the imposition of this magic upon our imagination which constitutes the sole effect of the dramatic poem. The pleasure which it ought to cause is visibly founded on this complete knowledge of the manners, intrigue, and catastrophe of an action, the talent of the dramatic poet, in familiarising the spectator with the characters of the personages, who, from one moment to the other, manifest themselves according to their individual qualities, as well as upon the more intimate interest which the spectator takes in the lot of the characters who ought to excite it; an interest which can only have place in all its plenitude, when accompanied with a complete knowledge of all their propensities and most secret sentiments, as also all the details of the situations of their souls, and the state of circumstances, which can have any influence and effect upon them.

This granted, I call to your recollection the rule, that the poet, in general, ought to avoid every thing which can weaken effect, and diligently to cultivate all which can contribute to its success. In making this application to the

dramatic poet, the result will be, that he shquld never substitute any circumstance in his imitation which can hinder the idea of reality, and much less any thing which may dëstroy that idea. All changes which he can permit himself to make, in the subject he treats of, to augment the effect of it, avoid tedious details, give birth to interesting characters and situations, never ought to carry any injury to that illusion, which makes us think that we are really witnesses of the transactions which are represented before us: on the contrary, it is necessary that these changes should always be subordinate to the situation of the moment, to the ideas they are to develope, and to the sentiments and designs which they give birth to. Our soul has in itself a sentiment which is never deceptive; she searches to find her own proper nature in others, and cannot accomplish this metamorphosis but inasmuch as it there recognizes its own essential properties. Complete deviation from that which, according to its sentiment, is uniquely true, necessarily must destroy the impression which the soul should receive: a slighter deviation will render this impression slow, feeble, and confused.' Let

us consequently be cautious of rejecting from the drama every thing which can offer the least contradiction, or the slightest disagreement, with its essence: let us banish from it every thing which the soul could not itself experience, in taking the situation of the personages according to the different positions in which they are placed upon the scene: let us suppress all those sentiments in which its nature refuses to partake, and which give birth to obstacles and difficulties incompatible with the laws which direct the exercise of its proper faculties: in the mean time, you will allow me, my friend, to transform some observations, of which I have great need, into so many questions; for it is only in taking for a judge the interior and incorruptible opinion of each man, exempt from prejudice, that we are able to arrive at the truth of the observations we can make upon what passes in our souls.

Thus do you not find that each degree of sentiment, which ought not to have place at the moment, or perhaps *never*, disgusts and shocks you as much as if you should perceive an effect without a cause? Do you not equally

find that *outré* tones hurt you, when the character and situations of the personages demand a less degree of energy? Now if, in the dramatic poem, moments of disorder are frequently replaced by instants of tranquillity; if, often, things the most cold and indifferent in themselves ought to be said, not by secondary personages, but by the principals of the piece, the necessary effects of each passionate and displaced tone will be to destroy your pleasure, or what amounts to the same, the *illusion*, without which the pleasure cannot take place? Would not too great an equality do injury to the essential merit of dramatic works, to the exact developement, and to the beautiful gradation of the sentiments.

Do you not find that there is never a brisk passage from a state of quietude and indifference to an affection entirely decided; that it is necessary to excite many shocks, and rouse many vibrations, successively more strong, to impress on the soul a movement uniform and determinate, whatever be their kind?

In observing your own-self with a little attention, do you not remark that this determinate march of the ideas only takes place in the

soul, while she embraces, with all possible energy, a single interesting object, and no foreign idea employs its other faculties? That the sentiment cannot fully occupy the soul, when the head is engaged by some project, or while the judgment searches and examines the means of arriving at its ends? These secondary perceptions, diminish the energy of the principal sentiment, in causing a thousand admixtures, a number of deviations, incompatible with that open, frank, and certain progression, which I have made mention of above, every sentiment having an opposite and counteracting force:—As for example, it is impossible to hurry rapidly from the eagerness of choler to the soft languor of love; in like manner as a clouded sky requires time, ere it can assume a pure and cloudless azure; or an enraged sea assume a smooth and glassy surface. Consequently, if we consider the continual mobility of the sentiments in the dramatic poem, every thing which contradicts the regular progression of nature, every sudden and violent transition from one sentiment to another, will impede the effect, and

destroy the illusion, precisely because the spectator will not be able to follow these rapid mutations with equal celerity. I flatter myself that these remarks, and the observations upon which they are founded, will appear striking and true to you. I have also one more to add, which is, that the number of the discourse, and the situation of the soul, are constantly in the most perfect harmony, that a certain determinate metre constantly indicates a certain determinate sentiment, and cannot be in collision with that we propose to indicate or excite, without the sentiment being either weakened or disturbed. Consider this remark, and the question, whether the dramatic poet ought to write in prose or in verse will be decided. If he writes the whole of his piece in verse, he will often shock good taste, in rendering nothing of importance by the shifts of language, a double danger awaits him a discourse too common for verse, or a verse too elevated for common discourse. By the uniformity of his numbers, he will give too decided a determination to his sentiment, and by this means deprive himself of one of the greatest beauties of which dramatic painting

is susceptible, which consists in the delineation of the sentiments, so that they may combine and strengthen others, or diminish and destroy them. If the dramatic poet does not write the whole of his piece in verse, there will always remain an interval between the verse and the prose, and the metre will almost every where give a determination to the march of the ideas; which, in the situation of the moment, the personage cannot have, or at least cannot preserve; a determination which will ever be false, if, in experiencing a sentiment, it is necessary, in the mean time, to weigh means and motives, or conceive and execute plans or schemes. In the midst of the intrigue, in the tumult of the action, at the birth of the sentiments, at the changes, and at their separation, they are merely approximations. If, as we ought, we wish to establish any harmony between the sentiments and the number, the number can only be attained by approaches, which can only be accomplished by a metre unconstrained, and a free, unfettered rythm, a mixture which prose alone can offer. Thus that which I have wished to prove, I mean the

necessity of the employment of prose, is founded on the very idea of a dramatic poem. The reasons which I have given are general, they are equally opposite to a metre uniform and invariable, as to one which is mixed with syllables of an unequal measure. That which I have deduced in detail, in my former letter, more particularly relates to the first of these metres, because a uniformity of syllables cannot be fit for the expression of sentiments, which are constantly varying; and I have alledged against the last, that its hurried transitions would be contrary to the duration, and the successive developement of the ideas each too sudden variation, in a metre once determined, is disagreeable, because it troubles and arrests the soul in its operations. We lose the advantage of anticipating the discourse of the characters, we are deceived, we are lost, we are deprived of that unconstrained freedom, with which we delight to trace and follow the ideas as they present themselves. This is precisely (as I think) the meaning of Quintilian, and it would be thus that I should reply to Bentley, as to the more free metre, which admits not only many cesuras, but several different kinds

.of feet also. I shall first of all ask, how it is to be employed? Would you adopt a metre so little characterized, and which resembles prose as much as the Iambic verse of six feet, and then permit the poet to dispose of his cesuras *ad libitum*; to mix the Iambics with what kind of feet he wishes, even not to heed a few extraordinary feet? It would be only necessary to carry this liberty to a certain degree, and we shall have verses whose metre will be recognised with difficulty, and which only forced corrections, like those of Bentley, would render sensible. Does any one, on the contrary, desire to adhere to a metre which is subjected to a certain number, and a certain quantity of feet? I shall then repeat the same question: how will any one pretend to order it so that it shall not be confounded or mistaken? or, if this is possible, would it not be a useless labour to make verses which should not have a better effect than prose? Let us choose a metre which really has its proprieties, and requires a rigorous management. This metre will always preserve its commanding tone: it will then be necessary to see, whether the effect of the scenic illusion would not be enfeebled by the employment of a similar measure.

That the epic poem may make use of this measure, admits not of a doubt. The action embraced in one point of view, gives an impression determinate and permanent: arising at a certain general tone, it seldom quits it, though, during the several parts of the poem, the shades may be more or less strongly marked; and as the epic poet wishes his auditor to contemplate the subject in the same point of view with himself, as he possesses the right of retrenching every thing which can render his verse cold, of contracting uninteresting details, in changing the dialogues of his characters, &c. an unique and permanent tone may, therefore, be very well calculated for the success of his work. But how can a similar tone be adapted for the exertions of the dramatic poet, since he makes his personages act for themselves, according to their different characters and interests; for whom the *present* alone exists, while the veil which covers the *future* is scarcely uplifted, who can never experience sensations following the impressions which the *aggregate* of the actions shall produce, but simply pursuing the impulsion of the solitary events of their respective situations,

and whom the difference of their characters and their interests do not permit to be equally affected?

After all I have been saying, I mean to conclude, that a dramatic poem in verse is a less perfect work than one written in prose; for if, (according to the best given definition of a poem) the essence consists in the sensible perfection of discourse, doubtless one of the conditions will be, that every thing should be in the most exact accord; and that the number should consequently tally in the most rigid manner to the sense of the words, and the sense of the words to the actual situation of the characters on the stage.

Furthermore, I think we might aver, that it is infinitely less easy to write a piece for the theatre in prose than in verse. He who has made the trial, will more justly appreciate the difficulties it is to overcome in painting an uninterrupted series of sentiments by discourse, so that *each* shall have its just proportion of force, its proper duration, and its essential traits, without the admission of any incoherencies or too rapid transitions: but since a discourse pursued in verse does not resemble ordinary

language, the metre imperceptibly makes us glide over many things contrary to nature. The deficiency of several shades of fine touches, and adroit preparations is hidden by the magic harmony of verse. The language insensibly elevates itself to a more noble tone. As the diction ought to be equal, this extraordinary difficulty which the prose writer must vanquish to find the most true expression, neither too elevated, too strong, too common, nor too weak, entirely disappears with respect to the poet, because he rolls perpetually, in a narrow circle of words, proper to the tone he has chosen, and more, the derangement of the order in which the thoughts develope themselves, cross, destroy, and then re appear with greater verity, is much less sensible in versification than in prose. And this order, which, in all the dramas where it is scrupulously observed, charms the mind as well as the heart of the spectator, is never perfectly seized, but by truly inspired genius. I have too good an opinion of your penetration, my friend, to think it needful to make a detailed application of this reasoning upon *number* to the play of *gesture*.

You doubtless recollect the parallel which I

have established between all the musical arts: thus, 'the general value of my principles will not escape you.' In truth, my limits will not allow me to be more diffuse.

lyrical metre, of which the character is so marked, and the declamation carried to the highest pitch (I mean the *chaunt*), ought to be equally proscribed : but this *chaunt*, which renders the lyrical metre necessary, has so much of softness, it binds the most voluptuous sense of the soul with such delicious fetters, causes it to be affected with such magical sensations, that we pay not the least attention to the defect of harmony which there is between the expression and the real situation of the soul, nor to the effect lyrical, substituted for the effect dramatic. The truth of the action is weakened, and consequently the *effect* is equally so : but what is lost with regard to the soul is regained on another side. Multiplied beauties amply compensate for this deficiency of truth. The very vices of the plan, the incoherency of the events, the faulty expressions of sentiment and number disappear, and the pearls hide the poorness of the thread on which they are ranged, by the potent art of the musician. An effect so powerful cannot be by any means placed in comparison with that which is produced by simple metre. The principal force of this consists in its harmony with the situation of the soul : at every time where this harmony

is deficient, in the dramatic poem, there no longer remains any thing more than that pleasure which a cadence and regular harmonies procure for the organs of *hearing*; and this pleasure is too feeble and too cold to prevent our sense of the least deviation from truth, or to be able to repair its loss. You will doubtless tell me that, notwithstanding this, there are many pieces written in verse, which are extremely affecting, and I willingly agree with you; but I ask you, in my turn, what is the cause of the interest which these pieces inspire? Banish from the opera all that is false, and the effect will be diminished: banish what is false from a declaimed drama, and its effect will be augmented. The rather hazardous opinion, which I have ventured on the subject of the drama of the Greeks, has displeased you, and you have endeavoured to justify its versification, because this drama was a species of opera, and that its sustained declamation bore some resemblance to the chaunt. I confess; that this circumstance ought not to have escaped me: had I made mention of it, I should have expressed myself, perhaps, with ~~more~~ ^{less} ~~less~~ ^{more} circumspection, ^{but} not with more precision than you have done. I should

LETTER XXXIII.

APOLOGY FOR THE CHAUVIN OF THE OPERA—THE GREGIAN DRAMA—OBLIGATION OF THE COMEDIAN TO CONFORM HIMSELF TO THE SPIRIT OF THE POET—ANSWER TO THE QUESTION, WHETHER THE SACRED ORATOR MAY BORROW FROM THE COMEDIAN, AND HOW FAR SUCH STUDIES MAY BE ALLOWED HIM.

ARE the eulogies you lavish on the principles by which I combat the drama written in verse, sincere or ironical? You find much curious matter in these speculations. Provided you do not contest the truth, I consent that you should call them subtle.

I ought to observe that *number* is only a simple supplement added to the general effect of a drama, and that a similar supplement may appear weak, without our being able to deny it any effect whatever. We know that the most strong cord is essentially nothing more than a collection of slight fibres, which an infant may tear in pieces; but that these fibres, combined together, are sufficient to chain a Her-

rules. An attentive examination proves to us that our most energetic sensations, our most lively pleasures, are only the results of trifling circumstances; which, each taken separately, appear feeble and insignificant, but whose activity is, rightly searched, not less real. The fear with which my reasoning against the versification of the drama inspires you, with regard to the opera, explains to me a circumstance which I have not before comprehended: I mean the zeal, I might almost say the passion with which you have pleaded the cause of pantomimical representations. With you, music is the first of the arts, and you openly manifest your contempt of a critique, which, by cold subtleties, would banish this charming art from the scene, and thus exhaust the principal source of your pleasures. Certainly this critique, if such be its intent, is but little indulgent: but are you not too severe, my friend, in suspecting it of so little politeness towards you? Have not many proofs of indulgence towards pantomime been evinced, and ought you to expect the same lenity in favour of the opera? It is true that if less characteristical metres and oratorical declamations ought to be rejected from the drama, by a much stronger reason the

not have refused the Greeks the true idea of dramatic poems, but solely that of the simple drama, which appertains not to any foreign art, and whose effect is produced by its own proper means; and in this manner the proposition which it imported me to establish, remains in all its force, *i. e.* that the example of the Greeks ought to be no model for us; because, versification was founded among this people, on their own particular ideas of the drama, or that the art which they associated to it, rendered this versification necessary, and that, from the moment of their separation, it became not only superfluous, but even hurtful to the effect. You make two objections concerning the too general rule which prescribes the moderation of theatrical action. I do not hesitate to acknowledge the justice of the first: in fact, the actor ought to conform himself to the intention of the author, and when he has written his drama in verse, or, to speak with more precision, has chosen a strongly characteristical metre, and when the entire tone of the diction ought to be elevated with the number, it then, doubtless, becomes necessary, that the play, as well as the language, should swell into propor-

tionate dignity. A French critic (Diderot,) has said, that in acting—

“ Il falloit outrer tout ou rien ”

This may perhaps prove to you, that my attack on versification (sometimes the necessary, and at others the accidental source of error) is not out of place. I have endeavoured to cut up the evil by the root, and I should have given a proof of imprudence had I addressed my counsels to the actors without taking some notice of the poets as I proceeded.

It appears to me that your second remark must be grounded upon some misunderstanding. The observation that, with certain people, that is natural and simple which would appear affected and *outre* with us, is not to the purpose.

Is there not (I shall ask in my turn) among these lively people you may have in view, the least difference between the oratorical gesture, the play of conversation, and the dance? Do we not find some limits between the chaunt, elevated declamation, and the ordinary tone of society?—no separation between verse, majestic rhythm, and the easy and familiar numbers, peculiar to dialogue?

If these differences encounter each other, and, in effect, exist universally, and principally amongst the more polished people, it by no means follows, from your observation, that the play of the drama ought not always to contain itself in certain limits. This only results from it, that the same limits do not constantly serve for the same people; that this play shall have more fire, more energy, among the one, and that it may be more cold, more feeble, and less expressive among others. This conducts us to a fresh remark; *i. e.* that the whole merit of an actor can only be appreciated in his own country: in the midst of those whose manners he imitates, and whose customs he has observed: thus, he can only appear with his true eclat upon his national theatre, and seldom on a foreign one. Thus, as you see, I apply not your remark concerning the true warmth and fiery dispositions of certain people, to that factitious and affected play, which the public of another nation are so partial to. I presume, that you do not pretend to call that play natural which bad taste and bad critics have introduced on some theatres.

I shall terminate this suite of abstracted remarks by adding one to them, which, in truth,

does not arise from an observation of yours, but will, I trust, not displease you. It has been asked, whether it be allowable for the sacred orator to form himself on the modes of the theatre, and if it be allowable in him to adopt the same principles of tone and gesture? Not long ago, this question was debated with considerable warmth. For my own part, I shall answer, that it is, and that it is not, according to circumstances. It is not, inasmuch as the thoughts and the characters of the greater portion of parts cannot, by any means, accord with the thoughts and the character of the sacred orator, and secondly, because the drama and sermon are so widely distinct, that the action proper for one, can rarely be so for the other. The persons of the drama utter thoughts which owe their existence to the situation of the moment. The preacher communicates those to the people which he has had full leisure to class and arrange in his mind. The actors are in a condition of inquietude, real and exterior, uncertain and irresolute, they are agitated by diverse sentiments and by various ideas. The exterior tranquillity of the preacher is not disturbed in any way, he has only one grand, principal, and permanent sentiment, which he

is at liberty to develope at his leisure. In the soliloquy of Hamlet upon suicide, the object is of the most momentous importance; the soul is mounted to a serious tone; this tone, and attitude may assume the greatest dignity. May the sacred orator make use of similiar expressions? Certainly not, because Hamlet, buried in his reflections, is only seriously commencing the examination of the question. In passing from one idea to the other, he loses himself in the labyrinths of doubt, which multiply in his mind; a situation which could never be decent in an orator, encharged with the task of fixing and guiding the judgment of the public.

— When, however, the sentiment is decidedly in favour of virtue, truth, and morality, what should binder the preacher from making the theatre his school, or an accomplished actor his model? To bind down the preacher to a simple motion of his hands, insignificant, and employed by chance, is to rob him of that advantageous power of persuasion, in the most solemn and interesting concern of man's temporal and future felicity, which is allowed to the lawyer at the bar, when defending an action of the most trivial and unimportant nature.

There is one reserve, however, which a

preacher is at all times bound to pay attention to : even when he is most expressive he should be moderate ; when most affecting, he should preserve his dignity, and be particularly cautious of wandering into that extravagance which must ever be disgusting and unbecoming the serious and sublime concern of which he has to treat.

LETTER XXXIV.

6

CONCERNING THE STUDY OF CHARACTERS—MONOLOGUE—
WHETHER THE SUCCESS OF A PIECE BE ANY REAL PROOF OF
ITS MERIT.

YOUR questions are of importance: the actor certainly ought to study his own character with a view to its connexion with the others, as by this double study he will acquire the tone and perfect knowledge of his own particular part. Without this attentive view of the ensemble, without this exact appreciation of the portion which a particular character carries in the aggregate of a drama, without this modest and voluntary information, the effect of the play, if it is not entirely destroyed, is at least greatly weakened and defaced. Horatio, perceiving the spectre of Hamlet's father, at the very time it is presented to the eyes of the prince, might, by vehemence of attitude and action, not merely *divide*, but attract the *sole* atten-

tion of the spectators to himself: then he would compel Hamlet to a bare imitation, or to an exaggerated and unnatural expression of his surprise. I know that many difficulties oppose themselves to this perfection; the rage for novelties in the directors, the false taste of the actors, who, eager to *dazzle*, frequently prefer the acclamations of the multitude to the eloquent silence of the connoisseur.

If each particular character ought to be studied according to its connexion with the *ensemble* of the piece, it is equally necessary that the actor, in the study of the scenes, should never lose sight of the general idea of his part.

Enlightened by the comparison of its particular proportions, he will learn to restrain or give loose to his feelings by science and by rule: one passage may contain much scope for warmth and passion, but in such or such a scene, there may perhaps chance to be another still more highly coloured, so that if he exhausts his energies in the first scene of his part, he will, most probably, be languid and ineffectual in the last.

Suppose that a brother, witness of the des-

pair of a beloved sister, abandoned by the object of her affections, swears, by all that is sacred, to avenge her cause on the perfidious youth, and that the actor, charged with this part, should declaim the Imprecation with too much violence; there would remain no distinguishing mark of passion, when, encountering the object of his vengeance—he has to charge him with his injuries in person.

Nevertheless, this fear of exhausting the powers ought not to be carried too far by the actor, as it might destroy the effect of his own part, as well as the ensemble of the play. For if he languishes through four acts to give effect to the fifth, the loss to the spectators is inadequate to the gain. This is a very favourite scheme of our actors, and I have seen some of them jump from one extreme to the other, without any apparent cause or motive; as the thunder which we have heard in feeble murmurs at a distance, will sometimes, when we least expect it, roll over our heads in all its noise and fury. Doubtless, these strokes are louder, but, instead of making an impression, they only serve to *stun* us, whilst preparatory ones, and following each other in just gradations, im-

press the soul with fear—the mind with terror."

With regard to such plays as are represented on the stage, I have many reasons for judging them in the closet rather than in the theatre. I agree with you, that the reader ought to be a man gifted with every qualification; that he should not only be endowed with an ardent imagination, but should add to that advantage a refined and exquisite sensibility: a man who, always alive to the scene, does not confine himself to keeping the *dramatis personæ* in his thoughts, but who sees them present, and acts their several parts in his own imagination, as he proceeds.

The remark has been frequently made, that such or such a piece produces a good effect, because its mediocrity is in the most perfect harmony with that of the actors, and that many beautiful passages are lost in others, because they stand in need of a Garrick to feel and to express them.

Would it not be the height of injustice to prefer the mediocre to the great poet, because the representatives of the characters of the latter were unable to do justice to his writ-

ings? This would be as false a judgment as to despise the sublime compositions of Handel, because an ignorant musician had shocked our ears by his execrable mode of playing them.

LETTER XXXV.

ON APPROACH—AND REMOVAL—ON CHOLER—JOY—THE
TRANSITION FROM JEALOUSY TO LOVE.

YOUR attention must now be carried to the progressive march of the passions, on which the merit of the candidate for dramatic fame must generally repose. When the affections resemble each other in the march of the ideas, they approach, and, *vice versa*, recede when this is not the case. Before I proceed, I wish you to contemplate four plates, where I have attempted to delineate the progressive march of inattention to a sort of climax, or curiosity and eagerness : you will thus perceive that, in every different shade, one object approaches nearer to the other.

These figures represent a lawyer reading a will to a client, who had no vehement expectations from the result.

The first of the four plates, I think, deline-

ates this tolerably correctly. The lawyer, in the next, has just come to the part where the name of the client is mentioned — you will immediately see that he is drawing nearer. In the third you will see him approximating, with mouth opened by expectation, and all the eager avidity of curiosity. In the last instance, he is so absorbed in his own concerns, and so delighted by the intelligence conveyed to him, that he is in the very act of embracing the attorney *

You will thus find that the affections succeed each other with so much more facility as the resemblance between them is the more striking, and more difficult in the contrary position.

We should never come to a conclusion were we to enter into a discussion on the approximation and removal of all the passions let us select one, however, for our contemplation, that of choler, for instance, in those points in which it belongs to other affections, and to that let us apply our theory to establish its justice. If it be demanded why the contemplation in his own proper merit, in his cou-



difference



rage, or in his strength, renders the man more inclined to cholera than any other contemplative tranquil affection? the answer immediately presents itself, whilst we consider the situation in which this proud sentiment places the soul. Plenitude, energy, and firmness are already situated in the mind; nought is wanting but a celerity in the increase, carried almost to fury, and the soul will be mounted to the pitch when it is ready to burst suddenly into cholera.

Do we wish to know why joy, however apparently the opposite of cholera, is likewise transmuted with the greatest facility when it is carried to excess? (An observation whose truth is confirmed by the frequent quarrels attendant on the noisy orgies of Bacchus); the nature of the march of the ideas will furnish an equal solution of this problem: joy, too highly exalted, acquires so great, so unquiet a celerity, its march is so firm, it darts forward with so much vigour, that one greater degree of tension suffices to transmute it into the sentiment of cholera. I own that my explanation may be far from satisfactory, and I hope, my friend, that this confession will not surprise you: in truth I have here only attached my-

self to the most general possibilities of a respective connection between the different affections: but you, doubtless, well remember that, from the commencement of these researches, I have limited and confined them.

Once more consider the subjects with a little attention, and they will furnish matter for some important remarks. The first will be, that the approach or removal, which may exist between the affections, does not depend so much upon their nature in general, as on their respective force: To prove that grief and melancholy are remote affections, between whom no mediate tint can intervene, it would not suffice me to name them entirely unique, but it is necessary that, considering them in their superior degrees, I should select grief, accompanied with fury, and the most profound melancholy. Whilst these affections subsist in an inferior degree, there is scarcely any difficulty in passing from the one to the other. He who, beaten down by grief, sadly leans on the tomb of the friend he has just lost, feels at once the force of the grief with which he is overpowered. In the act of heaving a profound sigh, he raises his eyes, swimming with tears, to heaven, and after having procured this

slight relief for his bursting heart, he falls again into his pristine melancholy. His muscles suddenly lose their momentary tension, and the head falls on the bosom.

It was necessary that I should determine all the other affections more particularly, in the same manner, to render their removal sensible. It was necessary that I should depict love soft and tender, joy lively and animated, admiration full and mixed with astonishment, choler impetuous, and approaching towards fury. In my opinion, we should do well were we to speak of the proximity of several emotions, several passionate conditions of the soul, instead of many and *diverse* affections. This last mode of expression engages us too easily to occupy ourselves solely with the idea of a particular species, and hinders us from carrying our attention to the entire and specific situation in which the soul then finds itself placed. To this remark another immediately suggests itself: *i. e.* that in the examination of the proximity of the movements of the soul, we should not be too much attached to the ordinary mode of expressing ourselves, though it be frequently that which is employed by the language of philosophy.

This method does not always designate the passions with an adequate exactitude, sometimes, instead of indicating a mixture, it solely makes mention of the predominant affection. Thus it is said, the jealous man suddenly relapses from the most desperate fury, into the most soft and melting love. Examine the character of *Othello*, which offers such a complete and perfect picture of jealousy. What do you find in the scene where the Moor, after having talked with so much violence to his wife, is suddenly attracted by the irresistible impulse of her charms? No other thing but emotions, which are carried almost to tenderness, then a sudden explosion of the most rending grief, of which love is the probable source, out which offer neither trace nor suspicion of the characteristical movements of this passion. You may likewise remember that, in a scene with Iago, after having declared his firm resolution of taking away the life of Desdemona, he recalls all her beauties—her soft, engaging manners; in a word, all her natural perfections, to his mind. What do you here perceive more than an interior emotion, replete with secret anguish, than a sufferance as lively as profound, from which he is liable to re plunge,

every moment into that original furor which urges him on to vengeance?—a transition which could not take place if his heart was moved by a veritable tenderness. Love is doubtless the fundamental affection which causes these violent emotions in his soul, but even these emotions have nothing of that softness, that tender languor, which characterize this sentiment. My third remark will go to prove that the facility of connexion between all the approaching passions is not reciprocal. The transition of choler to grief, and from grief to choler, is equally easy and rapid; but the return from choler to joy, or to the proud and tranquil sentiment of self-grandeur, is a step more difficult and uneasy than that of these last affections to the former. It is here with the movements of the soul as with the waves of the sea; the tempest must doubtless have been displayed some time ere it could have penetrated the depths of the ocean, or elevated the billows to the skies; but it must require much more before the agitated waters can subside to a calm, or present the aspect of a smooth and gently-gliding undulation. This comparison, as you will easily remark, cannot be applied either to choler or grief; the one of

these affections is as impetuous as the other, and, by a natural consequence, the transitions are equally easy.

The precedent discussion proves to you, that what has been said, of the movements of the soul of one kind, can also be applied to those of a different species, whether they are *connected* or *removed*. The succession of the former, if the march of their ideas is not entirely the same, consists solely in an insensible increase or diminution, whether in the celerity, in the plenitude, in the stability, or in the equality of this march, or in several of these qualities at once. The immediate succession of those movements of the soul which are at a distance from each other, would be to overleap a boundary; and this Nature never attempts, either in the intellectual sphere or the corporeal world. Every thing is connected in its operation by chains; (often, in truth, almost imperceptible) and when we think she has broken through vast intervals, it is only because the invisible link has eluded our penetration: similar violent transitions then are impossible. The rapid torrent of the thoughts can neither be suddenly arrested, nor can their slow and silent pace be accelerated on the in-

stant still less can we momentarily vary the different qualities which we have remarked in their succession. A certain disorder, an unquiet fluctuation between the condition which is about to terminate and that which is ready to begin, will here take place, as well as in the connexions of the movements of the soul, of which the species are the same, though the degrees are farther removed. While the distance which exists between the affections is very small, it is nearly the same as if these affections were closely allied. When the separation is considerable, then the agitation, the oscillation, and the efforts of the soul, affected of two incompatible sentiments, will become perceptible to the organs of sight, by the modifications which the body will undergo. Here we may remark, according to the variety of the cases, sometimes the bursts of laughter, sometimes the convulsions of tears, sometimes the alteration of the colour of the face, a sudden trembling of all the members, that unquiet agitation which discovers doubt, uneasiness, or other indecisive and uncertain movements of this kind. In the art of declamation, the different changes and breaks in the voice answer to these modifications of pantomime. You

perhaps suspect that I am about to trace with you the *prodigious field*, which now extends itself to our curiosity—if not through the whole, at least a great part of it, but, to my great regret, all that I can here say reduces itself to remarks partly trivial and partly indeterminate, and I candidly avow my want of skill to develope with exactitude and precision the more fine and less generally known observations which the subject presents on every side. I have only indicated, in a general way, those differences which exist in the march of the ideas proper to each affection, as well as those which characterise the modifications of laughter, tears, trembling, and with how much precision would it be requisite to determine each of these differences— with what exactness it would be needful to indicate in the former the proportion of their infinitely varied qualities, and in the other, their degrees and deviations. Nevertheless, it was not idle in me to present this kind of speculation to a mind like yours solicitous for the acquisition of knowledge. Though this may be but a faint outline, it may still, even under its present form, prove of some utility to the artist, in exciting him to seek for the gesture, mien, and attitude proper

to each situation, in giving him a taste for a kind of observation, whose re-union and comparison, spite of all the obstacles which here present themselves, may, in the end, procure him a knowledge more exact and more solid than we have hitherto attained in the various contemplations of so difficult a subject.

LETTER XXXVI.

COMPARISON OF MR. HUME—THE SUBJECT DISCUSSED—DIFFICULTY OF DISTINGUISHING THE MORE FINE VIBRATIONS OF THE PASSIONS—EXAMPLES—ALCESTIS, PHÆDRA, &c.

YOU will find a dissertation in Hume, upon the subject of the passions, which to me appears extremely beautiful, and more rich than Home on the same subject. The first of these authors compares the soul to an instrument, with strings, which being struck upon, continue their vibrations after the impulse has ceased, and decrease by little and little in an almost imperceptible degree.

" If we consider the human mind, we shall observe that, with regard to the passions, it is not like a wind instrument of music, which, in running over all the notes, immediately loses the sound when the breath ceases; but rather resembles a string instrument, where, after each stroke, the vibrations still retain some sound,

which gradually and insensibly decays. The imagination is extremely quick and agile; but the passions, in comparison, are slow and restive: for which reason, when any object is presented which affords a variety of views to the one, and emotions to the other, though the fancy may change its views with great celerity, each stroke will not produce a clear and distinct note of passion, but the one passion will always be mixed and confounded with the other."

Essays and Treatises on Several Subjects.

. For this reason, the tones immediately following the original ones are never quite pure; the new vibrations are extended with the first, which yet last, and the tones are thus mingled and confounded one with the other. After the same rule, the affections, which ought rapidly to succeed to each other, can seldom be pure ones. The situation in which the soul has been placed by the former affection yet lasts, while the new one is just commencing, and till its effect ceases. Home, who simply speaks of the tone of the soul, without a more precise determination, leaves us in uncertainty, if his comparison is taken from the sound of

the flute, which vanishes with the cessation of the breath

You exhort me not to be sparing of my examples whenever they are absolutely necessary, and with this exhortation I find myself induced to comply

I shall confine myself, however, in this article, and give you but a few of them, merely to demonstrate that the subject of our mutual researches may be made of some practical utility, and to encourage reflecting artists to multiply their observations on this interesting matter these examples need not contain any great subtlety. I have complained several times already, that, in designating the too feeble shades of the passions, language is unable to afford clear appropriate expressions for them

The first example which at present presents itself to me is taken from a story pretty generally known. I allude to the dramatic piece called *Alcestes*.

ALCESTES, who, to save her husband, has devoted herself, by a solemn vow, to the infernal gods, is suddenly struck with the terrible idea that she already hears the beating wings of the subterraneous shades, and that she sees them approaching, to drag her away



(1) *spur*

as their consecrated victim. The composer, who develops these ideas by the repetition of the same motive, makes the horror of this queen increase from word to word: pauses, judiciously managed, successively render her respiration more short; and the *piano*, gradually augmented, insensibly stifles her voice — The list attitude of an actress charged with such a part should accompany this expression with a degree of faintness almost approaching to annihilation, with her face averted from the spot whence the terrific sounds are supposed to arise she should now and then cast a timid and furtive glance, as if fearful of beholding the dreaded spectres the reversed hands, which she had opposed to them, ought to preserve their former direction, but she should not appear to have force or courage sufficient to give any degree of tension to the muscles, so that, feeble and trembling, they may afterwards drop lifeless by her sides*

Immediately after this weakness caused by fear, the second invocation of the infernal gods and the devotion of this faithful wife ought to

* See Plate.

take place. The musical declamation is here replete with animation, and a kind of savage enthusiasm she should indicate a soul displaying its greatest degrees of energy, and the play ought consequently to acquire a superior degree of vivacity. The countenance of Alcestes should be fixed on the ground, because she is invoking the infernal deities, her body should bend forward, her step ought to be grand, her arms extend, and each open eye to seem bursting from its orbit the whole countenance should beam with a species of haggard inspiration*. Each of these expressions, separately taken, are exactly conformable to truth, as much in respect to the words which they ought to accompany, as to the situation of the soul, which they are intended to designate neither of these can be called too strong nor too weak. But to be more rapid in the transitions, to rush on from weakness to strength, or from strength to weakness, with a kind of instantaneous celerity, would be to act directly contrary to the knowledge, which even the most uninstructed spec-



Catharism

Printed for Richard I. Phillip N.Y. 1907



Pastorale & Persuasion

tator has attained of the human heart, and of the nature of sentiments in general. It is here necessary to introduce a pause, and even a very long, one, to connect and bind together sentiments so extremely opposite to their intermediate conditions.

Parthenia, in supporting the Queen, who is ready to fall, should clasp her sister closely in her arms., Alcestes, reposing on her faithful bosom, should soon recover herself, and lifting up her feeble arm, in the sentiment of the disorder which troubles her soul, should draw her hand across her forehead, whilst Parthenia, with looks replete with love and grief, should appear to conjure her to abandon her design, and revoke the dreadful vow she has just been pronouncing.* As her spirits and strength return, all the tenderness of Alcestes should likewise revive. Unshaken in her resolution, she ought, at first, solely to avert her looks from Parthenia, immediately after, her hand placed in that of her sister, should begin to tremble, her attempts to tear herself from her arms should afterwards become more strong, and her

* See Plate

eyes, as well as her forehead, should express a certain secret displeasure with the most noble perseverance but after the most tender looks and embraces, the Queen, too strongly attached to her heroic devotion, should hang herself entirely from the arms of Parthenia * And it is here that another invocation of the infernal Gods ought to ensue By this means, the repetition of this devotion will be found not only perfect, but the hurried leap from one sentiment to the other will be totally avoided, and what, without this prudent precaution, might have appeared an useless ornament, or a mere misplaced musical luxury, becomes an admirable and expressive trait in the character of Alcestes

The art of passing adroitly from one movement to the other is very difficult, particularly when these movements destroy each other with an extreme rapidity Orosmane, in Voltaire's Zaire, is at one time hurried on by passion, at another, he is an unfortunate lover, whose betrayed love exhales itself in bitter complaints This sentiment, and the desire of vengeance,



Persian repased

Printed for R. DODSLEY, by J. DODSLEY, 1770.

alternately govern his whole soul; but love, so closely united to choleric, can only manifest itself under the semblance of grief; and as we have remarked, the reciprocal transition of one of these two sentiments to the other is extremely easy.

LETTER XXXVII.

THE CONCLUSION

HITHERTO, my friend, we have been reasoning upon simple sentiments, or at least upon such as are apparently so. There still remains one circumstance for us to examine, to wit, that where several sentiments already exist in the soul, of which one may assume the ascendancy, without the least disorder resulting from all the others. It is evident that we may here apply the same principles, of which we have availed ourselves in appreciating the changes of the simple sentiments thus you must not expect many new or important observations upon this subject.

If the affection which ought to acquire the preponderancy already governs the composed passion, it will only stand in need of a little reinforcement to make the concomitant affec-

tion entirely disappear. I readily agree, that (as you have remarked) my reflections only extend to *generals*, without stopping to characterise particulars. I have also read the work you were so good as to point out to me, and have found it worthy of its judicious author. It contains the solution of a question which had long perplexed me.

"The quick passage from one contrary to another," says M. Tieckermann, "explains itself very easily. When the operations give rise to a sudden change in the determinate causes, *laughter* and *choler* (not the bitter laugh of disdain, but that of joy and gladness) reciprocally exclude each other, notwithstanding the man, animated with the most violent choler, cannot restrain himself from the most hearty laughter, at the moment when his adversary, no longer opposing him with resistance, manifests his fear, or his inferiority, by comic attitudes, and thus even when an equal motive would not have created laughter in another situation. The idea of the contrast between the impetuous developement of his own powers, and the feeble resistance he experiences, leads him irresistibly on to laughter, and it is not insen-

sibly, but in a sudden way, that he thus passes from one contrary to another."

If the question here was not that of a man animated with the most violent choler, I should contest neither the justice nor the truth of this observation. But I am at a loss to conceive how a sudden, vehement, and decided choler, can pass with such rapidity to violent bursts of laughter. In whatever point of view I contemplate this situation, it appears to me, precisely, that because a man of honour forgets his anger against a *coward*, he finds he ought to be vexed with himself, and manifest his internal discontent by his words or his actions, so that, if he permits himself to laugh, it will necessarily be with bitterness—the laugh of *disdau* and *contempt*, not that of gaiety and joy. But, granting the observation of this author to be true, it does not seem to prove the fact which we are now discussing; to wit, the possibility of a sudden passage from one contrary to the other. The true contrary of choler, ought to be a sentiment which, instead of a process hurried, impetuous, and full of irregularity, should assume one more slow, feeble, and uniform; and the most perfect contrary would be that

which united all these properties in a supreme degree: but this is not the case of laughter, which indicates an intermediate sentiment, a species of indecision and certain fluctuation of the soul, more approximating to gay and lively sentiments, than to the indolent and impetuous affections. The angry man who should pass suddenly from fury to fits of laughter, would not, for all this, bound from one extreme to the other: he would solely fall into a *fluctuation*, which would tend to incline him towards the contrary sentiment, though, I own, with a certain rapidity.

"In the same manner," continues M. Tieermann, "a violent love changes into hatred, when we find the object unworthy of future affection, and when a long enjoyment has not prepared the way to indifference. The force of our attachment makes us perceive, in so much a more lively manner, the baseness and unworthiness of the subject; and impels us on to the most vehement hatred, in making us pass the bounds of *indifference*."

• • •

Shylock, in the Merchant of Venice, affords

a fine example to illustrate transitions of passions and affections

Shylock experiences the most bitter anguish, whilst recalling to his mind the precious jewels he has lost by the flight of his daughter he evinces the most lively joy, whilst learning the catastrophe of Antonio, his rival in commerce, on whom he feels he can revenge himself at his pleasure. Accordingly, as his friend, Tubal directs the attention of Shylock to the one or the other of these events, these two opposite sentiments alternately succeed in the soul of the Jew grief seems to take the place of joy, and joy to assume that of grief, without any intermediate sentiment I use the word *seems*, since grief, in succeeding to joy, no longer manifests itself with the same violence as in its original, and so joy, likewise, in its sudden triumph over grief, cannot, in its first instants, efface the wrinkles from the forehead, and restore it to all its natural serenity. With a feeble light, it smiles, as we may say through a cloud, still leaving something of pain and chagrin in the first mien, and probably in the first tone of Shylock's voice, but the essential circumstance to be observed here is, that in joy there

is found an accessory sentiment, which serves for its point of union with grief. I mean that joy arising from the misery of another person, consequently, the joy of *hatred*, (of choler moderated,) so closely approaches grief. The two alternate sentiments are not then simple, though they appear to be so — But we are beginning to lose ourselves in subtleties, which appear to remove farther and farther from us in practice. It is time then to terminate our researches, and to end our correspondence.

If you find that I have furnished but little, recollect that I never promised much, yet I dare flatter myself with having done more than my promise obliged me to execute. Instead of merely collecting a few materials for the edifice, and leaving them as rude as I found them, I have, at least, in connecting them in some sort among themselves, elevated a vast, though imperfect monument, open on all sides, and perhaps menacing ruin. It is very probable that a construction so precipitate, and so incomplete, should moulder of itself, or that some destructive critique should level it with the dust but a hope constantly remains, to cheer and console me—a hope that, in the end, an architect of superior talent will find the *site*

which I have chosen not only very agreeable, but also very advantageously disposed for the increase of our acquirements as well as our pleasures, and that, on the same spot where I have constructed a frail edifice, to an art which I love, a majestic temple may arise, whose well-ordered parts shall be decorated with all the graces of taste, and all the sublimities of regular magnificence.

APPENDIX.

SOME account of the dresses now worn on the London theatres, will be an useful addition to this work. It may prove a source of pleasurable curiosity to foreign artists; and may afford some slight degree of amusement to such persons as reside in provincial towns, and whose avocations do not allow them to make periodical visits to the metropolis.

Every one knows that Thespis was the founder of that art which has since evinced itself the parent of heroic virtue, and the ornament of polished nations; but nothing could be more miserable than the *habits* and decorations of these original actors. The celebrated Roman satirist thus forcibly describes the apparatus, wardrobe, and machinery:

Ignotum tragicæ genus inventisse Camœnæ
Dicunt, et plausus verissæ poemata Thespis,
Quæ canerent agerentque, peruncti facibus ora:

Horace.

Translated thus by Francis :

Thespis, inventor of the tragic art,
Carried his vagrant players in a cart;
High o'er the crowd the mimic tribe appear'd,
And play'd and sung, with lees of wine besmear'd!

In tracing the improvements of dress and theatrical costume, the name of Æschylus follows in regular progression after that of Thespis. Æschylus may indeed be termed the real father of the stage. Horace has mentioned the ornamental advantages which he added to the rough sketches of his predecessor :

*Post hunc personæ, pallæque repertor honestæ
Æschylus, et modicis instravit pulpita lignis,
Et docuit magnumque loqui, nitque cothurno.*

Then Æschylus a decent ward used,
Built a low stage, the flowing robe diffused:
In language more sublime his actors rage,
And in the graceful bushin tread the stage.

When we consider how greatly he improved the scenic and mechanical parts of the theatre, we may very fairly give him credit for all the steps which have since been taken for the perfection of departments so essential and material. This great man had too much knowledge of the human heart, not to feel assured that sensible objects strike most forcibly on the

imagination; and that the active principle of the human mind could not easily be abstracted from its own concerns by a cold, dry narrative of unembellished facts. His good sense told him that a tragedy personified in mean habiliments, would every moment forcibly recall to the minds of the multitude that it was not a king, a general, or an ambassador, "but a mere player, who was making his appeal to their passions. The "*docuit magnum loqui*" was one of his great merits; the "*nitique cothurno*" ought not to be reckoned among his least. When his play of the *Eumenides* was first acted, it is reported that many children were frightened to death; and the female part of the audience so forcibly affected, that they were carried away in violent convulsions. This incident *alone* is a striking proof of the vast improvement he must have made in the articles of costume and dress.

We have said that he added the robe and the mask to the decorations of the drama. The use of the *robe* may be easily conceived: it gave a dignity to the whole figure; and afforded the actors many opportunities for the display of a superior taste, by the graceful ~~and~~, in which they could convert it at their

pleasure Many of the ancient statues and pictures owe their highest charm to the disposition of the drapery

The masks are of so ancient an origin, that we find a difficulty in tracing them to their primitive invention It is pretty evident, however, that Æschylus was the first who ever adapted them to the purposes of the drama. Masks, as used upon the ancient stages, covered the whole head, they represented the hair, the eyebrows, the lips, &c &c The different passions of the human soul were likewise depicted on them, and masks of love, joy, hope, frenzy, despair, and pride, were formed by an able artist for the different characters, as they happened to be requisite in various plays Turies, satyrs, fauns harpies, &c were likewise represented by a skilful constructor of these articles, to the highest delight and gratification of an audience Many conveniences were the result of this practice, conveniences which greatly counterbalanced the arguments that have been so repeatedly urged against it A performer did not then suffer so materially from those ravages which the hand of time will inevitably make upon the finest set of features As long as the organs of utterance were unin-

paired, the wrinkles of age were but slight impediments to the efforts of an accomplished actor, even in the trying task of sustaining and supporting characters of vigorous juvenility. Several of their plays were formed on plots similar to that of our immortal countryman in his *Comedy of Errors*. *Amphytrion*, and the *Menechmæ*, afford instances of this nature. We have never seen two men so exactly conformable in their physical appearances, as to be enabled to represent the *Dromios* of Shakspeare in a way that could mislead our senses, or bewilder our imaginations, even for a moment; yet we can conceive it highly probable that two personages, at the immense distance which divided the actors of Greece from their auditors, might, by the aid of masks exactly similar, keep up the illusion of the senses, and give a double zest to plots of such a texture. We learn, likewise, by every description of the masks, that the Grecian theatres were of a prodigious size, even when compared with the large ones which have been lately erected in our own, and neighbouring countries. For this reason the masks were considerably larger than the human head, so that

when diminished by distance they might bear a proportionable appearance

We are also informed that the actors had instruments within the hollow portions of their masks so contrived that the vibration of sound might convey what they had to recite, to persons placed at the extremities of their theatres. Without the assistance of these masks and instruments to the vocal energies, the audiences of Greece and Rome must have been the mere spectators of tedious, faint, and inanimate pantomimes.

The words *sok* and *bushin* are so familiar to every ear, that it is perhaps unnecessary to mention, that the one designated a tragic, and the other a comic performance.

Shakspeare was to England what Æschylus was to ancient Greece, every thing before his time, relative to the drama, is buried in confusion and obscurity. In Shakspeare's time there were seven principal theatres, but all his plays were most probably acted at the theatres of the Globe and Blackfriars. That the article of *dress* had then made some improvement, is very evident from the following passage

"The person who spoke the prologue, who

entered immediately after the third sounding, usually wore a long black velvet cloak; which, I suppose, was considered as best adapted to a supplicatory address. Of this custom, whatever may have been its origin, some traces remained till very lately, a black coat having been, if I mistake not, within these few years, the constant stage-habiment of our modern prologue speakers. The complete dress of the ancient prologue-speaker is still retained in the play exhibited in Hamlet, before the King and court of Denmark." Again "The stage-dresses, it is reasonable to suppose, were much more costly in some playhouses than others; yet the wardrobe of even the King's servants, at the *Globe* and *Blackfriars*, was, we find, but scantily furnished, and our author's dramas derived but very little aid from the *splendor* of exhibition."

"In sir William D'Avenant's company, from the time their new theatre was opened, in Portugal Row, near Lincoln's Inn Fields, (April 1662) the total receipt (after deducting the nightly charges of men, hirelings, and other customary charges) was divided into fifteen shares, of which it was agreed, by articles pre-

viously entered into, that ten should belong to D'Avenant, viz two towards the house-rent, building, scaffolding, and making of frames for scenes, one for a provision of *habits, properties, and scenes*, for a supplement to the said theatre, &c *Vide Historical Account of the English Stage, in Johnson's and Steevens's Shakespeare, Prolegomena, Vol. II*

Much curious information may be obtained on this head from a perusal of the life of Colley Cibber. The dress of Cato is particularised by a very eminent poet, who describes the gown and *wig* worn by Booth, the original representative of that character, in a very accurate manner. It may afford some scope for speculation on the progression of dress, to remark, that the very suit of clothes worn by Wilks as the airy, lively, dashing Sir Harry Wildair, is at the present moment the habit adopted by the antiquated, formal, star-gazing Old Foresight, in the admirable comedy of Love for Love.

To Mr Garrick the stage owes great obligation, and his memory will be dear to the lovers of the drama, as long as the works of Shakspeare continue to be the admiration of

British audience: yet in tracing the progression of dress, we may surely be permitted to make a few passing observations, without detracting from the merit of that *immortal man*. There was nothing ridiculous in *his* wearing a wig in the character of Ranger, but such a dress would now excite the risible faculties of the audience. The characters of Macbeth and Romeo, which he represented so perfectly, would have been improved by the Scotch and the Italian garb. King Richard is said to have been so exquisitely delineated by this great actor; that none but those who had the happiness of seeing him, can form any idea of the sublimity of his conception of that arduous part. The dress of Richard was as correct then as it is at present; but the other characters were attired in embroidered coats and waist-coats, cocked hats, powdered heads, bags, and courtswords. The general effect must have been considerably impaired by such a distinction; and with an actor of less ability, the illusion would have been weakened, if not destroyed: but while Garrick acted, attention was employed on him, and him alone; all exterior objects were put to flight by his transcendent genius.

It will be generally allowed, that the actors

of the present day maintain a decided superiority over their predecessors, in the art of dressing their characters, and in a strict attention to costume. Trifling as this advantage may appear when opposed to the more noble portions of the drama, its importance will be readily admitted by the real connoisseur. When Macbeth appears on the wild heath, with his plaid covered chieftains, is not the fiction more aided by the substitution of the warlike Caledonian garb, than when he marched down the stage with a powdered head, and a gold-laced coat and waistcoat? When Alexander the Great was



Sheppnach.

REPROACH.

THIS figure represents Queen Katherine in the trial scene, in the play of Henry VIII. She is in the attitude of reproaching the king and the cardinal, for the accumulated injuries which have been heaped upon her head.

Wolsey — Be patient yet

' *Q. Kath* — I will when you are humble — say before,
 . Or God will punish me I do believe, —
 Induced by potent circumstances, that
 You are mine enemy, and make my challenge,
 You shall not be my judge, for it is you
 Have blown this coal betwixt my lord and me —
 Which God's dew quench ! therefore I say again
 I utterly abhor, yea from my soul
 Refuse you for my judge, whom yet once more
 I hold my most malicious foe, and think him
 Not at all a friend to truth

SUPPLICATION.

This figure represents Queen Katherine in an attitude of supplication. It is taken from a scene in the first act of the tragedy of King Henry VIII.

A noise within, crying, " Room for the Queen " Enter the Queen, ushered by the Dukes of Norfolk and Suffolk. She kneels The King riseth from his state, takes her up, kisses and placeth her by him.

Q Kath —Nay we must longer kneel—
I am a sutor.



Application

Proud For Richard Phillips New Bridge St. M^r 7



Plagiorchus attenuatus

Printed for R. Hardwicke by Simpkin, Marshall &



• 1711, 1992-01

THE LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARIES

FALLEN GREATNESS.

For an illustration of this attitude, the reader will please to refer to Scene II. Act III. of Henry VIII.

K Hen Read o'er this - (Giving him papers)
And, after, this : and then to breakfast, with
What appetite you may.

- *Exit King, frowning upon Cardinal Wolsey - the Nobles throng after him, smiling and whispering*
Wolsey — What should this mean ?

What sudden anger's this ? How have I reap'd it ?
He parted frowning from me, as if ruin
Leap'd from his eyes so looks the chased lion
Upon the daring huntsman that has galled him
Then makes him nothing. I must read this paper,
I fear the story of his anger — Tis so ,
This paper hath undone me — tis the account
Of all that world of wealth I have drawn together
For mine own ends indeed to gain the popedom,
And for my friends in Rome O negligence
Fit for a fool to fall by ! What cross devil
Made me put this main secret in the packet
I sent the king ? Is there no way to cure this ?
No new device, to beat this from his brains ?
I know 'twill stir him strongly, yet I know
A way, if it take right, in spite of fortune,
Will bring me off again What's this — *To the Pope* ?
The letter, as I live, with all the business
I writ to his holiness — Nay then farewell !
I have touched the highest point of all my greatness, &c

SICKNESS.

Queen Katherine, Henry VIII.

Enter Katherine dowager, sick; led between Griffith and Patience.

Griffith.—How does your grace?

Kath.—O Griffith, sick to death:
My legs, like loaded branches, bow to the earth;
Willing to leave their burden: Reach a chair.—
Patience, be near me still, and set me lower:
I have not long to trouble thee.—Good Griffith,
Cause the musicians play me that sad note
I nam'd my knell, whilst I sit meditating
On that celestial harmony.



Sulphur

Present for Richard & Phillip New Breakfast



6 76

A 16th century woodcut

6 76

CONCEIT.

THIS plate is intended to designate the character of Sir Abel Handy in the comedy of Speed the Plough. Sir Abel Handy is an old gentleman, who is so conceited of his own skill, in every thing that relates to mechanical inventions, that he invariably mars his own ideas, in the execution of his favourite plans. *He carries his lady's fan in his cane, &c. &c.* To delineate the character, as depicted by its present representative, exceeds the power of graphic illustration ; it is one of the most humorous efforts of as excellent a comic actor as ever graced the boards of a theatre : one whose talents have been so long acknowledged, by sound critics, that any farther encomium, in this place, would be as presumptuous as it is unnecessary.

VULGAR TRIUMPH.

THIS plate represents Dame Ashfield in the comedy of Speed the Plough. In the wife of a simple farmer, we here behold the passion of envy as forcibly pourtrayed, as it could possibly be evinced in the more exalted situations of human life. If a misfortune happens to her family, her greatest affliction is to anticipate the triumph Mrs. Grundy will enjoy. If events are favourable, her satisfaction is augmented to the highest pitch, in the idea of the mortification it will occasion Mrs. Grundy. She is here in an attitude of extreme exultation. The son of a baronet has just offered his hand in marriage to her daughter. Far from reflecting on the advantages such an unlooked-for event would bring to her only child, her mind dwells with rapture on the idea of plaguing her rustic competitor; and, in the full torrent of impetuous joy, she proudly makes use of her constant exclamation—

What will Mrs. Grundy say?



7 1/2 x 11 1/2

What will Mr. G undy say

Dr. Terry Dan A. Phillip New England 7

HOPELESS LOVE.

THE character of Octavian is very generally known: the play of the Mountaineers being frequently performed at the three theatres of the metropolis. Every one, who has read Don Quixote, must acknowledge the similarity between Octavian in the play, and Cardenio in the romance. It is most probable that the costume of Cardenio, as described by Cervantes, though delightful in the novel, would have proved disgusting in a theatre. His smeared face, matted locks, and wounded limbs, would have offered too terrific a picture for dramatic exhibition, as now personified. The dress here copied is sufficiently striking, without offering any thing which might disgust the eyes, or shock the hearts of the spectators. Octavian is bending, in hopeless love, over the mimic features of his beloved mistress.

Sweet Floranthe!

When the cold limner drew thy semblance here,
 How pleas'd I sat, to mark the modest flush
 Which virgin nature threw upon thy cheek,
 As the dull clod unmov'd did stare upon thee,
 To pencil out thy features' character!
 These times are past, Floranthe.

Mountaineers, Act 3d.

VULGAR ASTONISHMENT.

THE character represented in this plate is Dan, in the comedy of John Bull. Dan is looking with surprise at Peregrine, the traveller, who has just declared that he had drunk " Burgundy with the French, Hollands with the Dutch," &c &c.



Vulgar. idlenesshant

Printed + Richard Phull p. Newt L. 1607



Consequences

Printed by Richard Phillips. Ver Satis. 1817.

OBSEQUIOUSNESS.

DOCTOR PANGLOS is here represented in the act of offering his services, for three hundred pounds a year, to *any body* that will accept of them; and is supposed to be concluding a most humorous final speech with the following couplets:—

If any body wants a tutor here,
 My terms are just three hundred pounds a year;
 Upon my merits I shall lay no stress,
 I am an LL. D. and an ASS.
 On their own virtues modest men are dumb—
 Plaudite et valete—Terence.—Hum !

RUSTIC CUNNING.

This plate, representing Sheepface in the Village Lawyer, is not easily described. The character is that of a country fellow, who, under the appearance of rustic simplicity, carries so much natural cunning, that he is an overmatch for the lawyer who has saved him from being transported for sheep-stealing. He is here drawn as standing before the justice on his examination.



Rude Canning

Douglas Richard Paul ps New Haven Ct 1877



Bajee

BAJAZET.

THIS dress was so much admired, that it
was thought proper to insert it as a specimen
of splendid Oriental costume. It represents
Bajazet, in the grand ballet of Tamerlane and
Bajazet, performed at the Opera House, in the
year 1806.

FOPPERY.

This plate represents the dress of a beau of fourteen years ago, and is inserted as a specimen of the fashionable habit which then prevailed. The dress and the character are so much dependant upon each other, that its costume is at present a matter of no small difficulty.



• *Hijibey*

Promised for Richard Phillips, New Bedford, 1857

FOPPERY.

This plate represents the dress of a boy fourteen years ago, and is inserted as a specimen of the fashionable habit which prevailed. The dress and the character were much dependant upon each other, so that costume is at present a matter of difficulty.



17

Printed for Robert Fludd by B. G. 1715



110-110

— 110 —

MIRTH.

THE cobler in the Forty Thieves is here presented, singing at his work. The costume is curious, as it displays the great taste of the inventor of the dresses in that splendid spectacle ; who has evinced a great portion of taste, by making the dress of the Turkish characters in the subordinate situations of life, as just and appropriate as those of his leaders, captains, and pachas.

AGILITY.

This plate represents Morgiana, in the Forty Thieves, dancing to the Captain of the Banditti, in the house of Ali Baba.



Laddie

ADORATION.

THIS plate represents Rolla, in the tragedy of Pizarro. He is in the act of adoration, in the Peruvian Temple of the Sun.

HEARTY WELCOME.

This figure represents Mr. John Moody, in the comedy of the Provoked Husband. He is in the act of shaking hands with Mr. Manly.



H. H. H.

HEARTY WELCOME.

THIS figure represents Mr. John Moody, in the comedy of the Provoked Husband. He is in the act of shaking hands with Mr. Manly.

FASHIONABLE IMPUDENCE.

Tom Shuffleton, in the comedy of John Bull, is here represented as addressing himself to the moral, sententious Peregrine. After a long lecture from the above gentleman, Shuffleton walks up to him and makes the following interrogation.

Tom.—Pray, Sir, are you a methodist parson, in want of a congregation?

Pere.—Perhaps, Sir, I am a quack doctor, in want of a *Jack Pudding*. Will you engage with me?



Technischer Turfadener

Printed for Richard Paul by Newbold & Co.

FASHIONABLE IMPUDENCE.

Tom^o SHUFFLETON, in the comedy of John Bull, is here represented as addressing himself to the moral, sententious Peregrine. After a long lecture from the above gentleman, Shuffleton walks up to him and makes the following interrogatory.

Tom.—Pray, Sir, are you a methodist parson, in want of a congregation?

Pere.—Perhaps, Sir, I am a quack doctor, in want of a Jack Pudding. Will you engage with me?

A DISCOVERY.

THIS sketch is taken from that scene in the comedy of the Dramatist in which Vapid, who had concealed himself under the sofa, overhears the conversation of Lord Scratch and Lady Waitfor't.



• A Discovery

Printed for Richard Phillips, New Bridge, 1667.

BHAVAN'S LIBRARY

N B — This is issued only For one week till _____

This book should be returned within a fortnight from the date last marked below

Date of Issue

Date of Issue

Date of Issue

Date of Issue

16 NOV 1979

Not to be Issued

Bharatiya Vidya Bhawan's Gramagar

792(eH) BOOK CARD

Call No ~~792/101/1-89~~ Title ~~Illustration of Classical Gita~~
~~Author~~ Date ~~1979~~ Due ~~1980~~
Author ~~D. u.~~

Sladone.

Date of issue	Borrower's No	Date of issue	Borrower's No
16 NOV 1979 1174			

Not to be Issued

Not to be Issued